107th CONGRESS 1st Session

H.R. 2299

CONFERENCE REPORT

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2299) "making appropriations for the Department of Transportation and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes", having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

GPO: Setall ital through page 97 That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the Department of Transportation and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Secretary, \$67,349,000, of which not to exceed \$1,929,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Secretary; not to exceed \$619,000 shall be available for the immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary; not to exceed \$13,355,000 shall be available for the Office of the General Counsel; not to exceed \$3,058,000 shall be for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy; not to exceed \$7,421,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs; not to exceed \$7,728,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs; not to exceed \$2,282,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Government Affairs; not to exceed \$19,250,000 shall be available for the Office of the Assist-Secretary for Administration; not to ant \$1.723,000 shall be available for the Office of Public Affairs; not to exceed \$1,204,000 shall be available for the Office of the Executive Secretariat; not to exceed \$507,000 shall be available for the Board of Contract Appeals; not to exceed \$1,240,000 shall be available for the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization; not to exceed \$1,321,000 shall be available for the Office of Intelligence and Security; not to exceed \$6,141,000 shall be available for the Office of the Chief Information Officer: Provided, That not to exceed \$60,000 shall be for allocation within the Department for official reception and representation expenses as the Secretary may determine: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, excluding fees authorized in Public Law 107-71, there may be credited to this appropriation up to \$2,500,000 in funds received in user fees: Provided further, That the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to transfer funds appropriated for any office of the Office of the Secretary to any other office of the Office of the Secretary: *Provided further*, That no appropriation for any office shall be increased or decreased by more than 5 percent by all such transfers: *Provided further*, That any such transfer shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

OFFICE OF CIVIL RIGHTS

For necessary expenses of the Office of Civil Rights, \$8,500,000.

TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of the Transportation Security Administration related to providing civil aviation secu-

to Public Law 107-71. rity services pursuant \$1,250,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided. That, security service fees authorized under 49 U.S.C. 44940 shall be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections and used for providing civil aviation security services authorized by that section: Provided further. That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0.

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses for conducting transportation planning, research, systems development, development activities, and making grants, to remain available until expended, \$11,993,000.

TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE CENTER

Necessary expenses for operating costs and capital outlays of the Transportation Administrative Service Center, not to exceed \$125,323,000, shall be paid from appropriations made available to the Department of Transportation: *Provided*, That such services shall be provided on a competitive basis to entities within the Department of Transportation: *Provided further*, That the above limita-

tion on operating expenses shall not apply to non-DOT entities: Provided further, That no funds appropriated in this Act to an agency of the Department shall be transferred to the Transportation Administrative Service Center without the approval of the agency modal administrator: Provided further, That no assessments may be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity or project funded by this Act unless notice of such assessments and the basis therefor are presented to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and are approved by such Committees.

MINORITY BUSINESS RESOURCE CENTER PROGRAM

For the cost of guaranteed loans, \$500,000, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 332: *Provided*, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: *Provided further*, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed, not to exceed \$18,367,000. In addition, for administrative expenses to carry out the guaranteed loan program, \$400,000.

MINORITY BUSINESS OUTREACH

For necessary expenses of Minority Business Resource Center outreach activities, \$3,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003: *Provided*, That not-

withstanding 49 U.S.C. 332, these funds may be used for business opportunities related to any mode of transportation.

PAYMENTS TO AIR CARRIERS

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

In addition to funds made available from any other source to carry out the essential air service program under 49 U.S.C. 41731 through 41742, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, \$13,000,000, to remain available until expended.

COAST GUARD

OPERATING EXPENSES

For necessary expenses for the operation and maintenance of the Coast Guard, not otherwise provided for; purchase of not to exceed five passenger motor vehicles for replacement only; payments pursuant to section 156 of Public Law 97–377, as amended (42 U.S.C. 402 note), and section 229(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 429(b)); and recreation and welfare, \$3,382,000,000, of which \$440,000,000 shall be available for defense-related activities; and of which \$24,945,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund: *Provided*, That none of the funds appropriated in this or any other Act shall be available for pay of administrative expenses in connection with shipping commissioners in the United States:

Provided further, That none of the funds provided in this Act shall be available for expenses incurred for yacht documentation under 46 U.S.C. 12109, except to the extent fees are collected from yacht owners and credited to this appropriation: Provided further, That of the amounts made available under this heading, not less than \$14,541,000 shall be used solely to increase staffing at Search and Rescue stations, surf stations and command centers, increase the training and experience level of individuals serving in said stations through targeted retention efforts, revise personnel policies and expand training programs, and to modernize and improve the quantity and quality of personal safety equipment, including survival suits, for personnel assigned to said stations: Provided further, That the Department of Transportation Inspector General shall audit and certify to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that the funding described in the preceding proviso is being used solely to supplement and not supplant the Coast Guard's level of effort in this area in fiscal year 2001.

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, AND IMPROVEMENTS

For necessary expenses of acquisition, construction, renovation, and improvement of aids to navigation, shore facilities, vessels, and aircraft, including equipment related thereto, \$636,354,000, of which \$20,000,000 shall be de-

rived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund; of which \$89,640,000 shall be available to acquire, repair, renovate or improve vessels, small boats and related equipment, to remain available until September 30, 2006; \$9,500,000 shall be available to acquire new aircraft and increase aviation capability, to remain available until September 30, 2004; \$79,293,000 shall be available for other equipment, to remain available until September 30, 2004; \$73,100,000 shall be available for shore facilities and aids to navigation facilities, to remain available until September 30, 2004; \$64,631,000 shall be available for personnel compensation and benefits and related costs, to re-September main available until 30, 2003; \$320,190,000 shall be available for the Integrated Deepwater Systems program, to remain available until September 30, 2006: Provided, That the Commandant of the Coast Guard is authorized to dispose of surplus real property, by sale or lease, and the proceeds shall be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections and made available only for the National Distress and Response System Modernization program, to remain available for obligation until September 30, 2004: Provided further, That none of the funds provided under this heading may be obligated or expended for the Integrated Deepwater Systems (IDS) system integration contract until the Secretary or Deputy Secretary of Transportation and the Director, Office of Management and Budget jointly certify to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that funding for the IDS program for fiscal years 2003 through 2007, funding for the National Distress and Response System Modernization program to allow for full deployment of said system by 2006, and funding for other essential search and rescue procurements, are fully funded in the Coast Guard Capital Investment Plan and within the Office of Management and Budget's budgetary projections for the Coast Guard for those years: Provided further, That none of the funds provided under this heading may be obligated or expended for the Integrated Deepwater Systems (IDS) integration contract until the Secretary or Deputy Secretary of Transportation and the Director, Office of Management and Budget jointly approve a contingency procurement strategy for the recapitalization of assets and capabilities envisioned in the IDS: Provided further, That upon initial submission to the Congress of the fiscal year 2003 President's budget, the Secretary of Transportation shall transmit to the Congress a comprehensive capital investment plan for the United States Coast Guard which includes funding for each budget line item for fiscal years 2003 through 2007, with total funding for each year of the plan constrained to the fundthe Office of Management and Budget: Provided further, That the amount herein appropriated shall be reduced by \$100,000 per day for each day after initial submission of the President's budget that the plan has not been submitted to the Congress: Provided further, That the Director, Office of Management and Budget shall submit the budget request for the IDS integration contract delineating sub-headings which include the following: systems integrator, ship construction, aircraft, equipment, and communications, providing specific assets and costs under each sub-heading.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND RESTORATION

For necessary expenses to carry out the Coast Guard's environmental compliance and restoration functions under chapter 19 of title 14, United States Code, \$16,927,000, to remain available until expended.

ALTERATION OF BRIDGES

For necessary expenses for alteration or removal of obstructive bridges, \$15,466,000, to remain available until expended.

RETIRED PAY

For retired pay, including the payment of obligations therefor otherwise chargeable to lapsed appropriations for this purpose, payments under the Retired Serviceman's Family Protection and Survivor Benefits Plans, payment for career status bonuses under the National Defense Authorization Act, and for payments for medical care of retired personnel and their dependents under the Dependents Medical Care Act (10 U.S.C. ch. 55), \$876,346,000.

RESERVE TRAINING

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For all necessary expenses of the Coast Guard Reserve, as authorized by law; maintenance and operation of facilities; and supplies, equipment, and services, \$83,194,000: Provided, That no more than \$25,800,000 of funds made available under this heading may be transferred to Coast Guard "Operating expenses" or otherwise made available to reimburse the Coast Guard for financial support of the Coast Guard Reserve: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act may be used by the Coast Guard to assess direct charges on the Coast Guard Reserves for items or activities which were not so charged during fiscal year 1997.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for applied scientific research, development, test, and evaluation; maintenance, rehabilitation, lease and operation of facilities and equipment, as authorized by law, \$20,222,000, to remain available until expended, of which

\$3,492,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund: *Provided*, That there may be credited to and used for the purposes of this appropriation funds received from State and local governments, other public authorities, private sources, and foreign countries, for expenses incurred for research, development, testing, and evaluation.

.. FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Federal Aviation Administration, not otherwise provided for, including operations and research activities related to commercial space transportation, administrative expenses for research and development, establishment of air navigation facilities, the operation (including leasing) and maintenance of aircraft, subsidizing the cost of aeronautical charts and maps sold to the public, lease or purchase of passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, in addition to amounts made available by Public Law 104–264, \$6,886,000,000, of which \$5,773,519,000 shall be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, of which not to exceed \$5,452,871,000 shall be available for air traffic services program activities; not to exceed \$768,769,000 shall be available for aviation regulation and certification program activities; not to exceed \$150,154,000 shall be available

for civil aviation security program activities; not to exceed \$195,799,000 shall be available for research and acquisition program activities; not to exceed \$12,456,000 shall be available for commercial space transportation program activities; not to exceed \$50,284,000 shall be available for financial services program activities; not to exceed \$69,516,000 shall be available for human resources program activities; not to exceed \$85,943,000 shall be available for regional coordination program activities; and not to exceed \$109,208,000 shall be available for staff offices: Provided, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the Federal Aviation Administration to finalize or implement any regulation that would promulgate new aviation user fees not specifically authorized by law after the date of the enactment of this Act: Provided further, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, foreign authorities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred in the provision of agency services, including receipts for the maintenance and operation of air navigation facilities, and for issuance, renewal or modification of certificates, including airman, aircraft, and repair station certificates, or for tests related thereto, or for processing major repair or alteration forms: Provided further, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, not

less than \$6,000,000 shall be for the contract tower costsharing program: Provided further, That funds may be used to enter into a grant agreement with a nonprofit standard-setting organization to assist in the development of aviation safety standards: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for new applicants for the second career training program: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for paying premium pay under 5 U.S.C. 5546(a) to any Federal Aviation Administration employee unless such employee actually performed work during the time corresponding to such premium pay: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act may be obligated or expended to operate a manned auxiliary flight service station in the contiguous United States: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act for aeronautical charting and cartography are available for activities conducted by, or coordinated through, the Transportation Administrative Service Center.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT (AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for acquisition, establishment, and improvement by contract or purchase, and hire of air navigation and experimental facilities and equipment as authorized under part

A of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code, including initial acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant; engineering and service testing, including construction of test facilities and acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant; construction and furnishing of quarters and related accommodations for officers and employees of the Federal Aviation Administration stationed at remote localities where such accommodations are not available; and the purchase, lease, or transfer of aircraft from funds available under this heading; to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund, \$2,914,000,000, of which \$2,536,900,000 shall remain available until September 30. 2004, and of which \$377,100,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2002: Provided, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred in the establishment and modernization of air navigation facilities: Provided further, That upon initial submission to the Congress of the fiscal year 2003 President's budget, the Secretary of Transportation shall transmit to the Congress a comprehensive capital investment plan for the Federal Aviation Administration which includes funding for each budget line item for fiscal years 2003 through 2007, with total funding for each year of the plan constrained to the funding targets for those years as estimated and approved by the Office of Management and Budget: *Provided further*, That the amount herein appropriated shall be reduced by \$100,000 per day for each day after initial submission of the President's budget that the plan has not been submitted to the Congress.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)
(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$15,000,000 are rescinded.

RESEARCH, ENGINEERING, AND DEVELOPMENT
(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for research, engineering, and development, as authorized under part A of subtitle VII of title 49, United States Code, including construction of experimental facilities and acquisition of necessary sites by lease or grant, \$195,000,000, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and to remain available until September 30, 2004: *Provided*, That there may be credited to this appropriation funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources, for expenses incurred for research, engineering, and development.

GRANTS-IN-AID FOR AIRPORTS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

For liquidation of obligations incurred for grants-inaid for airport planning and development, and noise compatibility planning and programs as authorized under subchapter I of chapter 471 and subchapter I of chapter 475 of title 49, United States Code, and under other law authorizing such obligations; for procurement, installation, and commissioning of runway incursion prevention devices and systems at airports of such title; for implementation of section 203 of Public Law 106-181; and for inspection activities and administration of airport safety programs, including those related to airport operating certificates under section 44706 of title 49, United States Code, \$1,800,000,000, to be derived from the Airport and Airway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended: Provided, That none of the funds under this heading shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the obligations for which are in excess of \$3,300,000,000 in fiscal year 2002, notwithstanding section 47117(h) of title 49. United States Code: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not more than \$57,050,000 of funds limited under this heading shall be obligated for administration and not less than

\$20,000,000 shall be for the Small Community Air Service Development Pilot Program.

GRANTS-IN-AID FOR AIRPORTS

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Of the unobligated balances authorized under 49 U.S.C. 48103, as amended, \$301,720,000 are rescinded.

AVIATION INSURANCE REVOLVING FUND

The Secretary of Transportation is hereby authorized to make such expenditures and investments, within the limits of funds available pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 44307, and in accordance with section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended (31 U.S.C. 9104), as may be necessary in carrying out the program for aviation insurance activities under chapter 443 of title 49, United States Code.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Necessary expenses for administration and operation of the Federal Highway Administration, not to exceed \$311,000,000, shall be paid in accordance with law from appropriations made available by this Act to the Federal Highway Administration together with advances and reimbursements received by the Federal Highway Administration: *Provided*, That of the funds available under section 104(a)(1)(A) of title 23, United States Code: \$7,500,000

shall be available for "Child Passenger Protection Education Grants" under section 2003(b) of Public Law 105–178, as amended; \$4,000,000 shall be available for motor carrier safety research; \$841,000 shall be available for the motor carrier crash data improvement program; \$6,000,000 shall be available for the nationwide differential global positioning system program; and \$1,500,000 for environmental streamlining activities.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS (LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS) (HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

None of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs, the obligations for which are in excess of \$31,799,104,000 for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs for fiscal year 2002: Provided, That within the \$31,799,104,000 obligation limitation on Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs, not more than \$447,500,000 shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs for transportation research (sections 502, 503, 504, 506, 507, and 508 of title 23, United States Code, as amended; section 5505 of title 49, United States Code, as amended; and sections 5112 and 5204–5209 of Public Law 105–178) for fiscal year 2002: Provided further, That this limitation on transportation research programs shall not apply to any funds au-

thorized under section 110 of title 23, United States Code, and allocated to these programs, or to any authority previously made available for obligation: *Provided further*, That within the \$225,000,000 obligation limitation on Intelligent Transportation Systems, the following sums shall be made available for Intelligent Transportation System projects that are designed to achieve the goals and purposes set forth in section 5203 of the Intelligent Transportation Systems Act of 1998 (subtitle C of title V of Public Law 105–178; 112 Stat. 453; 23 U.S.C. 502 note) in the following specified areas:

(145 PRT C)

Alaska Statewide, \$2,500,000;

Crash Notification, Alabama, \$2,500,000;

Arizona Statewide, \$500,000;

Sacramento, California, \$3,000,000;

Santa Anita, California, \$300,000;

Southeast Corridor, Colorado, \$7,000,000;

Delaware Statewide, \$2,000,000

Atlanta Metropolitan

GRTA,

Georgia,

\$1,000,000;

Hawaii Statewide, \$1,000,000;

Moscow, Idaho, \$1,000,000:

Illinois Statewide, \$2,000,000;

SAFE-T, Indiana, \$2,000,000;

Lexington, Kentucky, \$750,000;

Alameda-Contra Costa, California, \$500,000;

Alaska statewide, \$2,500,000:

Alexandria, Virginia, \$750,000;

Arizona statewide EMS, 500,000;

Army trail road traffic signal coordination project, Illinois, \$300,000;

Atlanta smart corridors, Georgia, \$1,000,000;

Austin, Texas, \$125,000;

Automated crash notification, UAB, Alabama, \$2,500,000;

Bay County Area wide traffic signal system, Florida, \$500,000;

Beaver County transit mobility manager, Pennsylvania, \$800,000;

Brownsville, Texas, \$250,000;

Carbondale technology transfer center, Pennsylvania, \$1,000,000;

Cargo mate logistics and intermodal management, New York, \$1,250,000;

Central Ohio, \$1,500,000;

Chattanooga, Tennessee, \$2,000,000;

Chinatown intermodal transportation center, California, \$1,750,000;

Clark County, Washington, \$1,000,000;

Commercial vehicle information systems and networks, New York, \$450,000;

Dayton, Ohio, \$1,250,000;

Detroit, Michigan (airport), \$1,500,000;

Durham, Wake Counties, North Carolina, \$500,000;

Eastern Kentucky rural highway information, \$2,000,000;

Fargo, North Dakota, \$1,000,000;

Forsyth, Guilford Counties, North Carolina, \$1,000,000;

Genesee County, Michigan, \$1,000,000;

Great Lakes, Michigan, \$1,500,000;

Guidestar, Minnesota, \$6,000,000;

Harrison County, Mississippi, \$ 500,000;

Hawaii statewide, \$1,000,000;

Hoosier SAFE-T, Indiana, \$2,000,000;

Houma, Louisiana, \$1,000,000;

I-90 connector testbed, New York, \$1,000,000;

Illinois statewide, \$2,000,000;

Inglewood, California, \$500,000;

Integrated transportation management system, Delaware statewide, \$2,000,000;

lowa statewide, \$562,000;

Jackson Metropolitan, Mississippi, \$500,000;

James Madison University, Virginia, \$1,500,000;

Kansas City, Kansas, \$500,000;

Kittitas County workzone traffic safety system, Washington, \$450,000;

Lansing, Michigan, \$750,000;

Las Vegas, Nevada, \$1,450,000;

Lexington, Kentucky, \$750,000;

Libertyville traffic management center, Illinois, \$760,000;

Long Island rail road grade crossing deployment, New York, \$1,000,000;

Macomb, Michigan (border crossing), \$1,000,000;

Maine statewide (rural), \$500,000;

Maryland statewide, \$1,000,000;

Miami-Dade, Florida, \$1,000,000;

Monterey-Salinas, California, \$750,000;

Montgomery County ECC & TMC, Maryland, \$1,000,000;

Moscow, Idaho, \$1,000,000;

Nebraska statewide, \$4,000,000;

New York statewide information exchange systems, New York, \$500,000;

New York, New Jersey, Connecticut (TRANSCOM), \$2,500,000;

North Greenbush, New York, \$1,000,000;

Oklahoma statewide, \$3,000,000;

Oxford, Mississippi, \$500,000;

Pennsylvania statewide (turnpike), \$500,000;

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, \$1,033,000;

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Drexel), \$1,500,000;

Pioneer Valley, Massachusetts, \$1,500,000;

Port of Long Beach, California, \$500,000;

Port of Tacoma trucker congestion notification system, Washington, \$200,000;

Roadside animal detection test-bed, Montana, \$500,000;

Rochester-Genesse, New York, \$800,000;

Rutland, Vermont, \$750,000;

Sacramento, California, \$3,000,000;

San Diego joint transportation operations center, California, \$1,500,000;

San Francisco central control communications, California, \$250,000;

Santa Anita, California, \$300,000;

Santa Teresa, New Mexico, \$750,000;

Shreveport, Louisiana, \$750,000;

Silicon Valley transportation management center, California, \$700,000;

South Carolina DOT, \$3,000,000;

Southeast Corridor, Colorado, \$7,000,000;

Southern Nevada (bus), \$1,100,000;

Spillway road incident management system, Mississippi, \$600,000;

St. Louis, Missouri, \$1,000,000;

Statewide transportation operations center, Kentucky, \$2,000,000;

Superior, I-39 corridor, Wisconsin, \$2,500,000;

Texas statewide, \$2,000,000;

Travel network, South Dakota, \$2,325,000;

University of Arizona ATLAS Center, Arizona, \$500,000;

Utah Statewide, \$560,000;

Vermont statewide (rural), \$1,500,000;

Washington statewide, \$4,500,000;

Washington, D.C. metropolitan region, \$2,000,000;

Wayne County road information management system, Michigan, \$1,500,000;

Wichita, Kansas, \$1,200,000;

Wisconsin communications network, \$310,000;

Wisconsin statewide, \$1,000,000; and

Yakima County adverse weather operations, Washington, \$475,000:

Maryland Statewide, \$1,000,000;

Washington Metropolitan Region, \$2,000,000;

Maine Statewide, rural, \$500,000;

Qetroit, Michigan Airport, \$1,500,000;

Macomb, Michigan, Border Crossing,

\$1,000,000;

St. Douis Gateway Guide, Missouri,

\$1,000,000;

Harrison County, Mississippi, \$500,000;

Jackson Metropolitan, Mississippi, \$500,000;

Durham, Wake Counties North Carolina,

\$500,000;

Forsyth, Guilford Counties North Carolina, \$1,000,000;

Fargo, North Dakota, \$1,000,000;

Nebraska Statewide, \$4,000,000;

Santa Teresa, New Mexico, \$750,000;

Las Vegas, Nevada, \$1,450,000;

Southern Nevada, bus, \$1,100,000;

New /York, New Jersey, Connecticut

(TRANSCOM), \$2,500,000;

North Greenbush, New York, \$1,000,000:

Central Ohio, \$1,500,000;

Pennsylvania Statewide, Turnpike, \$500,000;

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Drexel, \$1,500,000;

South Carolina Statewide, \$3,000,000;

Travel Network, South Dakota, \$2,325,000;

Chattanooga, Tennessee, \$1,000,000;

Texas Statewide, \$2,000,000;

Rutland, Vermont, \$750,000;

Vermont Statewide, rural, \$1,500,000;

Clark County, Washington, \$1,000,000;

Washington Statewide, \$4,500,000;

Wisconsin Communications Network, \$310,000:

Wisconsin Statewide, \$1,000,000.

Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds authorized under section 110 of title 23, United States Code, for fiscal year 2002 shall be apportioned to the States in accordance with the distribution set forth in section 110(b)(4)(A) and (B) of title 23, United States Code, except that before such apportionments are made, \$____ shall be set aside for the 35,565,651 program authorized under section 1101(a)(8)(A) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended, and section 204 of title 23, United States Code; - shall be set aside for the program authorized under section 1101(a)(8)(B) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended, and section 204 of title 23, United States Code; \$____ shall be set aside \mathbf{for} the program authorized under

31,815,091)

1101(a)(8)(C) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended, and section 204 of title 23, United States Code; \$ shall be set aside for 2,550,593 the program authorized under section 1101(a)(8)(D) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended, and section 204 of title 23, United States Code; shall be set aside for the program authorized under section 129(c) of title 23, United States Code, and section 1064 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, as amended; \$ shall (352, 356,000 be set aside for the programs authorized under sections 1118 and 1119 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended; \$ shall be set aside (3, 348, 128 for the program authorized under section 1101(a)(11) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended and section 162 of title 23, United States Code; \$ shall be set aside for the program authorized under section 118(c) of title 23, United States Code; shall be set aside for the program authorized under section 144(g) of title 23, United States Code; \$55,000,000 shall be set aside for the program authorized under section 1221 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended; and \$23,896,000 shall be set aside and transferred to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration as authorized by section 102 of

Public Law 106–159: Provided further, That, of the funds to be apportioned to each State under section 110 for fiscal year 2002, the Secretary shall ensure that such funds are apportioned for the programs authorized under sections 1101(a)(1), 1101(a)(2), 1101(a)(3), 1101(a)(4), and 1101(a)(5) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended, in the same ratio that each State is apportioned funds for such programs in fiscal year 2002 but for this section.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS (LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION) (HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for carrying out the provisions of title 23, United States Code, that are attributable to Federal-aid highways, including the National Scenic and Recreational Highway as authorized by 23 U.S.C. 148, not otherwise provided, including reimbursement for sums expended pursuant to the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 308, \$30,000,000,000 or so much thereof as may be available in and derived from the Highway Trust Fund, to remain available until expended.

APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM

For necessary expenses for the Appalachian Development Highway System as authorized under Section 1069(y) of Public Law 102–240, as amended, \$200,000,000, to remain available until expended.

STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANKS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available for State Infrastructure Banks in Public Law 104–205, \$5,750,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses for administration of motor carrier safety programs and motor carrier safety research, pursuant to section 104(a)(1)(B) of title 23, United States Code, not to exceed \$110,000,000 shall be paid in accordance with law from appropriations made available by this Act and from any available take-down balances to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, together with advances and reimbursements received by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration: *Provided*, That such amounts shall be available to carry out the functions and operations of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration.

(RESCISSION)

Of the unobligated balances authorized under 23 U.S.C. 104(a)(1)(B), \$6,665,342 are rescinded.

NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY PROGRAM (LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

-(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Notwithstanding any other provision of law,

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out 49 U.S.C. 31102, 31106 and 31309, \$205,896,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the implementation or execution of programs the obligations for which are in excess of \$182,000,000 for "Motor Carrier Safety Grants", and "Information Systems": *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the \$23,896,000 provided under 23 U.S.C. 110, \$18,000,000 shall be for border State grants and \$4,837,000 shall be for State commercial driver's license program improvements.

Of the unobligated balances authorized under 49 C U.S.C. 31102, 31106, and 31309, \$2,332,546 are re-

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

For expenses necessary to discharge the functions of the Secretary, with respect to traffic and highway safety under chapter 301 of title 49, United States Code, and part C of subtitle VI of title 49, United States Code, \$127,780,000, of which \$95,835,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That none of the funds appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended to plan, finalize, or implement any rulemaking to add to section 575.104 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations any requirement pertaining to a grading standard that is different from the three grading standards (treadwear, traction, and temperature resistance) already in effect.

OPERATIONS AND RESEARCH

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

For payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 403, to remain available until expended, \$72,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund: *Provided*, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2002, are in excess of \$72,000,000 for programs authorized under 23 U.S.C. 403.

Of the unobligated balances authorized under 23 U.S.C. 403, \$1,516,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For expenses necessary to discharge the functions of the Secretary with respect to the National Driver Register under chapter 303 of title 49, United States Code, \$2,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund, and to remain available until expended.

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANTS
(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)
(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for payment of obligations incurred in carrying out the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 402, 405, 410, and 411 to remain available until expended, \$223,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund: Provided, That none of the funds in this Act shall be available for the planning or execution of programs the total obligations for which, in fiscal year 2002, are in excess of \$223,000,000 for programs authorized under 23 U.S.C. 402, 405, 410, and 411 of which \$160,000,000 shall be for "Highway Safety Programs" under 23 U.S.C. 402, \$15,000,000 shall be for "Occupant Protection Incentive Grants" under 23 U.S.C. 405, \$38,000,000 shall be for "Alcohol-Impaired Driving Countermeasures Grants" \mathbf{under} 23 U.S.C. 410.

\$10,000,000 shall be for the "State Highway Safety Data Grants" under 23 U.S.C. 411: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be used for construction, rehabilitation, or remodeling costs, or for office furnishings and fixtures for State, local, or private buildings or structures: Provided further, That not to exceed \$8,000,000 of the funds made available for section 402, not to exceed \$750,000 of the funds made available for section 405, not to exceed \$1,900,000 of the funds made available for section 410, and not to exceed \$500,000 of the funds made available for section 411 shall be available to NHTSA for administering highway safety grants under chapter 4 of title 23, United States Code: Provided further, That not to exceed \$500,000 of the funds made available for section 410 "Alcohol-Impaired Driving Countermeasures Grants" shall be available for technical assistance to the States.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

SAFETY AND OPERATIONS

For necessary expenses of the Federal Railroad Administration, not otherwise provided for, \$110,857,000, of which \$6,509,000 shall remain available until expended.

RAILROAD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

For necessary expenses for railroad research and development, \$29,000,000, to remain available until expended.

RAILROAD REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Secretary of Transportation is authorized to issue to the Secretary of the Treasury notes or other obligations pursuant to section 512 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Public Law 94–210), as amended, in such amounts and at such times as may be necessary to pay any amounts required pursuant to the guarantee of the principal amount of obligations under sections 511 through 513 of such Act, such authority to exist as long as any such guaranteed obligation is outstanding: *Provided*, That pursuant to section 502 of such Act, as amended, no new direct loans or loan guarantee commitments shall be made using Federal funds for the credit risk premium during fiscal year 2002.

NEXT GENERATION HIGH-SPEED RAIL

For necessary expenses for the Next Generation High-Speed Rail program as authorized under 49 U.S.C. 26101 and 26102, \$32,300,000, to remain available until expended.

ALASKA RAILROAD REHABILITATION

To enable the Secretary of Transportation to make grants to the Alaska Railroad, \$20,000,000 shall be for capital rehabilitation and improvements benefiting its passenger operations, to remain available until expended.

CAPITAL GRANTS TO THE NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

For necessary expenses of capital improvements of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 24104(a), \$521,476,000, to remain available until expended.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For necessary administrative expenses of the Federal Transit Administration's programs authorized by chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, \$13,400,000: Provided, That no more than \$67,000,000 of budget authority shall be available for these purposes: Provided further, That of the funds in this Act available for the execution of contracts under section 5327(c) of title 49, United States Code, \$2,000,000 shall be reimbursed to the Department of Transportation's Office of Inspector General for costs associated with audits and investigations of transit-related issues, including reviews of new fixed guideway systems: Provided further, That not to exceed \$2,600,000 for the National transit database shall remain available until expended.

FORMULA GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5307, 5308, 5310, 5311, 5327, and section 3038 of Public Law 105-178, \$718,400,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no more than \$3,592,000,000 of budget authority shall be available for these purposes: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds provided under this heading, \$5,000,000 shall be available for grants for the costs of planning, delivery, and temporary use of transit vehicles for special transportation needs and construction of temporary transportation facilities for the VIII Paralympiad for the Disabled, to be held in Salt Lake City, Utah: Provided further, That in allocating the funds designated in the preceding proviso, the Secretary shall make grants only to the Utah Department of Transportation, and such grants shall not be subject to any local share requirement or limitation on operating assistance under this Act or the Federal Transit Act, as amended: Provided further, That notwithstanding section 3008 of Public Law 105-178 and 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(3)(C), \$50,000,000 of the funds to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5308 shall be transferred to and merged with funding provided for the replacement, rehabilitation, and purchase of buses and related equipment

and the construction of bus-related facilities under "Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants".

University Transportation Research

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5505, \$1,200,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That no more than \$6,000,000 of budget authority shall be available for these purposes.

TRANSIT PLANNING AND RESEARCH

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5303, 5304, 5305, 5311(b)(2), 5312, 5313(a), 5314, 5315, and 5322, \$23,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no more than \$116,000,000 of budget authority shall be available for these purposes: Provided further, That \$5,250,000 is available to provide rural transportation assistance (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(2)), \$4,000,000 is available to carry out programs under the National Transit Institute (49 U.S.C. 5315), \$8,250,000 is available to carry out transit cooperative research programs (49 U.S.C. 5313(a)), \$55,422,400 is available for metropolitan planning (49 U.S.C. 5303, 5304, and 5305), \$11,577,600 is available for State planning (49 U.S.C. 5313(b)); and \$31,500,000 is available for the national planning and research program (49 U.S.C. 5314).

TRUST FUND SHARE OF EXPENSES (LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION) (HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for payment of obligations incurred in carrying out 49 U.S.C. 5303-5308, 5310-5315, 5317(b), 5322, 5327, 5334, 5505, and sections 3037 and 3038 of Public Law 105-178, \$5,397,800,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund: Provided, That \$2,873,600,000 shall be paid to the Federal Transit Administration's formula grants account: Provided further, That \$93,000,000 shall be paid to the Federal Transit Administration's transit planning and research account: Provided further, That \$53,600,000 shall be paid to the Federal Transit Administration's administrative expenses account: Provided further, That \$4,800,000 shall be paid to the Federal Transit Administration's university transportation research account: Provided further, That \$100,000,000 shall be paid to the Federal Transit Administration's job access and reverse commute grants program: Provided further, That \$2,272,800,000 shall be paid to the Federal Transit Administration's capital investment grants account.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5308, 5309, 5318, and 5327, \$568,200,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$2,841,000,000 of budget authority shall be available for these purposes: Provided further, That there shall be available for fixed guideway modernization, \$1,136,400,000; there shall be available for the replacement, rehabilitation, and purchase of buses and related equipment and the construction of bus-related facilities, \$568,200,000, together with \$50,000,000 transferred from "Federal Transit Administration, Formula Grants"; and there shall be available for new fixed guideway systems \$1,136,400,000, together with \$1,488,840 of the funds made available under "Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants" in Public Law 105–277; to be available as follows:

\$10,296,000 for Alaska or Hawaii ferry projects;

\$1,000,000 for the Albuquerque, New Mexico, light rail project;

\$25,000,000 for the Atlanta, Georgia, North line extension project;

\$13,000,000 for the Baltimore, Maryland, central light rail transit double track project;

\$1,500,000 for the Baltimore, Maryland, rail transit project;

\$2,000,000 for the Birmingham, Alabama, transit corridor project;

\$10,631,245 for the Boston, Massachusetts, South Boston Piers transitway project;

\$500,000 for the Boston, Massachusetts, urban ring transit project;

\$7,000,000 for the Charlotte, North Carolina, South corridor light rail transit project;

\$32,750,000 for the Chicago, Illinois, Douglas branch reconstruction project;

\$55,000,000 for the Chicago, Illinois, METRA commuter rail and line extension projects;

\$3,000,000 for the Chicago, Illinois, Ravenswood reconstruction project;

\$6,000,000 for the Cleveland, Ohio, Euclid corridor transportation project;

\$70,000,000 for the Dallas, Texas, North Central light rail transit extension project;

\$55,000,000 for the Denver, Colorado, Southeast corridor light rail transit project;

\$192,492 for the Denver, Colorado, Southwest. corridor light rail transit project;

\$150,000 for the Des Moines, Iowa, DSM bus feasibility project;

\$200,000 for the Dubuque, Iowa, light rail feasibility project;

\$25,000,000 for the Dulles corridor, Virginia, bus rapid transit project;

\$27,000,000 for the Fort Lauderdale, Florida, Tri-County commuter rail upgrades project;

\$2,000,000 for the Fort Worth, Texas, Trinity railway express project;

\$750,000 for the Grand Rapids, Michigan, ITP metro area, major corridor project;

\$12,000,000 for Honolulu, Hawaii, bus rapid transit project;

\$10,000,000 for the Houston, Texas, Metro advanced transit project;

\$300,000 for the Iowa, Metrolink light rail feasibility project;

\$1,500,000 for the Johnson County, Kansas-Kansas City, Missouri, I-35 commuter rail project;

\$2,000,000 for the Kenosha-Racine-Milwaukee, Wisconsin, commuter rail extension project;

\$55,000,000 for the Largo, Maryland, metrorail extension project;

\$2,000,000 for the Little Rock, Arkansas, river rail project;

\$14,744,420 for the Long Island Rail Road, New York, East Side access project;

\$9,289,557 for the Los Angeles, California, North Hollywood extension project;

\$7,500,000 for the Los Angeles, California, East Side corridor light rail transit project;

\$3,000,000 for the Lowell, Massachusetts-Nashua, New Hampshire commuter rail extension project;

\$12,000,000 for the Maryland (MARC) commuter rail improvements projects;

\$19,170,000 for the Memphis, Tennessee, Medical center rail extension project;

\$5,000,000 for the Miami, Florida, South Miami-Dade busway extension project;

\$10,000,000 for the Minneapolis-Rice, Minnesota, Northstar corridor commuter rail project;

\$50,000,000 for the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota, Hiawatha corridor light rail transit project;

\$4,000,000 for the Nashville, Tennessee, East corridor commuter rail project;

\$141,000,000 for the New Jersey Hudson-Bergen light rail transit project;

\$15,000,000 for the New Orleans, Louisiana, Canal Street car line project;

\$1,200,000 for the New Orleans, Louisiana, Desire corridor streetcar project;

\$2,000,000 for the New York, New York, Second Avenue subway project;

\$20,000,000 for the Newark-Elizabeth, New Jersey, rail link project;

\$2,500,000 for the Northeast Indianapolis, Indiana, downtown corridor project;

\$2,500,000 for the Northern Indiana South Shore commuter rail project;

\$6,500,000 for the Oceanside-Escondido, California, light rail extension project;

\$500,000 for the Ohio, Central Ohio North corridor rail (COTA) project;

\$5,000,000 for the Pawtucket-TF Green, Rhode Island, commuter rail and maintenance facility project;

\$9,000,000 for the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Schuykill Valley metro project;

\$10,000,000 for the Phoenix, Arizona, Central Phoenix/East Valley corridor project;

\$8,000,000 for the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, North Shore connector light rail transit project; \$18,000,000 for the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, stage II light rail transit reconstruction project;

\$64,000,000 for the Portland, Oregon, Interstate MAX light rail transit extension project;

\$20,000,000 for the Puget Sound, Washington, RTA Sounder commuter rail project;

\$9,000,000 for the Raleigh, North Carolina,
Triangle transit project;

\$328,000 for the Sacramento, California, light rail transit extension project;

\$14,000,000 for the Salt Lake City, Utah, CBD to University light rail transit project;

\$3,000,000 for the Salt Lake City, Utah, University Medical Center light rail transit extension project;

\$60,000,000 for the San Diego, California, Mission Valley East light rail project;

\$1,000,000 for the San Diego, California, Mid Coast corridor project;

\$75,673,790 for the San Francisco, California, BART extension to the airport project;

\$113,336 for the San Jose, California, Tasman West light rail transit project;

\$40,000,000 for the San Juan, Puerto Rico, Tren Urbano project; \$1,700,000 for the Sioux City, Iowa, light rail project;

\$28,000,000 for the St. Louis-St. Clair, Missouri, metrolink extension project;

\$5,000,000 for the Stamford, Connecticut, urban transitway project;

\$3,000,000 for the Stockton, California, Altamont commuter rail project;

\$3,000,000 for the Virginia Railway Express station improvements project;

\$500,000 for the Washington County, Oregon, Wilsonville to Beaverton commuter rail project;

\$2,500,000 for the Wasilla, Alaska, alternative route project; and

\$400,000 for the Yosemite, California, area regional transportation system project.

JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE GRANTS

Notwithstanding section 3037(1)(3) of Public Law 105–178, as amended, for necessary expenses to carry out section 3037 of the Federal Transit Act of 1998, \$25,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That no more than \$125,000,000 of budget authority shall be available for these purposes: *Provided further*, That up to \$250,000 of the funds provided under this heading may be used by the Federal Transit Administra-

tion for technical assistance and support and performance reviews of the Job Access and Reverse Commute Grants program.

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation is hereby authorized to make such expenditures, within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to the Corporation, and in accord with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations as provided by section 104 of the Government Corporation Control Act, as amended, as may be necessary in carrying out the programs set forth in the Corporation's budget for the current fiscal year.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND)

For necessary expenses for operations and maintenance of those portions of the Saint Lawrence Seaway operated and maintained by the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, \$13,345,000, to be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99–662.

RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS

For expenses necessary to discharge the functions of the Research and Special Programs Administration, \$37,279,000, of which \$645,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund, and of which \$2,170,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That up to \$1,200,000 in fees collected under 49 U.S.C. 5108(g) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury as offsetting receipts: Provided further, That there may be credited to this appropriation, to be available until expended, funds received from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training, for reports publication and dissemination, and for travel expenses incurred in performance of hazardous materials exemptions and approvals functions.

PIPELINE SAFETY

(PIPELINE SAFETY FUND)

(OIL SPILL LIABILITY TRUST FUND)

For expenses necessary to conduct the functions of the pipeline safety program, for grants-in-aid to carry out a pipeline safety program, as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 60107, and to discharge the pipeline program responsibilities of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$58,250,000, of

which \$7,864,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and shall remain available until September 30, 2004; of which \$50,386,000 shall be derived from the Pipeline Safety Fund, of which \$30,828,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2004.

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS GRANTS
(EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FUND)

For necessary expenses to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5127(c), \$200,000, to be derived from the Emergency Preparedness Fund, to remain available until September 30, 2004: Provided, That not more than \$14,300,000 shall be made available for obligation in fiscal year 2002 from amounts made available by 49 U.S.C. 5116(i) and 5127(d): Provided further, That none of the funds made available by 49 U.S.C. 5116(i) and 5127(d) shall be made available for obligation by individuals other than the Secretary of Transportation, or his designee.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General to carry out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$50,614,000: Provided, That the Inspector General shall have all necessary authority, in carrying out the duties specified in the Inspector General Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3) to invest

tigate allegations of fraud, including false statements to the government (18 U.S.C. 1001), by any person or entity that is subject to regulation by the Department: *Provided further*, That the funds made available under this heading shall be used to investigate, pursuant to section 41712 of title 49, United States Code: (1) unfair or deceptive practices and unfair methods of competition by domestic and foreign air carriers and ticket agents; and (2) the compliance of domestic and foreign air carriers with respect to item (1) of this proviso.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Surface Transportation Board, including services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$18,457,000: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$950,000 from fees established by the Chairman of the Surface Transportation Board shall be credited to this appropriation as off-setting collections and used for necessary and authorized expenses under this heading: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the general fund shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis as such offsetting collections are received during fiscal year 2002, to result in a final appropriation from the general fund estimated at no more than \$17,507,000.

TITLE II

RELATED AGENCIES

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, as authorized by section 502 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, \$5,015,000: *Provided*, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, there may be credited to this appropriation funds received for publications and training expenses.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the National Transportation Safety Board, including hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for a GS-15; uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901-5902) \$68,000,000, of which not to exceed \$2,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses.

TITLE III

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

SEC. 301. During the current fiscal year applicable appropriations to the Department of Transportation shall be available for maintenance and operation of aircraft; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; purchase of liability insurance for motor vehicles operating in foreign countries on official department business; and uniforms, or allowances therefor, as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901–5902).

SEC. 302. Such sums as may be necessary for fiscal year 2002 pay raises for programs funded in this Act shall be absorbed within the levels appropriated in this Act or previous appropriations Acts.

SEC. 303. Appropriations contained in this Act for the Department of Transportation shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for an Executive Level IV.

SEC. 304. None of the funds in this Act shall be available for salaries and expenses of more than 105 political and Presidential appointees in the Department of Transportation: *Provided*, That none of the personnel covered by this provision or political and Presidential appointees.

in an independent agency funded in this Act may be assigned on temporary detail outside the Department of Transportation or such independent agency except to the Office of Homeland Security.

SEC. 305. None of the funds in this Act shall be used for the planning or execution of any program to pay the expenses of, or otherwise compensate, non-Federal parties intervening in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act.

SEC. 306. None of the funds appropriated in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, nor may any be transferred to other appropriations, unless expressly so provided herein.

SEC. 307. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract pursuant to section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive order issued pursuant to existing law.

SEC. 308. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to implement section 404 of title 23, United States Code.

SEC. 309. The limitations on obligations for the programs of the Federal Transit Administration shall not

apply to any authority under 49 U.S.C. 5338, previously made available for obligation, or to any other authority previously made available for obligation.

SEC. 310. (a) For fiscal year 2002, the Secretary of Transportation shall—

- (1) not distribute from the obligation limitation for Federal-aid Highways amounts authorized for administrative expenses and programs funded from the administrative takedown authorized by section 104(a)(1)(A) of title 23, United States Code, for the highway use tax evasion program, amounts provided under section 110 of title 23, United States Code, and for the Bureau of Transportation Statistics;
- (2) not distribute an amount from the obligation limitation for Federal-aid Highways that is equal to the unobligated balance of amounts made available from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for Federal-aid highways and highway safety programs for the previous fiscal year the funds for which are allocated by the Secretary;
 - (3) determine the ratio that—
 - (A) the obligation limitation for Federalaid Highways less the aggregate of amounts not

distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2), bears to

- (B) the total of the sums authorized to be appropriated for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (other than sums authorized to be appropriated for sections set forth in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (b) and sums authorized to be appropriated for section 105 of title 23, United States Code, equal to the amount referred to in subsection (b)(8)) for such fiscal year less the aggregate of the amounts not distributed under paragraph (1) of this subsection;
- (4) distribute the obligation limitation for Federal-aid Highways less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 117 of title 23, United States Code (relating to high priority projects program), section 201 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge Authority Act of 1995, and \$2,000,000,000 for such fiscal year under section 105 of title 23, United States Code (relating to minimum guarantee) so that the amount of obligation authority available for each of such sections is equal to the amount determined by multiplying

the ratio determined under paragraph (3) by the sums authorized to be appropriated for such section (except in the case of section 105, \$2,000,000,000) for such fiscal year;

- (5) distribute the obligation limitation provided for Federal-aid Highways less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) and amounts distributed under paragraph (4) for each of the programs that are allocated by the Secretary under title 23, United States Code (other than activities to which paragraph (1) applies and programs to which paragraph (4) applies) by multiplying the ratio determined under paragraph (3) by the sums authorized to be appropriated for such program for such fiscal year; and
- (6) distribute the obligation limitation provided for Federal-aid Highways less the aggregate amounts not distributed under paragraphs (1) and (2) and amounts distributed under paragraphs (4) and (5) for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction programs (other than the minimum guarantee program, but only to the extent that amounts apportioned for the minimum guarantee program for such fiscal year exceed \$2,639,000,000, and the Appalachian development highway system

program) that are apportioned by the Secretary under title 23, United States Code, in the ratio that—

- (A) sums authorized to be appropriated for such programs that are apportioned to each State for such fiscal year, bear to
- (B) the total of the sums authorized to be appropriated for such programs that are apportioned to all States for such fiscal year.
- (b) EXCEPTIONS FROM OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—
 The obligation limitation for Federal-aid Highways shall not apply to obligations: (1) under section 125 of title 23, United States Code; (2) under section 147 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978; (3) under section 9 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1981; (4) under sections 131(b) and 131(j) of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982; (5) under sections 149(b) and 149(c) of the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987; (6) under sections 1103 through 1108 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991; (7) under section 157 of title 23, United States Code, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century; and (8) under section 105 of title 23,

United States Code (but, only in an amount equal to \$639,000,000 for such fiscal year).

- (c) REDISTRIBUTION OF UNUSED OBLIGATION AU-THORITY.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall after August 1 for such fiscal year revise a distribution of the obligation limitation made available under subsection (a) if a State will not obligate the amount distributed during that fiscal year and redistribute sufficient amounts to those States able to obligate amounts in addition to those previously distributed during that fiscal year giving priority to those States having large unobligated balances of funds apportioned under sections 104 and 144 of title 23, United States Code, section 160 (as in effect on the day before the enactment of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century) of title 23, United States Code, and under section 1015 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 1943– 1945).
- (d) APPLICABILITY OF OBLIGATION LIMITATIONS TO TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.—The obligation limitation shall apply to transportation research programs carried out under chapter 5 of title 23, United States Code, except that obligation authority made available for such programs under such limitation shall remain available for a period of 3 fiscal years.

- REDISTRIBUTION OF CERTAIN AUTHORIZED FUNDS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the distribution of obligation limitation under subsection (a), the Secretary shall distribute to the States any funds: (1) that are authorized to be appropriated for such fiscal year for Federal-aid highways programs (other than the program under section 160 of title 23, United States Code) and for carrying out subchapter I of chapter 311 of title 49. United States Code, and highway-related programs under chapter 4 of title 23, United States Code; and (2) that the Secretary determines will not be allocated to the States, and will not be available for obligation, in such fiscal year due to the imposition of any obligation limitation for such fiscal year. Such distribution to the States shall be made in the same ratio as the distribution of obligation authority under subsection (a)(6). The funds so distributed shall be available for any purposes described in section 133(b) of title 23, United States Code.
- (f) SPECIAL RULE.—Obligation limitation distributed for a fiscal year under subsection (a)(4) of this section for a section set forth in subsection (a)(4) shall remain available until used and shall be in addition to the amount of any limitation imposed on obligations for Federal-aid highway and highway safety construction programs for future fiscal years.

SEC. 311. (a) No recipient of funds made available in this Act shall disseminate personal information (as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2725(3)) obtained by a State department of motor vehicles in connection with a motor vehicle record as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2725(1), except as provided in 18 U.S.C. 2721 for a use permitted under 18 U.S.C. 2721.

(b) Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary shall not withhold funds provided in this Act for any grantee if a State is in noncompliance with this provision.

SEC. 312. None of the funds in this Act shall be available to plan, finalize, or implement regulations that would establish a vessel traffic safety fairway less than five miles wide between the Santa Barbara Traffic Separation Scheme and the San Francisco Traffic Separation Scheme.

SEC. 313. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, airports may transfer, without consideration, to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) instrument landing systems (along with associated approach lighting equipment and runway visual range equipment) which conform to FAA design and performance specifications, the purchase of which was assisted by a Federal airportaid program, airport development aid program or airport improvement program grant: *Provided*, That, the Federal

Aviation Administration shall accept such equipment, which shall thereafter be operated and maintained by FAA in accordance with agency criteria.

SEC. 314. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and except for fixed guideway modernization projects, funds made available by this Act under "Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants" for projects specified in this Act or identified in reports accompanying this Act not obligated by September 30, 2004, and other recoveries, shall be made available for other projects under 49 U.S.C. 5309.

PENUMPER

SEC. 316. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds appropriated before October 1, 2001, under any section of chapter 53 of title 49, United States Code, that remain available for expenditure may be transferred to and administered under the most recent appropriation heading for any such section.

SEC. 317. None of the funds in this Act may be used to compensate in excess of 335 technical staff-years under the federally funded research and development center contract between the Federal Aviation Administration and the Center for Advanced Aviation Systems Development during fiscal year 2002.

317) SEC. 318. Funds received by the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and Fed-

319

320

eral Railroad Administration from States, counties, municipalities, other public authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training may be credited respectively to the Federal Highway Administration's "Federal-Aid Highways" account, the Federal Transit Administration's "Transit Planning and Research" account, and to the Federal Railroad Administration's "Safety and Operations" account, except for State rail safety inspectors participating in training pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 20105.

SEC. 319. Of the funds made available under section 1101(a)(12) and section 1503 of Public Law 105-178, as amended, \$52,973,000 are rescinded.

SEC. 320. Beginning in fiscal year 2002 and thereafter, the Secretary may use up to 1 percent of the amounts made available to carry out 49 U.S.C. 5309 for oversight activities under 49 U.S.C. 5327.

SEC. 321. Funds made available for Alaska or Hawaii ferry boats or ferry terminal facilities pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(2)(B) may be used to construct new vessels and facilities, or to improve existing vessels and facilities, including both the passenger and vehicle-related elements of such vessels and facilities, and for repair facilities: *Provided*, That not more than \$3,000,000 of the funds made available pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(2)(B) may be used by the State of Hawaii to

initiate and operate a passenger ferryboat services demonstration project to test the viability of different intraisland and inter-island ferry routes.

SEC. 322. Notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, funds received by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics from the sale of data products, for necessary expenses incurred pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 111 may be credited to the Federal-aid highways account for the purpose of reimbursing the Bureau for such expenses: *Provided*, That such funds shall be subject to the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction.

SEC. 323. Section 3030(a) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 105-178) is amended by adding at the end, the following line: "Washington County—Wilsonville to Beaverton commuter rail.".

SEC. 924. Section 3030(b) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 105–178) is amended by adding at the end the following: "Detroit, Michigan Metropolitan Airport rail project.".

SEC. 325. None of the funds in this Act may be obligated or expended for employee training which: (a) does not meet identified needs for knowledge, skills and abilities bearing directly upon the performance of official duties; (b) contains elements likely to induce high levels of emotional response or psychological stress in some partici-

pants; (c) does not require prior employee notification of the content and methods to be used in the training and written end of course evaluations; (d) contains any methods or content associated with religious or quasi-religious belief systems or "new age" belief systems as defined in Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Notice N-915.022, dated September 2, 1988; (e) is offensive to, or designed to change, participants' personal values or lifestyle outside the workplace; or (f) includes content related to human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS) other than that necessary to make employees more aware of the medical ramifications of HIV/AIDS and the workplace rights of HIV-positive employees.

325

SEC. 326. None of the funds in this Act shall, in the absence of express authorization by Congress, be used directly or indirectly to pay for any personal service, advertisement, telegraph, telephone, letter, printed or written material, radio, television, video presentation, electronic communications, or other device, intended or designed to influence in any manner a Member of Congress or of a State legislature to favor or oppose by vote or otherwise, any legislation or appropriation by Congress or a State legislature after the introduction of any bill or resolution in Congress proposing such legislation or appropriation,

or after the introduction of any bill or resolution in a State legislature proposing such legislation or appropriation: *Provided*, That this shall not prevent officers or employees of the Department of Transportation or related agencies funded in this Act from communicating to Members of Congress or to Congress, on the request of any Member, or to members of State legislature, or to a State legislature, through the proper official channels, requests for legislation or appropriations which they deem necessary for the efficient conduct of business.

SEC. 927. (a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be expended by an entity unless the entity agrees that in expending the funds the entity will comply with the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a-10c).

- (b) SENSE OF THE CONGRESS; REQUIREMENT RE-GARDING NOTICE.—
 - (1) Purchase of american-made equipment or and product that may be authorized to be purchased with financial assistance provided using funds made available in this Act, it is the sense of the Congress that entities receiving the assistance should, in expending the assistance, purchase only American-

made equipment and products to the greatest extent practicable.

- (2) NOTICE TO RECIPIENTS OF ASSISTANCE.—
 In providing financial assistance using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency shall provide to each recipient of the assistance a notice describing the statement made in paragraph (1) by the Congress.
- (c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—
 If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 328. Rebates, refunds, incentive payments, minor fees and other funds received by the Department from travel management centers, charge card programs, the subleasing of building space, and miscellaneous sources are to be credited to appropriations of the Depart-

ment and allocated to elements of the Department using fair and equitable criteria and such funds shall be available until December 31, 2002.

328

SEC. 329. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, rule or regulation, the Secretary of Transportation is authorized to allow the issuer of any preferred stock heretofore sold to the Department to redeem or repurchase such stock upon the payment to the Department of an amount determined by the Secretary.

329

SEC. 330. For necessary expenses of the Amtrak Reform Council authorized under section 203 of Public Law 105–134, \$225,000, to remain available until September 30, 2003.

330)

SEC. 931. In addition to amounts otherwise made available in this Act, to enable the Secretary of Transportation to make grants for surface transportation projects, \$144,000,000, to remain available until expended.

331

SEC. §32. During fiscal year 2002, for providing support to the Department of Defense, the Coast Guard Yard and other Coast Guard specialized facilities designated by the Commandant shall qualify as components of the Department of Defense for competition and workload assignment purposes: *Provided*, That in addition, for purposes of entering into joint public-private partnerships and other cooperative arrangements for the performance of work, the

Coast Guard Yard and other Coast Guard specialized facilities may enter into agreements or other arrangements, receive and retain funds from and pay funds to such public and private entities, and may accept contributions of funds, materials, services, and the use of facilities from such entities: *Provided further*, That amounts received under this section may be credited to appropriate Coast Guard accounts for fiscal year 2002.

332

SEC. 333. None of the funds in this Act may be used to make a grant unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not less than three full business days before any discretionary grant award, letter of intent, or full funding grant agreement totaling \$1,000,000 or more is announced by the department or its modal administrations from: (1) any discretionary grant program of the Federal Highway Administration other than the emergency relief program; (2) the airport improvement program of the Federal Aviation Administration; or (3) any program of the Federal Transit Administration other than the formula grants and fixed guideway modernization programs: *Provided*, That no notification shall involve funds that are not available for obligation.

333 1

SEC. 934. (a) None of the funds made available in this Act shall be available for the design or construction of a light rail system in Houston, Texas.

- (b) Notwithstanding (a), amounts made available in this Act under the heading "Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants" for a Houston, Texas, Metro advanced transit plan project shall be available for obligation or expenditure subject to the following conditions:
 - (1) Sufficient amounts shall be used for major investment studies in 4 major corridors.
 - (2) The Texas Department of Transportation shall review and comment on the findings of the studies under paragraph (1). Any comments by such department on such findings shall be included in any final report on such studies.
 - (3) If a final report on the studies under paragraph (1) is not available for at least the 1-month period preceding the date of any referendum held by the City of Houston, Texas, or by a county of Texas, regarding approval of the issuance of bonds for funding a light rail system in Houston, Texas, all information developed by such studies regarding passenger and cost estimates for such a system shall be

made available to the public at least one month before the date of the referendum.

334)-

SEC. 335. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for engineering work related to an additional runway at New Orleans International Airport.

335

SEC. 336. None of the funds in this Act shall be used to pursue or adopt guidelines or regulations requiring airport sponsors to provide to the Federal Aviation Administration without cost building construction, maintenance, utilities and expenses, or space in airport sponsor-owned buildings for services relating to air traffic control, air navigation or weather reporting: *Provided*, That the prohibition of funds in this section does not apply to negotiations between the agency and airport sponsors to achieve agreement on "below-market" rates for these items or to grant assurances that require airport sponsors to provide land without cost to the FAA for air traffic control facilities.

336}

SEC. 337. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, whenever an allocation is made of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the Federal lands highway program, and whenever an apportionment is made of the sums authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the surface transportation program, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program,

the National Highway System, the Interstate maintenance program, the bridge program, the Appalachian development highway system, and the minimum guarantee program, the Secretary of Transportation shall deduct a sum in such amount not to exceed two-fifths of 1 percent of all sums so made available, as the Secretary determines necessary, to administer the provisions of law to be financed from appropriations for motor carrier safety programs and motor carrier safety research. The sum so deducted shall remain available until expended: *Provided*, That any deduction by the Secretary of Transportation in accordance with this paragraph shall be deemed to be a deduction under section 104(a)(1)(B) of title 23, United States Code.

337

SEC. 338. For an airport project that the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) determines will add critical airport capacity to the national air transportation system, the Administrator is authorized to accept funds from an airport sponsor, including entitlement funds provided under the "Grants-in-Aid for Airports" program, for the FAA to hire additional staff or obtain the services of consultants: *Provided*, That the Administrator is authorized to accept and utilize such funds only for the purpose of facilitating the timely processing,

review, and completion of environmental activities associated with such project.

338)

SEC. 839. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to further any efforts toward developing a new regional airport for southeast Louisiana until a comprehensive plan is submitted by a commission of stakeholders to the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration and that plan, as approved by the Administrator, is submitted to and approved by the Senate Committee on Appropriations and the House Committee on Appropriations.

339

SEC. 340. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, States may use funds provided in this Act under Section 402 of title 23, United States Code, to produce and place highway safety public service messages in television, radio, cinema and print media, and on the Internet in accordance with guidance issued by the Secretary of Transportation: *Provided*, That any State that uses funds for such public service messages shall submit to the Secretary a report describing and assessing the effectiveness of the messages: *Provided further*, That \$8,000,000 of the funds allocated for innovative seat belt projects under section 157 of title 23, United States Code, shall be used by the States, as directed by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administrator, to purchase advertising in broadcast or

print media to publicize the States' seat belt enforcement efforts during one or more of the Operation ABC National Mobilizations: *Provided further*, That up to \$2,000,000 of the funds allocated for innovative seat belt projects under section 157 of title 23, United States Code, shall be used by the Administrator to evaluate the effectiveness of State seat belt programs that purchase advertising as provided by this section.

340

SEC. 341. Item 1348 of the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century is amended by striking "Extend West Douglas Road" and inserting "Construct Gastineau Channel Second Crossing to Douglas Island".

341

SEC. 942. None of the funds in this Act may be obligated for the Office of the Secretary of Transportation to approve assessments or reimbursable agreements pertaining to funds appropriated to the modal administrations in this Act, except for activities underway on the date of enactment of this Act, unless such assessments or agreements have completed the normal reprogramming process for Congressional notification.

342)

SEC. 343. Item 642 in the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, relating to Washington, is amended by striking "Construct passenger ferry facility to serve Southworth,

Seattle" and inserting "Passenger only ferry to serve Kitsap and King Counties to Seattle".

SEC. 944. Item 1793 in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, relating to Washington, is amended by striking "Southworth Seattle Ferry" and inserting "Passenger only ferry to serve Kitsap and King Counties to Seattle".

SEC. 845. Item 576 in the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (112 Stat. 278) is amended by striking "Bull Shoals Lake Ferry in Taney County" and inserting "Construct the Missouri Center for Advanced Highway Safety (MOCAHS)".

SEC. 346. The transit station operated by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority located at Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport, and known as the National Airport Station, shall be known and designated as the "Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport Station". The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority shall modify the signs at the transit station, and all maps, directories, documents, and other records published by the Authority, to reflect the redesignation.

SEC. 847. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available in this Act may be made available to

any person or entity convicted of violating the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a-10c).

347

SEC. 349. For fiscal year 2002, notwithstanding any other provision of law, historic covered bridges eligible for Federal assistance under section 1224 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, as amended, may be funded from amounts set aside for the discretionary bridge program.

348

SEC. 350. None of the funds provided in this Act or prior Appropriations Acts for Coast Guard "Acquisition, construction, and improvements" shall be available after the fifteenth day of any quarter of any fiscal year, unless the Commandant of the Coast Guard first submits a quarterly report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on all major Coast Guard acquisition projects including projects executed for the Coast Guard by the United States Navy and vessel traffic service projects: Provided. That such reports shall include an acquisition schedule, estimated current and year funding requirements, and a schedule of anticipated obligations and outlays for each major acquisition project: Provided further, That such reports shall rate on a relative scale the cost risk, schedule risk, and technical risk associated with each acquisition project and include a table detailing unobligated balances to date and anticipated unobligated balances at the close of the fiscal year and the close of the following fiscal year should the Administration's pending budget request for the acquisition, construction, and improvements account be fully funded: *Provided further*, That such reports shall also provide abbreviated information on the status of shore facility construction and renovation projects: *Provided further*, That all information submitted in such reports shall be current as of the last day of the preceding quarter.

349

SEC. 351. Funds provided in this Act for the Transportation Administrative Service Center (TASC) shall be reduced by \$5,000,000, which limits fiscal year 2002 TASC obligational authority for elements of the Department of Transportation funded in this Act to no more than \$120,323,000: Provided, That such reductions from the budget request shall be allocated by the Department of Transportation to each appropriations account in proportion to the amount included in each account for the Transportation Administrative Service Center.

350

SEC. 352. SAFETY OF CROSS-BORDER TRUCKING BETWEEN UNITED STATES AND MEXICO. (a) No funds limited or appropriated in this Act may be obligated or expended for the review or processing of an application by a Mexican motor carrier for authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones

on the United States-Mexico border until the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration—

- (1)(A) requires a safety examination of such motor carrier to be performed before the carrier is granted conditional operating authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border;
- .. (B) requires the safety examination to include—
 - (i) verification of available performance data and safety management programs;
 - (ii) verification of a drug and alcohol testing program consistent with part 40 of title 49,Code of Federal Regulations;
 - (iii) verification of that motor carrier's system of compliance with hours-of-service rules, including hours-of-service records;
 - (iv) verification of proof of insurance;
 - (v) a review of available data concerning that motor carrier's safety history, and other information necessary to determine the carrier's preparedness to comply with Federal Motor Carrier Safety rules and regulations and Hazardous Materials rules and regulations;

- (vi) an inspection of that Mexican motor carrier's commercial vehicles to be used under such operating authority, if any such commercial vehicles have not received a decal from the inspection required in subsection (a)(5)
- (vii) an evaluation of that motor carrier's safety inspection, maintenance, and repair facilities or management systems, including verification of records of periodic vehicle inspections;
- (viii) verification of drivers' qualifications, including a confirmation of the validity of the Licencia de Federal de Conductor of each driver of that motor carrier who will be operating under such authority; and
- (ix) an interview with officials of that motor carrier to review safety management controls and evaluate any written safety oversight policies and practices.

(C) requires that—

(i) Mexican motor carriers with three or fewer commercial vehicles need not undergo onsite safety examination; however 50 percent of all safety examinations of all Mexican motor carriers shall be conducted onsite; and

- (ii) such on-site inspections shall cover at least 50 percent of estimated truck traffic in any year.
- (2) requires a full safety compliance review of the carrier consistent with the safety fitness evaluation procedures set forth in part 385 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, and gives the motor carrier a satisfactory rating, before the carrier is granted permanent operating authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border, and requires that any such safety compliance review take place within 18 months of that motor carrier being granted conditional operating authority, provided that;
 - (A) Mexican motor carriers with three or fewer commercial vehicles need not undergo onsite compliance review; however 50 percent of all compliance reviews of all Mexican motor carriers shall be conducted onsite; and
 - (B) any Mexican motor carrier with 4 or more commercial vehicles that did not undergo an on-site safety exam under (a)(1)(C), shall undergo an on-site safety compliance review under this section.

- (3) requires Federal and State inspectors to verify electronically the status and validity of the license of each driver of a Mexican motor carrier commercial vehicle crossing the border;
 - (A) for every such vehicle carrying a placardable quantity of hazardous materials;
 - (B) whenever the inspection required in subsection (a)(5) is performed; and
 - (C) randomly for other Mexican motor carrier commercial vehicles, but in no case less than 50 percent of all other such commercial vehicles.
 - (4) gives a distinctive Department of Transportation number to each Mexican motor carrier operating beyond the commercial zone to assist inspectors in enforcing motor carrier safety regulations including hours-of-service rules under part 395 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations;
 - (5) requires, with the exception of Mexican motor carriers that have been granted permanent operating authority for three consecutive years—
 - (A) inspections of all commercial vehicles of Mexican motor carriers authorized, or seeking authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the

United States-Mexico border that do not display a valid Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance inspection decal, by certified inspectors in accordance with the requirements for a Level I Inspection under the criteria of the North American Standard Inspection (as defined in section 350.105 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations), including examination of the driver, vehicle exterior and vehicle under-carriage;

- (B) a Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance decal to be affixed to each such commercial vehicle upon completion of the inspection required by clause (A) or a re-inspection if the vehicle has met the criteria for the Level I inspection; and
- (C) that any such decal, when affixed, expire at the end of a period of not more than 90 days, but nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to preclude the Administration from requiring reinspection of a vehicle bearing a valid inspection decal or from requiring that such a decal be removed when a certified Federal or State inspector determines that such a vehicle has a safety violation subsequent to the inspection for which the decal was granted.

- (6) requires State inspectors who detect violations of Federal motor carrier safety laws or regulations to enforce them or notify Federal authorities of such violations;
- (7)(A) equips all United States-Mexico commercial border crossings with scales suitable for enforcement action; equips 5 of the 10 such crossings that have the highest volume of commercial vehicle traffic with weigh-in-motion (WIM) systems; ensures that the remaining 5 such border crossings are equipped within 12 months; requires inspectors to verify the weight of each Mexican motor carrier commercial vehicle entering the United States at said WIM equipped high volume border crossings; and
- (B) initiates a study to determine which other crossings should also be equipped with weigh-in-motion systems;
- (8) the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration has implemented a policy to ensure that no Mexican motor carrier will be granted authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border unless that carrier provides proof of valid insurance with an insurance company licensed in the United States;

(9) requires commercial vehicles operated by a Mexican motor carrier to enter the United States only at commercial border crossings where and when a certified motor carrier safety inspector is on duty and where adequate capacity exists to conduct a sufficient number of meaningful vehicle safety inspections and to accommodate vehicles placed out-of-service as a result of said inspections.

(10) publishes—

- (A) interim final regulations under section 210(b) of the Motor Carrier Safety Improvement Act of 1999 (49 U.S.C. 31144 nt.) that establish minimum requirements for motor carriers, including foreign motor carriers, to ensure they are knowledgeable about Federal safety standards, that may include the administration of a proficiency examination;
- (B) interim final regulations under section 31148 of title 49, United States Code, that implement measures to improve training and provide for the certification of motor carrier safety auditors;
- (C) a policy under sections 218(a) and (b) of that Act (49 U.S.C. 31133 nt.) establishing standards for the determination of the appro-

priate number of Federal and State motor carrier inspectors for the United States-Mexico border;

- (D) a policy under section 219(d) of that Act (49 U.S.C. 14901 nt.) that prohibit foreign motor carriers from leasing vehicles to another carrier to transport products to the United States while the lessor is subject to a suspension, restriction, or limitation on its right to operate in the United States; and
- (E) a policy under section 219(a) of that Act (49 U.S.C. 14901 nt.) that prohibit foreign motor carriers from operating in the United States that is found to have operated illegally in the United States.
- (b) No vehicles owned or leased by a Mexican motor carrier and carrying hazardous materials in a placardable quantity may be permitted to operate beyond a United States municipality or commercial zone until the United States has completed an agreement with the Government of Mexico which ensures that drivers of such vehicles carrying such placardable quantities of hazardous materials meet substantially the same requirements as U.S. drivers carrying such materials.

- (c) No vehicles owned or leased by a Mexican motor carrier may be permitted to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones under conditional or permanent operating authority granted by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration until—
 - (1) the Department of Transportation Inspector General conducts a comprehensive review of border operations within 180 days of enactment to verify that—
 - (A) all new inspector positions funded under this Act have been filled and the inspectors have been fully trained;
 - (B) each inspector conducting on-site safety compliance reviews in Mexico consistent with the safety fitness evaluation procedures set forth in part 385 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, is fully trained as a safety specialist;
 - (C) the requirement of subparagraph (a)(2) has not been met by transferring experienced inspectors from other parts of the United States to the United States-Mexico border, undermining the level of inspection coverage and safety elsewhere in the United States;

- (D) the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration has implemented a policy to ensure compliance with hours-of-service rules under part 395 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, by Mexican motor carriers seeking authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border;
- (E) the information infrastructure of the Mexican government is sufficiently accurate, accessible, and integrated with that of U.S. law enforcement authorities to allow U.S. authorities to verify the status and validity of licenses, vehicle registrations, operating authority and insurance of Mexican motor carriers while operating in the United States, and that adequate telecommunications links exist at all United States-Mexico border crossings used by Mexican motor carrier commercial vehicles, and in all mobile enforcement units operating adjacent to the border, to ensure that licenses, vehicle registrations, operating authority and insurance information can be easily and quickly verified at border crossings or by mobile enforcement units:

- (F) there is adequate capacity at each United States-Mexico border crossing used by Mexican motor carrier commercial vehicles to conduct a sufficient number of meaningful vehicle safety inspections and to accommodate vehicles placed out-of-service as a result of said inspections;
- (G) there is an accessible database containing sufficiently comprehensive data to allow safety monitoring of all Mexican motor carriers that apply for authority to operate commercial vehicles beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border and the drivers of those vehicles; and
- (H) measures are in place to enable U.S. law enforcement authorities to ensure the effective enforcement and monitoring of license revocation and licensing procedures of Mexican motor carriers.
- (2) The Secretary of Transportation certifies in writing in a manner addressing the Inspector General's findings in paragraphs (c)(1)(A) through (c)(1)(H) of this section that the opening of the bor-

der does not pose an unacceptable safety risk to the American public.

- (d) The Department of Transportation Inspector General shall conduct another review using the criteria in (c)(1)(A) through (c)(1)(H) consistent with paragraph (c) of this section, 180 days after the first review is completed, and at least annually thereafter.
- (e) For purposes of this section, the term "Mexican motor carrier" shall be defined as a Mexico-domiciled motor carrier operating beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border.

to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund, (f) In addition to amounts otherwise made available in this Act, there is hereby appropriated to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, \$25,866,000 for the salary, expense, and capital costs associated with the requirements of this section.

351

SEC. 353. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the purpose of calculating the non-federal contribution to the net project cost of the Regional Transportation Commission Resort Corridor Fixed Guideway Project in Clark County, Nevada, the Secretary of Transportation shall include all non-federal contributions (whether public or private) made on or after January 1, 2000 for engineering, final design, and construction of any

element or phase of the project, including any fixed guideway project or segment connecting to that project, and also shall allow non-federal funds (whether public or private) expended on one element or phase of the project to be used to meet the non-federal share requirement of any element or phase of the project.

352

SEC. 354. (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

- (1) The condition of highway, railway, and waterway infrastructure across the Nation varies widely and is in need of improvement and investment.
- (2) Thousands of tons of hazardous materials, including a very small amount of high-level radio-active material, are transported along the Nation's highways, railways, and waterways each year.
- (3) The volume of hazardous material transport increased by over one-third in the last 25 years and is expected to continue to increase. Some propose significantly increasing radioactive material transport.
- (4) Approximately 261,000 people were evacuated across the Nation because of rail-related incidents involving hazardous materials between 1978 and 1995, and during that period industry reported 8 transportation accidents involving the small vol-

ume of high level radioactive waste transported during that period.

- (5) The Federal Railroad Administration has significantly decreased railroad inspections and has allocated few resources since 1993 to assure the structural integrity of railroad bridges. Train derailments have increased by 18 percent over roughly the same period.
- (6) The poor condition of highway, railway, and waterway infrastructure, increases in the volume of hazardous material transport, and proposed increases in radioactive material transport increase the risk of incidents involving such materials.
- (7) Measuring the risks of hazardous or radioactive material incidents and preventing such incidents requires specific information concerning the condition and suitability of specific transportation routes contemplated for such transport to inform and enable investment in related infrastructure.
- (8) Mitigating the impact of hazardous and radioactive material transportation incidents requires skilled, localized, and well-equipped emergency response personnel along all specifically identified transportation routes.

- (9) Incidents involving hazardous or radioactive material transport pose threats to the public health and safety, the environment, and the economy.
- (b) STUDY.—The Secretary of Transportation shall, in consultation with the Comptroller General of the United States, conduct a study of the effects to public health and safety, the environment, and the economy associated with the transportation of hazardous and radioactive material.
- (c) MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED.—The study under subsection (b) shall address the following matters:
 - (1) Whether the Federal Government conducts or reviews individualized and detailed evaluations and inspections of the condition and suitability of specific transportation routes for the current, and any anticipated or proposed, transport of hazardous and radioactive material, including whether resources and information are adequate to conduct such evaluations and inspections.
 - (2) The costs and time required to ensure adequate inspection of specific transportation routes and related infrastructure and to complete the infrastructure improvements necessary to ensure the safety of current, and any anticipated or proposed, hazardous and radioactive material transport.

- (3) Whether emergency preparedness personnel, emergency response personnel, and medical personnel are adequately trained and equipped to promptly respond to incidents along specific transportation routes for current, anticipated, or proposed hazardous and radioactive material transport.
- (4) The costs and time required to ensure that emergency preparedness personnel, emergency response personnel, and medical personnel are adequately trained and equipped to promptly respond to incidents along specific transportation routes for current, anticipated, or proposed hazardous and radioactive material transport.
- (5) The availability of, or requirements to, establish governmental and commercial information collection and dissemination systems adequate to provide public and emergency responders in an accessible manner, with timely, complete, specific, and accurate information (including databases) concerning actual, proposed, or anticipated shipments by highway, railway, or waterway of hazardous and radioactive materials, including incidents involving the transportation of such materials by those means and the public safety implications of such dissemination.

- (d) DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION.—The study under subsection (b) shall be completed not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (e) REPORT.—Upon completion of the study under subsection (b), the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the study.

SEC. 356. In selecting projects to carry out using funds apportioned under section 110 of title 23, United States Code, the States of Georgia and Alabama shall give/2 priority consideration to the following projects:

(1) Improving Johnson Ferry Road from the Chattahoochee River to Abernathy Road, including the bridge over the Chattahoochee River, Georgia; fund Mississipp

(2) Widening Abernathy Road from 2 to 4 lanes from Johnson Ferry Road to Roswell Road, Georgia; and

(3) Constructing approaches to the Patton Island Bridge, Alabama

SEC. 357. Section 355(a) of the National Highway

System Designation Act of 1995 (109 Stat. 624) is

amended by striking "has achieved" and all that follows

and inserting the following: "has achieved a safety belt

use rate of not less than 50 percent.".

SEC. 958. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Transportation

354

shall conduct a study and submit to Congress a report on the costs and benefits of constructing a third bridge across the Mississippi River in the Memphis, Tennessee, metropolitan area.

356}

SEC. 359. (a) Congress makes the following findings:

- (1) Section 345 of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 authorizes limited relief to drivers of certain types of commercial motor vehicles from certain restrictions on maximum driving time and on-duty time.
- (2) Subsection (c) of that section requires the Secretary of Transportation to determine by rule-making proceedings that the exemptions granted are not in the public interest and adversely affect the safety of commercial motor vehicles.
- (3) Subsection (d) of that section requires the Secretary of Transportation to monitor the safety performance of drivers of commercial motor vehicles who are subject to an exemption under section 345 and report to Congress prior to the rulemaking proceedings.
- (b) It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Transportation should not take any action that would diminish or revoke any exemption in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act for drivers of vehicles under sec-

tion 345 of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–59; 109 Stat. 613; 49 U.S.C. 31136 note) unless the requirements of subsections (c) and (d) of such section are satisfied.

SEC. 860. Point Retreat Light Station shall be transferred to the Alaska Lighthouse Association consistent with the terms and conditions of section 416(b)(2) of Public Law 105-383.

SEC. 361. PRIORITY HIGHWAY PROJECTS, MIN-NESOTA. In selecting projects to carry out using funds apportioned under section 110 of title 23, United States Code, the State of Minnesota shall give priority consideration to the following projects:

- (1) The Southeast Main and Rail Relocation Project in Moorhead, Minnesota.
- (2) Improving access to and from I-35 W at Lake Street in Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- SEC. 362. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Transportation shall approve the use of funds apportioned under paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 104(b) of title 23, United States Code, for construction of Type II noise barriers—
 - (1) at the locations identified in section 358 of the Department of Transportation and Related

Agencies Appropriations Act, 2000 (113 Stat. 1027);

- (2) on the west side of Interstate Route 285 from Henderson Mill Road to Chamblee Tucker Road in DeKalb County, Georgia;
- (3) on the east and west side of Interstate Route 85, extending from Virginia Avenue to Metropolitan Parkway in Fulton County, Georgia;
- (4) on the east and west sides of Interstate 285 from the South Fulton Parkway/Interstate Route 85 interchange north to Interstate Route 20;
- (5) on the east side of Interstate Route 75 from Howell Mill Road to West Paces Ferry Road in Fulton County, Georgia;
- (6) on the east and west sides of Interstate Route 75 between Chastain Road and Georgia State Route 92 in Cobb and Cherokee Counties, Georgia; and
- (7) on the south side of Interstate 95 in Bensalem Township, between exit 25 and exit 26, Bucks County, Pennsylvania.

SEC. A Notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the funds apportioned to the State of Oklahoma under section 110 of title 23, United States Code, for fiscal year 2001, the \$4,300,000 specified under the heading

"Federal-Aid Highways (Limitation on Obligations)" in the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106–346) for reconstruction of U.S. 177 in the vicinity of Cimarron River, Oklahoma, shall be available instead only for the widening of U.S. 177 from SH–33 to 32nd Street in Stillwater, Oklahoma, and such amount shall be subject to the provisions of the last proviso under such heading.

SEC. A Section 3030(d)(3) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 105-178) is amended by inserting at the end:

"(D) Alabama State Docks intermodal

passenger and freight facility.".

SEC. _____ Section 1105(c) of the Intermodal Surface

Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2032)

is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(44) The Louisiana Highway 1 corridor from Grand Isle, Louisiana, along Louisiana Highway 1, to the intersection with United States Route 90.".

SEC. ____ Item 425 in the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (112 Stat. 272) is amended by striking "Extend" and all that follows through "Parish" and inserting the following: "Extend and improve Louisiana Route 42 from

and along U.S. 61 to I-10 in Ascension and East Baton Rouge Parishes".

SEC. A Items 111 and 1583 in the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (112 Stat. 261 and 315), relating to Kentucky, are each amended by inserting after "Paducah" the following: "and other areas in the city of Paducah and McCracken County, Kentucky".

SEC. (a) Section 1105(c)(3) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102–240), as amended, is hereby further amended by striking: "then to a Kentucky Corridor centered on the cities of Pikeville, Jenkins, Hazard, London, Somerset, Columbia, Bowling Green, Hopkinsville, Benton, and Paducah" and inserting: "then to a Kentucky Corridor centered on the cities of Pikeville, Jenkins, Hazard, London, and Somerset; then, generally following the Louie B. Nunn Parkway corridor from Somerset to Columbia, to Glasgow, to I-65; then to Bowling Green, Hopkinsville, Benton, and Paducah".

(b) Section 1105(e)(5)(A) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102–240), as amended, is hereby further amended by inserting after "subsection (c)(1)", the following: "subsection (c)(3) (solely as it relates to the Kentucky Corridor),".

SEC. A Section 1105(c)(18) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102–240), as amended, is hereby further amended by adding:

"(E) In Kentucky, the corridor shall utilize the existing Purchase Parkway from the Tennessee state line to Interstate 24.".

 $_$ Section 1105(e)(5)(B)(i) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102–240), as amended, is hereby further amended by adding: "The Louie B. Nunn Parkway corridor referred to in subsection (c)(3) shall be designated as Interstate Route 66. A state having jurisdiction over any segment of routes and/or corridors referred to in subsections (c)(3) shall erect signs identifying such segment that is consistent with the criteria set forth in subsections (e)(5)(A)(i) and (e)(5)(A)(ii) as Interstate Route 66. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections (e)(5)(A)(i) and (e)(5)(A)(ii), or any other provisions of this Act, the Commonwealth of Kentucky shall erect signs, as approved by the Secretary, identifying the routes and/or corridors described in subsection (c)(3) for the Commonwealth, as segments of future Interstate Route 66. The Purchase Parkway corridor referred to in subsection (c)(18)(E) shall be designated as Interstate Route 69. A state having jurisdiction over any segment of routes and/or corridors referred to in subsections (c)(18) shall erect signs identifying such segment that is consistent with the criteria set forth in subsections (e)(5)(A)(i) and (e)(5)(A)(ii) as Interstate Route 69. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections (e)(5)(A)(i) and (e)(5)(A)(ii), or any other provisions of this Act, the Commonwealth of Kentucky shall erect signs, as approved by the Secretary, identifying the routes and/or corridors described in subsection (c)(18) for the Commonwealth, as segments of future Interstate Route 69.".

368}

SEC. A. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds made available to the southern coalition for advanced transportation (SCAT) in the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2000, Public Law 106–69, under Capital Investment Grants, or identified in the conference report accompanying the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001, Public Law 106–346, that remain unobligated shall be transferred to Transit Planning and Research and made available to the electric transit vehicle institute (ETVI) in Tennessee for research administered under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 5312.

SEC. ____. Chapter 9 of title II of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 107–20) is amended by deleting the heading "(Highway Trust Fund)"

under the heading "Federal-aid Highways"; and inserting in the body under the heading "Federal-aid Highways" after "available" the following: "from the Highway Trust Fund (other than the mass transit account) or the general fund"; and striking "103–311" and inserting in lieu thereof "103–331".

370

SEC. _____. Notwithstanding the project descriptions contained in table item number 865 of section 1602 of Public Law 105–178, table item number 77 of section 1106(a) of Public Law 102–240 and section 1069(d) relating to the Riverside Expressway in Fairmont, West Virginia, amounts available under such provision shall be available to carry out any project eligible under title 23, United States Code, in the vicinity of Fairmont, West Virginia.

371

SEC. _____. Item number 71 in the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century, Public Law 105–178, is amended by replacing "restore First and Main Streets to two-way traffic" with "traffic safety and pedestrian improvements in downtown Miamisburg".

372

SEC. ____. Item 258 in the table under the heading "Capital Investment Grants" in title I of the Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2000 (Public Law 106–69; 113 Stat. 1006) is amend-


Insert 97A

ed by striking "Killington-Sherburne satellite bus facility" and inserting "Marble Valley Regional Transit District buses".

This Act may be cited as the "Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2002".

GPO; setroman

And the Senate agree to the same.



Sec. 373. Of the funds available in item 73 of the table contained in section 1106(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-240), \$5,700,000 shall be available for construction of a parking facility for the inner harbor/redevelopment project in Buffalo, New York.

Sec. 374. Of the funds available in item 630 of the table contained in section 1602 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Public Law 105-178) as amended by section 1102 of chapter 11 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106-554) shall be available for the construction of a parking facility for the inner harbor/redevelopment project in Buffalo, New York.

Managers on the part of the

HOUSE

| HAROLD ROGERS |
|---------------------------------------|
| Silver Indian |
| FRANK R. WOLF |
| SONNY CALLAHAN |
| SONNY CAILAHAN WALLAMAN |
| TODD TIAHRT |
| Robert B. Adelloer ROBERT B. ADERHOLT |
| KAY GRANGER |
| John Emerson |
| JOHN E. SWEENEY |
| C. W. BILL YOUNG |
| Mortin Olav Salv MARTIN OLAV SABO |

| $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ |
|---|
| Lott Muse |
| |
| PATTY MURRAY |
| |
| 1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
| MAKO WAR HOLL |
| |
| ROBERT C. BYRD |
| |
| Darboure all habe |
| |
| BARBARA A. MIKULSKI |
| |
| |
| W// and Mr. 11 |
| HARRY REID STA |
| nakky kelly |
| |
| Heds Kohl |
| Hero low |
| HÈRB KOHL |
| |
| γ |
| Turkend V. Justen |
| |
| RICHARD J. DURBIN |
| |
| Letrul Learly |
| 7 |
| PATRICK J. LEAHY |
| 101/ |
| N 171/ A. A. A |
| |
| DANIEL K. INOUYE |
| DANIEL R. INOUTE |
| |
| N Ca VC - SIANIKa |
| mening - mell |
| RICHARD C. SHELBY |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1941/901 AV |
| Ulworw |
| CHRISTOPHER S. BOND |

| John W. Olver | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| JOHN W. OLVER | ROBERT F. BENNETT |
| ED PASTOR | BEN NIGHTHORSE CAMPBELL |
| CAROLYN C. KILPATRICK | Lay Bailey Spetcheson |
| JOSE E. SERBANO | KAN BAILEY HOTCHISON |
| JAMES E. CYYBURN | TED STEVENS |
| DAVID R. OBEY | |
| | |

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House of Representatives and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2299) making appropriations for the Department of Transportation and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House of Representatives and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The conferees agree that Executive Branch propensities cannot substitute for Congress' own statements concerning the best evidence of Congressional intentions; that is, the official reports of the Congress. The committee of conference approves report language included by the House (House Report 107-108) or the Senate (Senate Report 107-38 accompanying the companion measure S. 1178) that is not changed by the conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, is not intended to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

During fiscal year 2002, for the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177), as amended, with respect to funds provided for the Department of Transportation and related

agencies, the terms "program, project, and activity" shall mean any item for which a dollar amount is contained in an appropriations Act (including joint resolutions providing continuing appropriations) or accompanying reports of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, or accompanying conference reports and joint explanatory statements of the committee of conference. In addition, the reductions made pursuant to any sequestration order to funds appropriated for "Federal Aviation Administration, Facilities and equipment" and for "Coast Guard, Acquisition, construction, and improvements" shall be applied equally to each "budget item" that is listed under said accounts in the budget justifications submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations as modified by subsequent appropriations Acts and accompanying committee reports, conference reports, or joint explanatory statements of the committee of conference. The conferees recognize that adjustments to the above allocations may be required due to changing program requirements or priorities. The conferees expect any such adjustment, if required, to be accomplished only through the normal reprogramming process.

Title I Department of Transjortation

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement provides \$67,778,000 for the salaries and expenses of the office of the secretary instead of \$68,446,000 as proposed by the House and \$67,349,000 as proposed by the Senate. New bill language is included that specifies amounts by office, consistent with actions in prior years, and limits transfers among each office to no more than 7 percent. The bill language specifies that any transfer shall be submitted for approval to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations 30 days in advance of such transfer. The following table summarizes the fiscal year 2002 appropriation for each office:

| Immediate office of the Secretary | \$1,929,000 |
|---|-------------|
| Immediate office of the Deputy Secretary | |
| Office of the General Counsel | |
| Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy | |
| Office of the Assistant Secretary for aviation and | |
| International affairs | 7,421,000 |
| Office of the Assistant Secretary for budget and programs | 7,728,000 |
| Office of the Assistant Secretary for government affairs | 2,282,000 |
| Office of the Assistant Secretary for administration | 19,250,000 |
| Office of public affairs | 1,723,000 |
| Executive Secretariat | 1,204,000 |
| Board of Contract Appeals | 507,000 |
| Office of small and disadvantaged business utilization | |
| Office of intelligence and security | 1,321,000 |
| Office of the chief information officer | |

The conferees direct the office of the secretary to submit its congressional justification materials in support of the individual offices of the offices of the secretary at the same level of detail provided in the congressional justifications presented in fiscal year 2002.

Bill language, as proposed by both the House and the Senate, allows the Department to spend up to \$60,000 for official reception and representation activities.

The conference agreement modifies bill language that was contained in both the House and the Senate bills that credits to this appropriation up to \$2,500,000 in funds received in user fees by excluding fees authorized in Public Law 107-71.

Aviation consumer hotline.— The conference agreement includes \$720,000 for the Department's Aviation Consumer Protection Division's consumer hotline. The conferees note that a hotline for consumer complaints currently exists in the Office of the General Counsel. However, the phone line is understaffed, leaving many consumers frustrated when a phone recording is the only place to register a complaint. This can cause considerable hardship for individuals with disabilities who may have travel complaints that warrant immediate attention. The conferees direct that these funds are to be used to establish a 1-800 disability inquiry line that is staffed from 7:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m. each day.

Reorganization.—The conferees are aware that consideration is being given to a reorganization of functions and offices within the office of the

Martin

Study of air travel services .--

The conferees are interested in the impact the joint entry of suppliers of air travel services into the market for direct distribution has had to date on consumers, airline competition, and ticket prices.

Accordingly, the conferees request the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs report on its monitoring efforts pursuant to the launch of the joint airline distribution ventures. The report should address, at a minimum, the following issues raised by the Department as potential concerns related to such ventures:

Deviations from plans, polices, and procedures initially proposed in the joint venture's business plan and contained in its charter associate agreements;

The extent to which the joint venture has adhered to its commitment to not bias displays of fares or services;

The extent to which ties between the airline-owners and the "Most Favored Nation" clause in the charter agreement have resulted in monopolistic or other anti-competitive market behavior; and

Whether airline-owners of the joint ventures or charter associates have acted in an anti-competitive manner by choosing not to distribute fares through other online distribution outlets.

The conferees request the Office of Aviation and International Affairs to submit its findings to the DOT Inspector General's office no later than April 1, 2002, for its evaluation and comment. The House and Senate Transportation Appropriations Subcommittees request the Inspector Genera to report on these findings no later than 90 days after receiving the findings from the Office of Aviation and International Affairs.

secretary and the department is in the process of establishing the new Transportation Security Administration. The conferees expect that any transfer of functions or reorganization must be formally approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations through the regular reprogramming process.

Administrative directions.—The conferees direct the department to submit its annual congressional justifications for each modal administration to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the date on which the President's budget is delivered officially to Congress.

Assessments.—The conferees direct that assessments charged by the office of the secretary to modal administrations should be for administrative activities, not policy initiatives. The conferees have seen violations of this direction in fiscal year 2001 and will not tolerate further problems.

Office of Civil Rights

The conference agreement provides \$8,500,000 for the office of civil rights as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Transportation Security Administration

The conference agreement provides \$1,250,000,000 for the new multi-modal Transportation Security Administration for civil aviation security services pursuant to Public Law 107-71. Neither the House nor the Senate bill contained a similar provision. The bill language specifies that the security fees shall be credited to this appropriation as offsetting collections.

The bill also specifies that the general fund appropriation shall be reduced, as fees are collected, to result in an anticipated final fiscal year appropriation of zero.

Transportation Planning, Research, and Development

The conference agreement provides \$11,993,000,000 for transportation planning, research, and development instead of \$5,193,000 as proposed by the House and \$15,592,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Adjustments to the budget request shall be available for the following activities:

| Northeast advanced vehicle consortium | \$2,600,000 |
|---|-------------|
| WestStart's vehicular flywheel project in the Pacific Northwest | 1,000,000 |
| International ferry service from Blaine, WA to | |
| White Rock, B.C. | 200,000 |
| North Dakota State University system planning and | |
| resource management | 150,000 |
| Auburn University, AL campus transit study | 375,000 |
| Bypass mail system computer software and hardware | |
| upgrades in Alaska | 2,075,000 |
| North Puget Sound intermodal center planning study | 400,000 |

Transportation Administrative Service Center

The conference agreement includes a limitation of \$125,323,000 on activities of the transportation administration service center (TASC) as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Modal usage of TASC.—The conferees direct the department, in its fiscal year 2003 Congressional justifications for each modal administration, to account for increases and decreases in TASC billings based on planned usage requested or anticipated by the modes rather than TASC as proposed by the House.

Information technology omnibus procurement (ITOP).—The conferees direct the DOT Inspector General to conduct a thorough review of the ITOP program and report findings to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no later than February 15, 2002 as specified in the House report.

Minority Business Resource Center Program

The conference agreement provides an appropriation of \$900,000 for the minority business resource center program and limits the loans to \$18,367,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Minority Business Outreach

The conference agreement provides a total of \$3,000,000 for minority business outreach as proposed by the House and the Senate. Language pertaining to funding availability, as proposed by the Senate, has been deleted.

Payments to Air Carriers

(Airport and Airway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides \$63,000,000 for payments to air carriers as proposed by the House instead of \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this total, \$13,000,000 is in new appropriations and the remainder is to be derived from overflight user fees and, if necessary, unobligated balances from the facilities and equipment account of the Federal Aviation Administration. The conference agreement does not include a provision contained in the Senate bill that tightens the eligibility criteria for communities to receive essential air service subsidies.

Coast Guard Operating Expenses

The conference agreement provides \$3,382,000,000 for Coast Guard operating expenses instead of \$3,382,588,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,427,588,000 as proposed by the Senate. The agreement specifies that \$440,000,000 of the total is available only for defense-related activities instead of \$340,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$695,000,000 proposed by the Senate. The agreement includes \$24,945,000 to be derived from the oil spill liability trust fund as proposed by the House instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Funding for search and rescue stations, surf stations, and command centers.—The conference agreement specifies that \$14,541,000 is only for increased staffing, training, and personnel protective gear at search and rescue stations, surf stations, and command centers, instead of \$13,541,000 proposed by the Senate. Further, the agreement includes language, proposed by the Senate, requiring the Inspector General to audit and certify that these funds are being used solely to supplement the fiscal year 2001 level of effort in this area. The conferees agree that these activities are in dire need of increased funding, and that the Coast Guard should give search and rescue a higher priority for funding in future budget submissions.

Specific adjustments.—The following table summarizes the House and Senate's proposed adjustments to the Coast Guard's budget request and the final conference agreement:

| | House bill | Senate bill | Conference agreement |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Budget estimate: | \$3,382,838,000 | \$3,382,838,000 | \$3,382,838,000 |
| Changes to the budget estimate: | | | |
| Minor IT projects (transfer from AC&I) | +1,000,000 | | +1,000,000 |
| SCBA (transfer from AC&I) | +1,000,000 | · | |
| Civilian pay raise (4.6%) | +4,000,000 | | |
| Selective reenlistment bonuses | -3,000,000 | | . |
| Aviation career continuation pay | -300,000 | | |
| Clothing maintenance allowance | -300,000 | | |
| Contract costs | -3,000,000 | | -4,000,000 |
| Operating funds - "other activities" | -4,000,000 | | -4,000,000 |
| Local notice to mariners | -925,000 | | -888,000 |
| Human resources information system | -1,173,000 | | -1,105,000 |
| Marine transportation system | -845,000 | | -845,000 |
| Ice operations | -4,457,000 | | |
| Search and rescue readiness | +12,000,000 | +8,000,000 | +9,000,000 |
| Pay and benefits shortfalls | | +36,750,000 | |
| Amount recommended | 3,382,838,000 | 3,427,588,000 | 3,382,000,000 |

Aviation depot maintenance.—The conferees agree that the Coast Guard should work toward developing full and open competition for aviation depot maintenance services as soon as possible, but no later than fiscal year 2003.

Marine Fire and Safety Association.—The conferees remain supportive of efforts by the Marine Fire and Safety Association (MFSA) to provide specialized firefighting training and retain an oil spill response contingency plan for the Columbia River. The conferees direct the Secretary to provide \$255,000 to continue efforts by the nonprofit organization comprised of

numerous fire departments on both sides of the Columbia River. The funding will be utilized to provide specialized communications, firefighting training and equipment, and to implement the oil spill response contingency plan for the Columbia River.

Lighthouse conveyances.—The conference agreement includes sufficient funding to complete the conveyance of several Coast Guard lighthouse properties and improvements, as authorized under Public Law 105-383, that have not been transferred. The conferees expect the Coast Guard to convey the remaining authorized lighthouse properties not later than the end of fiscal year 2002. If the Commandant determines, by June 31, 2002, that the Coast Guard is unable to complete any of the conveyances in the coming fiscal year, the conferees direct the Commandant to submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within fifteen days of that decision explaining the reasons why each property has not been transferred and providing an estimated date of completion of that transfer.

Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements

The conference agreement includes \$636,354,000 for acquisition, construction, and improvement programs of the Coast Guard instead of \$600,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$669,323,000 as proposed by the Senate. The bill specifies that \$20,000,000 of total funding is to be derived from the oil spill liability trust fund, as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$19,956,000 proposed by the House. Consistent with past years and the House and Senate bills, the conference agreement distributes funds in the bill by budget activity.

A table showing the distribution of this appropriation by project as included in the fiscal year 2002 budget estimate, House bill, Senate bill, and the conference agreement follows:

Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements Fiscal Year 2002

| Program Name | FY 2002 | House | Senate | Conference |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Program Name | <u>estimate</u> | recommended | recommended | agreemer |
| Vessels: | 79,390,000 | 00 000 000 | 70.040.000 | |
| Survey and design - cutters and boats | 500,000 | 90,990,000 500,000 | 79,640,000 | 89,640,00 |
| Seagoing buoy tender (WLB) replacement | | | 500,000 | 500,00 |
| Polar class icebreaker reliability improvement program | 70,000,000 | 68,000,000 | 70,000,000 | 68,000,00 |
| 41 foot utility boat replacement | 8,890,000 | 4,490,000 | 4,490,000 | 4,490,00 |
| 85-Foot fast patrol craft | 0 | 18,000,000 | 0 | 12,000,00 |
| OUT OUT last patrol clast | 0_ | 0: | 4,650,000 | 4,650,00 |
| Aircraft: | 500,000 | 26,000,000 | 12,500,000 | 9,500,00 |
| Aviation parts and support | 0. | 26,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 9,000,00 |
| C-130J system provisioning and training support analyses | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 500,00 |
| Other Equipment: | | | | |
| | 95,471,000 | 74,173,000 | 97,921,000 | 79,293,00 |
| Ports and waterways safety system (PAWSS) | 17,600,000 | 6,100,000 | 14,400,000 | 6,000,00 |
| Marine information for safety and law enforcement (MISLE) | 7,450,000 | 7,450,000 | 7,450,000 | 7,450,00 |
| National distress system modernization | 42,000,000 | 42,000,000 | 42,000,000 | 42,000,00 |
| Defense message system implementation | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 1,500,00 |
| Commercial satellite communications | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,00 |
| Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS) | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 | 2,200,00 |
| Search and Rescue Capabilities Enhancement Project | 1,320,000 | 1,320,000 | 1,320,000 | 1,320,00 |
| Thirteenth district microwave modernization project | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,000 | 800,00 |
| Hawaii Rainbow communications system modernization | 3,100,000 | 0 | 3,100,000 | 3,100,00 |
| High frequency recapitalization and modernization | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,000,00 |
| Readiness management system | 1,675,000 | 0 | 1,675,000 | |
| DOD C4I interoperability | 1,530,000 | 1,530,000 | 1,530,000 | · |
| Command center readiness/infrastructure recapitalization | 727,000 | 727,000 | 727,000 | 727,00 |
| P-250 pump replacement | 2,046,000 | 2,046,000 | 2,046,000 | 2,046,00 |
| Configuration management – phase II | 6,023,000 | 4,000,000 | 6,023,000 | 3,000,00 |
| Self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) replacement | 1,000,000 | | | |
| Minor information technology projects | | 0 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,00 |
| Maritime electro-optical/infrared (EO/IR) sensors for cutters/boats | 2,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,000 | |
| Ice detecting radar - Cordova, AK | 0 | 0 | 5,000,000 | 4,000,00 |
| Too detecting ladar - Coldova, AR | 0 | O | 650,000 | 650,00 |
| Shore Facilities and Aids to Navigation: | 79,262,000 | 44,206,000 | 88,862,000 | 73,100,00 |
| Survey and design - shore projects | 5,000,000 | 7,000,000 | 7,000,000 | 4,000,00 |
| Minor AC&I shore construction projects | 7,262,000 | 5,500,000 | 7,262,000 | 4,000,00 |
| Housing | 11,000,000 | 13,500,000 | 11,000,000 | 13,500,00 |
| Waterways ATON projects | 5,000,000 | 4,706,000 | 6,000,000 | 5,500,00 |
| Rebuild Coast Guard Station, Port Huron, MI | 3,100,000 | 3,100,000 | 3,100,000 | 3,100,00 |
| Consolidate facilities - Elizabeth City, NC | 6,300,000 | 0 | 6,300,000 | 0, 100,00 |
| Consolidate warehouse - Coast Guard Yard, MD | 12,600,000 | 0 | 12,600,000 | 12,600,00 |
| Rebuild Group/MSO - Long Island Sound, NY | 4,900,000 | 4,900,000 | 4,900,000 | 12,000,00 |
| Construct new Station - Brunswick, GA | 3,600,000 | 3,600,000 | | 3 600 00 |
| Replace utilities, ISC building number 8 - Boston, MA | 1,600,000 | 1,600,000 | 3,600,000 | 3,600,00 |
| Construct engineering building, ISC Honolulu - Honolulu, HI | | | 1,600,000 | 1,600,00 |
| Consolidate Kodiak aviation support - Kodiak, AK | 7,200,000 | 0 | 7,200,000 | 7,200,00 |
| Unallocated increase | 5,700,000 | 0 | 5,700,000 | 5,700,00 |
| Reconstruction North Wall, Escanaba Municipal Dock, MI | 6,000,000 | 0 000 | 0 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Rebuild ISC Seattle Pier 36 - Phase I | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | 300,00 |
| | 0 | 0 | 12,600,000 | 10,000,00 |
| Coast Guard Marine Safety & Rescue Station - Chicago, IL | 0 | <u>0</u> ; | 0 | 2,000,00 |
| ersonnel and Related Support: | 66,700,000 | 64,631,000 | 65,200,000 | 64,631,00 |
| Direct personnel costs | 65,700,000 | 63,931,000 | 64,500,000 | 63,931,00 |
| Core acquisition costs | 1,000,000 | 700,000 | 700,000 | 700,00 |
| | .,000,000 | 700,000 | 700,000 | 700,00 |
| ntegrated Deepwater Systems: | 338,000,000 | 300,000,000 | 325,200,000 | 320,190,00 |
| Total appropriation | 659,323,000 | 600,000,000 | 669,323,000 | 636,354,00 |
| | | ,, | ,,000 | 000,007,0 0 |

Integrated deepwater systems (IDS).—The conference agreement includes \$320,190,000 for the integrated deepwater systems (IDS) program instead of \$300,000,000 proposed by the House and \$325,200,000 proposed by the Senate. The agreement includes language, proposed by the House and Senate, prohibiting obligation of funds for the IDS systems integration contract until (1) certification is received from the Department of Transportation and the Office of Management and Budget that the program is fully funded in fiscal year 2003 – 2007 budget plans; (2) certification is received that the national distress and response system modernization program is funded to allow for full deployment by fiscal year 2006, and that other essential search and rescue procurements are fully funded; and (3) the Department of Transportation and Office of Management and Budget approve a contingency procurement strategy for assets and capabilities encompassed by the IDS program. Certification authorities for the Department of Transportation for the above items are the Secretary or Deputy Secretary, as proposed by the Senate, instead of the Secretary or his designee, as proposed by the House. Further, the bill includes language, proposed by the Senate, requiring future IDS budget submissions to be specified to a certain level of detail, and making funds available for obligation for five years, instead of three years as proposed by the House.

Capital investment plan.—The bill includes language, proposed by the Senate, specifying a rescission of \$100,000 per day for each day after initial submission of the fiscal year 2003 President's budget that the Coast Guard capital investment plan has not been submitted to the Congress. A similar

provision is included under Federal Aviation Administration, "Facilities and equipment".

\$12,000,000 to begin replacement of the existing 41-foot utility boat fleet, instead of \$18,000,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees do not accept Coast Guard statements that a full year or more will be needed to develop requirements and specifications for this urgently-needed replacement vessel. The conferees urge the Coast Guard to streamline and expedite the requirements process so that contract award for this replacement project can take place by the end of fiscal year 2002. In the development of requirements, the Coast Guard is to actively involve, and consider the input of, field commanders and enlisted personnel who operate and maintain these boats in carrying out search and rescue missions.

ATC glass technology.—The conferees agree that, of the funds provided for aviation parts and support, \$1,000,000 is only for the application of ambient temperature-cured (ATC) glass technology to Coast Guard aircraft, as proposed by the House.

National distress and response system modernization program (NDRSMP).—The conferees believe the Secretary or Deputy Secretary of Transportation and the Director of OMB should be attendant to the following milestones in assessing whether the national distress and response system modernization program (NDRSMP) will be fully deployed by fiscal year 2006. Not later than the end of fiscal year 2003, the Coast Guard should prove, at initial operating capability (IOC), the fully integrated

technology of the NDRSMP at two of the 46 NDRSMP regions and complete low rate initial production at an additional four regions. IOC should include: (1) the capability to locate distressed vessels by identifying vessels through identification of the origin of the communications signal; (2) the ability to send and receive data among Coast Guard and other federal and state research and rescue assets; and (3) the compatibility with international communications standards under the International Convention for Safety of Life at Sea. The Coast Guard should also complete the following percentages of the NDRSMP by the end of the corresponding years shown below:

| Fiscal year 2004. | 35 percent; |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Fiscal year 2005 | 70 percent; and |
| Fiscal year 2006. | 100 percent. |

Coast Guard Marine Safety and Rescue Station, Chicago, IL.—The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for Coast Guard participation in reconstruction of a joint-use Coast Guard Marine Safety and Rescue Station along the Chicago Lake Michigan shoreline. Specifically, the facility would house Coast Guard, City of Chicago, and State of Illinois equipment and personnel for the purposes of air/marine search and rescue, port security, research, and maritime safety. The conferees expect the Coast Guard to work with the City of Chicago and the State of Illinois to plan, fund, and construct this facility. The conferees intend for the Chicago Coast Guard Marine Safety and Rescue Station to complement the air search and rescue station in Waukegan, Illinois and the Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Chicago in Burr, Illinois.

Kidge

Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements (Rescissions)

The conference agreement deletes rescissions proposed by the Senate totaling \$8,700,000. Funding in the programs proposed for rescission is no longer available.

Environmental Compliance and Restoration

The conference agreement includes \$16,927,000 for environmental compliance and restoration as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Alteration of Bridges

The conference agreement includes \$15,466,000 for alteration of bridges deemed hazardous to marine navigation as proposed by the House and Senate. The conference agreement distributes these funds as follows:

| Bridge and location | Conference agreement |
|--|-------------------------|
| New Orleans, LA, Florida Avenue RR/HW Bridge | \$3,250,000 |
| Brunswick, GA, Sidney Lanier Highway Bridge | 1,600,000 |
| Charleston, SC, Limehouse Bridge | 1,100,000 |
| Mobile, AL, Fourteen Mile Bridge | 5,741,000 |
| Morris, IL, EJ&E Railroad Bridge | 1,525,000 |
| Galveston, TX, Galveston Causeway | 500,000 |
| Boston, MA, Chelsea Street Bridge | 1,750,000 |
| Total | 15,466,000 |

Millenium port selection.—In an effort to expand U.S. trade with Latin America and South America, the State of Louisiana has developed the Millenium Port Commission. Funds were provided in fiscal years 2000 and 2001 for federal support of this commission's activities. The conferees encourage the Millenium Port Commission, cooperating Louisiana ports, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to complete a detailed feasibility analysis of all major options for the Millenium Port by January 1, 2002.

Retired Pay

The conference agreement includes \$876,346,000 for Coast Guard retired pay as proposed by both the House and the Senate. This is scored as a mandatory program for federal budget purposes. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate authorizing these funds for the payment of fifteen-year career status bonuses.

Reserve Training (Including Transfer of Funds)

The conference agreement provides \$83,194,000 for reserve training as proposed by the House and Senate. The agreement allows the Reserves to reimburse Coast Guard "Operations" up to \$25,800,000 for Coast Guard support of Reserve activities, as proposed by the House and Senate.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

The conference agreement provides \$20,222,000 for Coast Guard research, development, test, and evaluation instead of \$21,722,000 as proposed by the House and Senate. The conferees agree that within the funding provided, \$500,000 is for the University of Maine Advanced Engineered Wood Composites Center's demonstration and evaluation of engineered wood composites at Coast Guard facilities, instead of \$1,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Columbia River Aquatic Nuisance Species Initiative (CRANSI).—The conferees are concerned over threats that invasive, non-indigenous plants and animals pose to U.S. waterways and the economy. Within the funds provided, the conferees agree that \$500,000 is for the Columbia River Aquatic Nuisance Species Initiative (CRANSI), at the Center for Lakes and Reservoirs at Portland State University, to support surveys of non-indigenous aquatic species in the Columbia River, as proposed by the Senate.

Federal Aviation Administration

Operations

The conference agreement provides \$6,886,000,000 for operating expenses of the Federal Aviation Administration instead of \$6,870,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$6,916,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. These funds are in addition to amounts made available as a mandatory appropriation of user fees in the Federal Aviation Administration Reauthorization Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-264). Of the total amount provided, \$5,773,519,000 is to be derived from the airport and airway trust fund, consistent with Public Law 106-181. The total funding provided is \$341,765,000 (5.2 percent) above the fiscal year 2001 enacted level and is the maximum amount authorized. The bill specifies amounts by budget activity, as proposed by the House, continuing a practice initiated in fiscal year 2001.

Aeronautical charting and cartography.—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting funds for any aeronautical charting and cartography activities conducted by, or coordinated through, the Transportation Administrative Service Center.

User fees.—The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House prohibiting funds to plan, finalize, or implement new user fees not specifically authorized by Congress. The agreement prohibits funds only for the finalization or implementation of new, unauthorized fees. Use of credit hours.—The conferees direct FAA to discontinue the granting of credit hours, or related benefits, in the settlement of union grievances until the OST office of general counsel, working with legal counsel of the FAA and OIG, determines in writing that such practice is consistent with the 1998 collective bargaining agreement with the National Air Traffic Controllers Association (NATCA) and other existing labor agreements. Once this determination is made, the Secretary is requested to make its finding available to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The House proposed a prohibition on the granting of credit hours for the settlement of union grievances during fiscal year 2002.

Travel policy.—The conferees do not agree with House direction prohibiting FAA from changing its travel policy regarding per diem payments for extended temporary duty assignments. The conferees understand that FAA has modified its travel policies to address findings of the DOT Inspector General in this area.

Personnel reform.—The conferees direct the Administrator to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, not later than January 15, 2002, on how the agency has implemented, and/or plans to implement, the Senate directive regarding personnel reform.

Airspace redesign.—The conference agreement includes \$12,500,000 for the New York/New Jersey airspace redesign, as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$8,500,000 proposed by the House.

Restoration of air traffic supervisors.—The conference agreement restores \$5,000,000 of the proposed reductions in air traffic supervisor staffing included in the President's budget. The budget proposed a reduction of \$5,400,000 due to planned expansion of the controller-in-charge (CIC) concept. In restoring these positions, the conferees agree with the position of the House that supervisory levels should not be reduced further at this time.

National airspace system (NAS) handoff.—The conference agreement provides \$7,600,000 in this appropriation and \$51,006,100 in "Facilities and equipment" (F&E) for second year maintenance costs for newly commissioned equipment under the National airspace system (NAS) handoff program. The President's budget included \$76,400,000 under F&E for this purpose. The conferees believe it is inconsistent with the principles of existing authorizing legislation to fund these costs under F&E. In all budget submissions through fiscal year 2001, costs to operate and maintain such systems after the first year of operation were to transition to FAA's operating budget. However, due to operating budget pressures, this year the Administration proposed to shift the second year of such costs to the F&E appropriation. These are, in effect, operating costs transferred to a capital appropriation. While the conferees note that Public Law 106-181 significantly raised F&E funding, it did so with an understanding that those additional funds would be used for capital costs and not to cover shortfalls in a constrained operating budget. The conferees believe that FAA needs to live within its authorized funding levels for operations without program shifts of this nature.

GPS non-precision approaches.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 to increase the number of GPS non-precision instrument approaches developed and published for airports that are not part 139 certificated, and to develop GPS routes to help supplement the current airway route system. These routes will provide important safety and other benefits to general aviation pilots, including increased access to currently inaccessible airports. In that regard, the conferees direct FAA to assure that the GPS instrument approaches provide the necessary procedural information known as LNAV/VNAV minima, to enable their use by pilots in obtaining guidance to the runway once the wide area augmentation system is in place.

Aviation safety reporting system — The conferees are aware that the NASA FAA's aviation safety reporting system (ASRS) is a critical component of our aviation safety system. The success of ASRS lies in its ability to offer confidentiality and limited immunity to those who submit reports on unintentional violations of federal aviation regulations. The conferees direct the FAA to work to meet the goal of funding ASRS at \$3,400,000 in fiscal year 2002.

The following table compares the conference agreement to the levels proposed in the House and Senate bills by budget activity:

| | House bill | Senate bill | Conferenc |
|---|---------------|---------------|---|
| | | | agreemen |
| Air Traffic Services: | | | |
| Budget estimate: | 5,447,421,000 | 5,447,421,000 | 5,447,421,00 |
| Reduce controller training | -8,574,000 | 0 | |
| Controller productivity initiatives | -5,000,000 | 0 | -5,000,00 |
| Information security management | -215,000 | 0 | |
| Restoration of air traffic supervisors | 5,400,000 | 0 | 5,000,00 |
| Base adjustment | -4,102,000 | 0 | |
| Contract tower cost sharing | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,00 |
| MARC | 2,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,00 |
| Staffing adjustment | -22,000,000 | 0 | -11,000,00 |
| NAS handoff (transfer from F&E) | 44,828,000 | 0 | 7,600,00 |
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 29,125,000 | 0 | .,,,,,,,,, |
| Air Traffic Services Subcommittee | 0 | 862,000 | 850,00 |
| Unspecified | 0 | -6,862,000 | |
| Proposal | 5,494,883,000 | 5,447,421,000 | 5,452,871,00 |
| | | | -, 102,572,00 |
| Aviation Regulation and Certification: | | | |
| Budget estimate: | 744,744,000 | 744,744,000 | 744,744,00 |
| Staffing adjustment | -18,000,000 | 0 | -9,000,00 |
| Base adjustment | -3,000,000 | 0 | 2,000,00 |
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 4,126,000 | 0 | |
| Additional AVR staffing (36.5 FTE) | 0 | 12,200,000 | 12,200,00 |
| Additional certification activity | 0 | 3,600,000 | 3,600,00 |
| Safer Skies | 0 | 22,700,000 | 5,475,000 |
| HIMS | 0 | 500,000 | 500,00 |
| Medallion Program - Alaska | 0 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,00 |
| Alien Species Action Plan - Hawaii | 0 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| GPS non-precision instrument approaches | 0 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,00 |
| Drug and alcohol validity testing | 0 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Unspecified | 0 | -11,000,000 | 250,000 |
| Proposal | 727,870,000 | 783,994,000 | 768,769,00 |
| | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Civil Aviation Security: | | | |
| Budget estimate | 150,154,000 | 150,154,000 | 150,154,00 |
| Reduction in discretionary activities | -8,500,000 | 0 | . (|
| leadquarters facility security | -750,000 | 0 | |
| Staffing adjustment | -5,750,000 | 0 | |
| l.6 percent pay raise | 795,000 | 0: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Proposal | 135,949,000 | 150,154,000 | 150,154,000 |
| Research and Acquisition: | | • | |
| Budget estimate: | 104 474 000 | 100 (84 000 | 407.7-1.7- |
| Staffing adjustment | 196,674,000 | 196,674,000 | 196,674,00 |
| .6 percent pay raise | -1,750,000 | 0 | -875,000 |
| | 334,000 | 0 | <u></u> |
| Proposal | 195,258,000 | 196,674,000 | 195,799,000 |
| | | | • |

| | House bill | Senate bill | Conference |
|--|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | agreemen |
| Commercial Space Transportation: | | | and the second |
| Budget estimate: | 14,706,000 | 14,706,000 | 14,706,00 |
| Staffing adjustment | -2,500,000 | 0 | -2,000,000 |
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 48,000 | 0 | |
| Unspecified | 0 | -250,000 | -250,00 |
| Proposal | 12,254,000 | 14,456,000 | 12,456,00 |
| Financial Services: | | | |
| Budget estimate: | 50,684,000 | 50,684,000 | 50,684,00 |
| Staffing adjustment | -800,000 | 0 | -400,000 |
| Resource tracking pgm (transfer from F&E) | 500,000 | 0 | (|
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 96,000 | 0 | (|
| Proposal | 50,480,000 | 50,684,000 | 50,284,00 |
| Human Resources: | | | <u> </u> |
| Budget estimate: | 74,516,000 | 74,516,000 | 74,516,000 |
| Reduction to growth | -7,000,000 | 0 | -5,000,000 |
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 119,000 | 0 | . (|
| Proposal | 67,635,000 | 74,516,000 | 69,516,000 |
| Region/Center Operations: | | | |
| Budget estimate: | 90,893,000 | 90,893,000 | 90,893,000 |
| Staffing adjustment | -2,100,000 | 0 | 1,050,000 |
| National park overflight tour mgmt plans | -6,000,000 | 0 | -6,000,000 |
| Aeronautical Ctr NAS handoff (transfer) | 1,200,000 | 0 | C |
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 620,000 | 0 | (|
| Proposal | 84,613,000 | 90,893,000 | 85,943,000 |
| Staff Offices: | | | |
| Budget estimate: | 116,208,000 | 116,208,000 | 116,208,000 |
| Staffing adjustment | -5,000,000 | 0 | -4,000,000 |
| Additional adjustment to mirror inflation rate | -3,000,000 | 0 | -3,000,000 |
| 4.6 percent pay raise | 568,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Proposal | 108,776,000 | 116,208,000 | 109,208,000 |
| Accountwide Adjustments: | | | |
| OST assessments | -750,000 | 0 | -750,000 |
| Travel | -5,148,000 | 0 | -5,148,000 |
| Executive bonuses | -500,000 | 0 | -500,000 |
| Vacant executive positions | -1,320,000 | 0 | -1,320,000 |
| Travel, supplies, communications, etc. | 0 | -9,000,000 | -1,282,000 |
| Proposal | -7,718,000 | -9,000,000 | -9,000,000 |
| Total appropriation | 6,870,000,000 | 6,916,000,000 | 6,886,000,000 |

Facilities and Equipment (Airport and Airway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides \$2,914,000,000 for facilities and equipment as proposed by the House and the Senate. This is the level mandated by Public Law 106-181, and represents an increase of \$257,235,000 (9.7 percent) above the fiscal year 2001 enacted level.


Administration of potential shortfall due to EAS transfer.—Public Law 104-264 requires the FAA Administrator to cover any shortfall in funding for the essential air service program (below the mandatory amount of \$50,000,000) out of any funds otherwise available to the Administrator. While P.L. 104-264 authorized the collection of overflight user fees to cover these expenses, fee receipts have never equaled the mandatory appropriation level, and are not expected to do so in fiscal year 2002. The conferees agree that any shortfall due to transfer of funds to the essential air service program should be borne by unobligated balances from the "Facilities and equipment" appropriation, and should not be derived from programs, projects, or activities designated as items of special Congressional interest in Congressional reports or in the fiscal year 2002 base for reprogramming document. The Senate proposed up to \$10,000,000 of any shortfall should be derived from "Grants-in-aid for airports".

Capital investment plan.—The conference agreement includes a provision, proposed by the Senate, specifying a rescission of \$100,000 per day for each day after initial submission of the fiscal year 2003 President's



budget that the FAA's capital investment plan has not been submitted to the Congress. This is similar to a provision enacted for fiscal year 2001.

The following table provides a breakdown of the House and Senate bills and the conference agreement by program:



Facilities and Equipment Fiscal Year 2002

| Droggom Nome | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Conference |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Program Name | estimate | House | <u>Senate</u> | agreemen |
| ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPING | 36,634,000 | 52,181,000 | 36,834,000 | 55,991,00 |
| SAFE FLIGHT 21 | 26,500,000 | 35,000,000 | 39,300,000 | 39,300,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - ADV DEV/PROTOTYPING | 63,134,000 | 87,181,000 | 76,134,000 | 95,291,00 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION | 72,200,000 | 72,200,000 | 46,200,000 | 46,200,000 |
| OCEANIC AUTOMATION SYSTEM | 84,400,000 | 84,400,000 | 84,400,000 | 84,400,000 |
| AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS | 35,813,200 | 35,813,200 | 35,813,200 | 35,813,200 |
| NEXT GENERATION VHF A/G COMMUNICATION SYSTEM | 15,950,000 | 15,950,000 | 15,950,000 | 15,950,000 |
| FREE FLIGHT PHASE ONE | 122,570,000 | 193,270,000 | 122,570,000 | 122,570,000 |
| FREE FLIGHT PHASE TWO | 114,900,000 | 42,200,000 | 69,900,000 | 69,900,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - EN ROUTE PROGRAMS | 445,833,200 | 443,833,200 | 374,833,200 | 374,833,200 |
| TERMINAL AUTOMATION (STARS) | 404 700 000 | 404 700 000 | | |
| SUBTOTAL - TERMINAL PROGRAMS | 104,700,000 | 104,700,000 | 104,700,000 | 104,700,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - TERMINAL PROGRAMS | 104,700,000 | 104,700,000 | 104,700,000 | 104,700,000 |
| LOCAL AREA AUGMENTATION SYSTEM FOR GPS (LAAS) | 16,660,000 | 42,450,000 | 16,660,000 | 43,109,700 |
| WIDE AREA AUGMENTATION SYSTEM (WAAS) | 49,000,000 | 75,900,000 | 49,000,000 | 80,900,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - LANDING/NAVAIDS | 65,660,000 | 118,350,000 | 65,660,000 | 124,009,700 |
| NAO IMPROVENTATOS OVOTENAS POR CARACTERIA DE | | | | |
| NAS IMPROVEMENT OF SYSTEM SUPPORT LABORATORY | 2,300,000 | 2,300,000 | 2,300,000 | 2,300,000 |
| TECHNICAL CENTER FACILITIES | 11,000,000 | 9,500,000 | 11,000,000 | 10,250,000 |
| TECHNICAL CENTER INFRASTRUCTURE SUSTAINMENT | 2,900,000 | 2,900,000 | 2,900,000 | 2,900,000 |
| SUBTOTAL, RDT&E EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | 16,200,000 | 14,700,000 | 16,200,000 | 15,450,000 |
| TOTAL ACTIVITY 1 | 695,527,200 | 768,764,200 | 637,527,200 | 714,283,900 |
| | . 1 | | | |
| AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT: | 162.762.000 | 400 700 000 | | |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION | 162,763,000 | 162,763,000 | 155,863,000 | 155,863,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) | 6,300,000 | 6,300,000 | 6,300,000 | 6,300,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT | 6,300,000 1,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 0 39,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 39,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 39,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 66,400,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE DCEANIC AUTOMATION SYSTEM SUBTOTAL - EN ROUTE PROGRAMS | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 477,521,780 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE DICEANIC AUTOMATION SYSTEM SUBTOTAL - EN ROUTE PROGRAMS | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 477,521,780 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 475,801,780 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) MEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE DICEANIC AUTOMATION SYSTEM SUBTOTAL - EN ROUTE PROGRAMS AIRPORT SURFACE DETECTION EQUIPMENT (ASDE) AIRPORT SURFACE DETECTION EQUIPMENT (ASDE-X) | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 477,521,780 5,000,000 24,800,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 475,801,780 5,000,000 24,800,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 500,106,780 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 500,106,780 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE DICEANIC AUTOMATION SYSTEM SUBTOTAL - EN ROUTE PROGRAMS AIRPORT SURFACE DETECTION EQUIPMENT (ASDE) AIRPORT SURFACE DETECTION EQUIPMENT (ASDE-X) FERMINAL DOPPLER WEATHER RADAR (TDWR) - PROVIDE | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 477,521,780 5,000,000 24,800,000 3,000,000 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 0 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 0 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 475,801,780 5,000,000 3,000,000 24,800,000 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 49,300,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 66,412,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 3,000,000 3,000,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 49,300,000 1,900,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 3,000,000 3,000,000 |
| EN ROUTE AUTOMATION NEXT GENERATION WEATHER RADAR (NEXRAD) AIR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT WEATHER AND RADAR PROCESSOR (WARP) AERONAUTICAL DATA LINK (ADL) APPLICATIONS ARTCC BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS/PLANT IMPROVEMENTS VOICE SWITCHING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (VSCS) AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT AIR/GROUND COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE VOLCANO MONITOR ATC BEACON INTERROGATOR (ATCBI) REPLACEMENT ATC EN ROUTE RADAR FACILITIES EN ROUTE COMMS AND CONTROL FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AVIATION WEATHER SERVICES IMPROVEMENTS CORRIDOR INFORMATION WEATHER SYSTEM (CIWS) FAA TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE (FTI) NEXT GENERATION VHF AIR-GROUND COMMS SYSTEM (NEXCOMM GUAM CERAP - RELOCATE DCEANIC AUTOMATION SYSTEM | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 65,927,500 3,000,000 1,540,280 15,720,000 39,000,000 19,000,000 6,400,000 3,700,000 477,521,780 5,000,000 24,800,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 13,100,000 43,300,000 1,900,000 24,400,000 3,000,000 1,540,280 14,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 475,801,780 5,000,000 24,800,000 | 6,300,000 1,000,000 24,171,000 2,300,000 44,000,000 44,000,000 16,000,000 30,700,000 2,000,000 1,540,280 22,520,000 5,000,000 19,000,000 19,000,000 3,700,000 3,700,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 | 6,300,00 1,000,00 24,171,00 2,300,00 44,000,00 16,000,00 49,300,00 1,900,00 2,000,00 66,412,50 3,000,00 1,540,28 22,520,00 5,000,00 39,000,00 19,000,00 6,400,00 3,700,00 500,106,78 |



Facilities and Equipment Fiscal Year 2002

| D | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Conference |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Program Name | estimate | House | <u>Senate</u> | agreemer |
| CONTROL TOWER/TRACON FACILITIES - IMPROVE | £4 £50 050: | 57 550 050 | | |
| TERMINAL VOICE SWITCH REPLACEMENT (TVSR)/ETVS | 54,558,059 | 57,558,059 | 57,558,059 | 57,558,05 |
| EMPLOYEE SAFETY/OSHA AND ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE STD | 11,947,500 28,400,000 | 15,000,000 | 21,947,500 | 20,000,00 |
| HOUSTON AREA AIR TRAFFIC SYSTEM | 11,000,000 | 28,400,000 | 28,400,000 | 28,400,00 |
| POTOMAC METROPLEX | 6,300,000 | 11,000,000 | 11,000,000 | 11,000,00 |
| NORTHERN CALIFORNIA METROPLEX | 5,000,000 | 6,300,000 5,000,000 | 6,300,000 | 6,300,00 |
| ATLANTA METROPLEX | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,00 |
| NAS INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (NIMS) | 30,325,100 | 15,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,00 |
| AIRPORT SURVEILLANCE RADAR (ASR-9) | 12,800,000 | 12,800,000 | 18,000,000 22,800,000 | 16,000,00 |
| AIRPORT MOVEMENT AREA SAFETY SYSTEM (AMASS) | 12,627,500 | 12,627,500 | 13,127,500 | 22,800,00 |
| VOICE RECORDER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | 3,600,000 | 8,000,000 | 3,600,000 | 12,627,50 |
| TERMINAL DIGITAL RADAR (ASR-11) | 156,377,500 | 98,520,300 | 108,530,600 | 6,000,000 |
| WEATHER SYSTEMS PROCESSOR | 3,927,500 | 3,927,500 | 3,927,500 | 65,000,000 |
| DOD/FAA ATC FACILITIES TRANSFER | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 2,800,000 | 3,927,500 |
| PRECISION RUNWAY MONITORS | 3,927,500 | 3,927,500 | 3,927,500 | 2,800,000 |
| TERMINAL RADAR (ASR) - IMPROVE | 3,837,500 | 3,000,000 | 3,837,500 | 3,927,500 |
| TERMINAL COMMUNICATIONS IMPROVEMENTS | 936,700 | 936,700 | 936,700 | 3,000,000 936,700 |
| MODE S - PROVIDE | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 |
| TERMINAL APPLIED ENGINEERING | 6,500,000 | 4,000,000 | 6,500,000 | 4,000,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - TERMINAL PROGRAMS | 588,264,859 | 571,497,559 | 559,292,859 | 532,797,259 |
| | | | | |
| AUTOMATED SURFACE OBSERVING SYSTEM (ASOS) | 12,300,000 | 12,300,000 | 13,280,000 | 13,280,000 |
| OASIS | 33,943,000 | 33,943,000 | 33,943,000 | 33,943,000 |
| WEATHER MESSAGE SWITCHING CENTER REPLACEMENT | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| FLIGHT SERVICE FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT | 1,202,100 | 1,202,100 | 1,202,100 | 1,202,100 |
| FLIGHT SERVICE STATION SWITCH MODERNIZATION | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 |
| FLIGHT SERVICE STATION MODERNIZATION | 4,700,000 | 4,700,000 | 4,700,000 | 4,700,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - FLIGHT SERVICE PROGRAMS | 64,645,100 | 64,645,100 | 65,625,100 | 65,625,100 |
| VOD | | | | |
| VOR | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM (ILS) - ESTABLISH/UPGRADE | 18,753,000 | 45,932,000 | 30,753,000 | 45,000,000 |
| TRANSPONDER LANDING SYSTEM (TLS) | 0 | 3,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| LOW LEVEL WINDSHEAR ALERT SYSTEM (LLWAS) | 1,533,000 | 1,533,000 | 1,533,000 | 1,533,000 |
| RUNWAY VISUAL RANGE (RVR) NDB SUSTAIN | 3,000,000 | 7,085,000 | 3,000,000 | 7,085,000 |
| | 1,013,000 | 1,013,000 | 1,013,000 | 1,013,000 |
| NAVIGATIONAL AND LANDING AIDS - IMPROVE | 2,525,361 | 2,525,361 | 2,525,361 | 2,525,361 |
| APPROACH LIGHTING SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT (ALSIP) PRECISION APPROACH PATH INDICATORS (PAPI) | 5,367,000 | 28,517,000 | 33,331,000 | 46,481,500 |
| DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT (DME) | 13,500,000 | 13,500,000 | 13,500,000 | 13,500,000 |
| VISUAL NAVAIDS | 2,800,000 | 2,800,000 | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 |
| GULF OF MEXICO OFFSHORE PROGRAM | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| ORAN-C UPGRADE/MODERNIZATION | 6,900,000 | 6,900,000 | 6,900,000 | 6,900,000 |
| VIDE AREA AUGMENTATION SYSTEM (WAAS) FOR GPS | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 21,000,000 | 19,000,000 |
| | 26,900,000 | 0 | 26,900,000 | 0 |
| OCAL AREA AUGMENTATION SYSTEM (LAAS) FOR GPS | 17,449,700 | O; | 27,449,700 | 0 |
| NSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES AUTOMATION (IAPA) | 3,700,000 | 3,700,000 | 3,700,000 | 3,700,000 |
| NAVIGATION AND LANDING AIDS - SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROG | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - LANDING AND NAVIGATIONAL AIDS | 124,441,061 | 137,505,361 | 190,405,061 | 165,537,861 |
| ALASKAN NAS INTERFACILITY COMM SYSTEM (ANICS) | 2 500 000 | 0.505.555 | | |
| UEL STORAGE TANK REPLACEMENT AND MONITORING | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| AA BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT - IMPROVE/MODERNIZE | 9,300,000 | 9,300,000 | 9,300,000 | 9,300,000 |
| LECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS - SUSTAIN/SUPPORT | 11,700,000 | 11,700,000 | 11,700,000 | 11,700,000 |
| AIR NAVAIDS AND ATC FACILITIES (LOCAL PROJECTS) | 54,200,000 | 54,200,000 | 54,200,000 | 54,200,000 |
| AIRCRAFT RELATED EQUIPMENT PROGRAM | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| COMPUTER AIDED ENG GRAPHICS (CAEG) REPLACEMENT | 14,700,000 | 14,700,000 | 7,500,000 | 7,500,000 |
| ABLE LOOP SYSTEMS | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 |
| | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |

Facilities and Equipment Fiscal Year 2002

| D | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | Conference |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Program Name | <u>estimate</u> | <u>House</u> | <u>Senate</u> | agreemer |
| AIRCRAFT FLEET MODERNIZATION | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1 500 00 |
| SUBTOTAL - OTHER ATC FACILITIES | 104,000,000 | 104,000,000 | 98,300,000 | 1,500,00 |
| TOTAL ACTIVITY 2 | 1,358,872,800 | 1,353,449,800 | 1,413,729,800 | 98,300,00 1,362,367,00 |
| NON-ATC FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT: | | | | |
| NON-ATC FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| NAS MANAGEMENT AUTOMATION PROGRAM (NASMAP) | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,00 |
| HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT | 21,700,000 | 21,700,000 | 21,700,000 | 21,700,00 |
| AVIATION SAFETY ANALYSIS SYSTEM (ASAS) | 22,100,000 | 22,100,000 | 22,100,000 | 22,100,00 |
| OPERATIONAL DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ODMS) | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| LOGISTICS SUPPORT SYSTEM AND FACILITIES | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |
| TEST EQUIPMENT - MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | 900,000 | 900,000 | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| INTEGRATED FLIGHT QUALITY ASSURANCE | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| SAFETY PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS SUBSYSTEM (SPAS) | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 |
| NATIONAL AVIATION SAFETY DATA CENTER | 1,800,000 | 1,800,000 | 1,800,000 | 1,800,000 |
| NAS RECOVERY COMMUNICATIONS (RCOM) | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 |
| PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| EXPLOSIVE DETECTION TECHNOLOGY | | 97,500,000 | 97,500,000 | 97,500,000 |
| FACILITY SECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT | 22,400,000 | 22,400,000 | 22,400,000 | |
| INFORMATION SECURITY | 13,600,000 | 13,600,000 | 13,600,000 | 22,400,000 |
| SUBTOTAL - SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 200,500,000 | 200,500,000 | 200,500,000 | 13,600,000 |
| | | 200,000,000 | 200,300,000 | 200,500,000 |
| AERONAUTICAL CENTER INFRASTRUCTURE MODERNIZATION | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 42 000 000 |
| NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM (NAS) TRAINING FACILITIES | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 |
| DISTANCE LEARNING | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 | | 4 200 000 |
| SUBTOTAL - TRAINING EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | 15,300,000 | 15,300,000 | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 |
| TOTAL ACTIVITY 3 | 215,800,000 | 215,800,000 | 13,300,000 213,800,000 | 13,300,000 213,800,000 |
| | | 270,000,000 | 213,000,000 | 213,000,000 |
| MISSION SUPPORT: | | | | |
| SYSTEM ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT | 26,300,000 | 26,300,000 | 26,300,000 | 26,300,000 |
| PROGRAM SUPPORT LEASES | 35,500,000 | 35,500,000 | 35,500,000 | |
| LOGISTICS SUPPORT SERVICES | 7,200,000 | 7,200,000 | 7,200,000 | 35,500,000 |
| MIKE MONRONEY AERONAUTICAL CENTER - LEASE | 14,600,000 | 14,600,000 | | 7,200,000 |
| IN-PLANT NAS CONTRACT SUPPORT SERVICES | 2,800,000 | 2,800,000 | 14,600,000 | 14,600,000 |
| TRANSITION ENGINEERING SUPPORT | 38,300,000 | 38,300,000 | 2,800,000 | 2,800,000 |
| FREQUENCY AND SPECTRUM ENGINEERING - PROVIDE | 3,000,000 | | 38,300,000 | 38,300,000 |
| PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION MOVES | 11,800,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| FAA SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE | | 11,800,000 | 11,800,000 | 11,800,000 |
| TECHNICAL SERVICES SUPPORT CONTRACT (TSSC) | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| RESOURCE TRACKING PROGRAM | 45,800,000 | 45,800,000 | 45,800,000 | 45,800,000 |
| | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| CENTER FOR ADVANCED AVIATION SYSTEM DEV. (MITRE) | 76,400,000 | 80,400,000 | 81,543,000 | 81,543,000 |
| TOTAL ACTIVITY 4 | 266,700,000 | 270,700,000 | 271,843,000 | 271,843,000 |
| PERSONNEL AND RELATED EXPENSES: | | | | |
| PERSONNEL AND RELATED EXPENSES | 377,100,000 | 377,100,000 | 377,100,000 | 277 400 000 |
| TOTAL ACTIVITY 5 | 377,100,000 | 377,100,000 | 377,100,000 | 377,100,000 377,100,000 |
| | | | | 577,700,000 |
| ACCOUNTWIDE: | 0 | -71,814,000 | | -25,393,900 |
| TOTAL | 2,914,000,000 | 2,914,000,000 | 2,914,000,000 | 2,914,000,000 |
| | _,,, | _, , , | | |

Advanced technology development and prototyping.—The conference agreement includes \$55,991,000 for advanced technology development and prototyping. A comparison of the budget estimate to the House and Senate proposed levels and the conference agreement follows:

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Item | House recommended | Senate recommended | Conference agreement |
| Budget estimate | \$36,634,000 | \$36,634,000 | \$36,634,000 |
| Airport research | +7,547,000 | | +7,457,000 |
| Concrete pavement research | | +2,000,000 | +2,000,000 |
| WAAS navigation | | -5,700,000 | |
| ADS-B transfer | | -2,800,000 | -2,800,000 |
| Juneau, AK weather research | +5,000,000 | +6,700,000 | +6,700,000 |
| Free flight phase 2 transfer | +2,000,000 | | |
| Separation standards study | +1,000,000 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Louisville, KY tech demo | | | +5,000,000 |
| Fogeye demonstration | | | +1,000,000 |
| Total | 52,181,000 | 36,834,000 | 55,991,000 |

Concrete pavement research.--Funds provided for concrete pavement research are for airfield pavement improvement activities authorized under sections 905 and 743 of Public Law 106-181.

Louisville, KY technology demonstration.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 to initiate an operational demonstration integrating numerous advanced technologies being developed separately by the FAA into a single airport environment. Although FAA has been developing technologies under several programs, there has been limited testing of these concepts as an integrated system at individual airports. This demonstration will focus on the various operational impacts of integrating GPS-based technology, common ARTS, wake vortex alerting systems, and the

application of improved area navigation procedures. Louisville International Airport is ideal for such a program due to its unique operating characteristics.

Fogeye demonstration.— The conferees are aware of emerging technology, known as fogeye, which utilizes ultraviolet light to assist in low visibility landings and prevent runway incursions. The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for further evaluation of this technology. In utilizing these funds, the FAA is encouraged to seek the full participation of an airline and airport sponsor to develop a plan for an operational demonstration of fogeye technology to demonstrate the effectiveness of the system at a commercial service airport.

Local area augmentation system.—The conference agreement includes \$43,109,700 for this program, \$9,000,000 above the budget estimate, all of which is provided in budget activity one as proposed by the House. The conferees encourage FAA to consider installation of this system at Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport in Nevada once the systems are ready for production. The conferees continue to view the LAAS procurement as an opportunity for FAA to expedite the cost advantageous procurement of precision approach capability through an aggressive public-private cooperative acquisition strategy. The agreement provides the flexibility and resources to continue this innovative acquisition. The following milestones are anticipated in fiscal year 2002: (1) category I contract award by the fourth quarter; (2) category II/III integrity and continuity allocations between avionics and ground equipment determined; (3) finalization of the concept of operations required for fiscal year 2003 development of airport

procedures; (4) integration of LAAS capabilities into a certifiable avionics receiver; and (5) development of a data collection plan and initiation of flight evaluations for development of complex LAAS approaches (e.g., curved, segmented, and offset). The FAA is directed to report quarterly to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations regarding the progress toward these and other LAAS milestones.

Wide area augmentation system.—The conferees agree to provide total funding of \$80,900,000 for further development and implementation of the wide area augmentation system (WAAS), all of which is provided in budget activity one as proposed by the House. The conferees do not agree to a specific amount for the development of WAAS standards and procedures. The \$5,000,000 provided above the budget estimate is only for initial funds for geostationary satellite services, as recommended by FAA since initial submission of the President's budget. The conferees agree that acquisition of communication services from a third geostationary satellite are critically needed for the program to proceed expeditiously. The conferees continue to have concerns over the schedule slippages and certification issues that plague this program. It appears that the answer to each emerging challenge is a dramatically more expensive version of the original program, with lower performance criteria. The conferees believe the solution to WAAS certification may lie, in part, from the use of positioning data from other navigational or communication capabilities which should not be ignored by the agency. In addition, the FAA should not feel compelled to clear certification hurdles for the entire WAAS program before certifying individual applications for the WAAS signal. Safety and efficiency benefits from WAAS-based applications should be measured against the current

national airspace system, not against a notional system should the entire WAAS system be eventually certified for use. As in past years, the conferees continue to urge FAA to assess the role and requirements for emerging communications, navigation, and surveillance capabilities as this troubled procurement proceeds.

ASR-9.—The conferees do not agree with Senate direction to leave in place the ASR-9 radar being sited between Salt Lake City and Provo, Utah for the 2002 Winter Olympics until an ASR-11 radar system is available to replace it. The conferees leave it to the agency's discretion to decide where this system is most needed after completion of the Winter Olympics.

Aviation weather services improvements.—Of the funding provided for this program, the conferees agree that \$3,000,000 is to continue the collaborative effort between FAA and NOAA's National Severe Storms Laboratory to continue research and testing of phased array radar technology and to incorporate airport/aircraft tracking and weather information. The same level of funding was provided in fiscal year 2001.

Terminal automation.—The conference agreement provides \$96,000,000 for this program, instead of \$98,500,000 proposed by the House and \$87,500,000 proposed by the Senate. Within the funding provided, the conferees agree that ARTS sustainment activities are to be fully funded at the budget request level.

Automated observation of visibility for cloud height and cloud coverage (AOVCC).—For the past two years, the conferees have requested FAA to

implement product improvements and upgrades to current automated weather information programs at airports and report to Congress on the agency's plans to accelerate the deployment of upgrade technology upon successful demonstration of the automated observation of visibility for cloud height and cloud coverage (AOVCC) system. Despite this direction, such report has not been received. Therefore, the conferees direct FAA, in coordination with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, to complete this testing expeditiously and submit the previously-directed report no later than April 1, 2002.

Instrument landing system establishment/upgrade.—Funding provided for instrument landing systems (ILS) shall be distributed as follows:

| Location | Amount |
|--|--------------|
| ALSF-2 acquisition and installation | \$11,300,000 |
| MALSR installation | 5,800,000 |
| ILS installations, JFK/LaGuardia, New York, NY | 1,653,000 |
| ILS/MALSR installation, Lonesome Pine, VA | 1,000,000 |
| Upgrade ILS to CAT III, Kingston, NC | 3,780,000 |
| Acquire/install ILS, Madison County, AL | 1,500,000 |
| Upgrade ILS, North Bend, OR | 3,500,000 |
| ILS/Localizer/glideslope/MALSR, Mena, AR | 580,000 |
| Install ILS, Northeastern Regional, NC | 500,000 |
| Install ILS, Kissimmee Municipal, FL | 1,000,000 |
| Install ILS, Orlando International, FL | 2,000,000 |
| ILS/MALSR, Sanford, FL | 300,000 |
| ILS/MALSR, Dekalb County, IN | 974,000 |
| Install ILS, runway 13/31, Mineral Wells, TX | 675,000 |
| Install ILS, Dalles Municipal, OR | 1,000,000 |
| Install ILS, runway 17, Max Westheimer, OK | 1,534,000 |
| ILS, Klawok Airport, AK | 1,000,000 |
| ILS, Elizabethtown Airport, KY | 900,000 |
| Lambert-St. Louis International, MO | 1,500,000 |
| Wilmington International, NC | 1,154,000 |

| Edenton Northeastern Regional, NC | 500,000 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Reno Stead Airport, NV | 2,000,000 |
| Keokuk Airport, IA | 350,000 |
| Rice Lake Regional, WI | 500,000 |
| Total | 45,000,000 |

Runway visual range.—Of the \$7,085,000 provided for this program, \$85,000 is for RVR equipment at the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport in Minnesota, and \$5,000,000 is for continued acquisition of next generation RVR systems.

Airport movement area safety system.—The conference agreement does not include direction proposed by the Senate on this program.

Terminal air traffic control facilities replacement.—The conference agreement includes \$131,620,000 for replacement of air traffic control towers and other terminal facilities. The agreement distributes these funds as follows:

| | Conference |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Location | agreement |
| Las Vegas McCarran, NV | \$4,000,000 |
| Fort Wayne International, IN | 3,000,000 |
| Stewart Airport, NY | 6,700,000 |
| Cleveland Hopkins, OH | 2,000,000 |
| Spokane, WA | 3,120,000 |
| Reno-Tahoe, NV | 6,000,000 |
| Battle Creek, MI | 1,750,000 |
| Rogers, AZ | 750,000 |
| Billings, MT | 2,725,000 |
| Pascagoula, MS | 2,000,000 |
| Topeka, KS | 2,875,000 |
| LaGuardia, NY | 2,000,000 |

| Boston, MA (Tracon) | 5,066,000 |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Savannah, GA | 500,000 |
| Salina, KS | 560,000 |
| St. Louis, MO (Tracon) | 2,400,000 |
| Corpus Christ, TX | 650,000 |
| Roanoke, VA | 2,140,000 |
| Newark, NJ | 1,407,000 |
| Bedford, MA | 468,000 |
| Vero Beach, FL | 592,000 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 593,000 |
| Beaumont, TX | 800,000 |
| Everett, WA | 1,064,000 |
| Louisville, KY | 1,600,000 |
| Seattle, WA | 2,922,000 |
| Richmond, VA | 2,500,000 |
| Grand Canyon, AZ | 1,500,000 |
| Newport News, VA | 1,300,000 |
| Port Columbus, OH | 1,229,000 |
| North Las Vegas, NV | 550,000 |
| Wilmington, DE | 55,000 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 26,330,000 |
| Seattle, WA (Tracon) | 26,084,000 |
| Manchester, NH | 5,840,000 |
| Reno, NV | 1,461,000 |
| Chantilly, VA (Dulles) | 970,000 |
| Abilene, TX | 1,045,000 |
| Ft. Lauderdale Exec, FL | 638,000 |
| East St. Louis, IL | 572,000 |
| Islip, NY | 550,000 |
| Oshkosh, WI | 365,000 |
| Deer Valley, AZ | 805,000 |
| Swanton, OH | 824,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 820,000 |
| W. Palm Beach, FL | 175,000 |
| Baltimore, MD | 175,000 |
| Portland, OR (Tracon) | 75,000 |
| Houston, TX (Tracon) | 75,000 |
| Total | 131,620,000 |
| | |

Terminal digital radar (ASR-11).—The conference agreement includes \$65,000,000 for continued site implementation and limited production of the

ASR-11 radar system. The conferees are aware of the continued uncertainty over the future of this system. If funds become excess to requirements during the year, FAA may use this funding to develop interim or alternate solutions to the problem of providing digital radar coverage in the national airspace system and augmenting funds for upgrade of the ASR-9 radar system.

Transponder landing systems.—The conference agreement includes \$6,000,000 for transponder landing systems as proposed by the Senate instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees agree that, once the system is certified, the funds made available in this and prior appropriations Acts should be used for both the procurement and installation of these systems. The conferees direct the administrator to rapidly conclude benefit-cost studies and site surveys at locations listed in the Senate report, as well as previous Congressional reports, with the goal of funding the procurement and installation of those projects with the highest justifiable need during fiscal year 2002. The conferees continue to support this program and encourage FAA to work rapidly toward certifying the system.

Approach lighting system improvement program (ALSIP).—The conference agreement provides \$46,481,500 for this program, to be distributed as follows:

| | Conference |
|--|----------------|
| Location | agreement |
| Items in budget request | \$3,114,000 |
| MALSR installation and procurement | 10,000,000 |
| Lighting beacon, Powell County Airport, KY | 150,000 |



| Installation of MALSF, North Las Vegas, NV | 650,000 |
|---|--------------|
| Medium intensity runway lights, Posey Field, AL | 100,000 |
| Runway lighting, rural airports in Alaska | 10,000,000 |
| ALSF-1 and related, Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN | 6,500,000 |
| Lighting upgrades, Hartsfield Atlanta, GA | 3,500,000 |
| North Bend Airport, OR | 4,000,000 |
| MALSR, Olive Branch Airport, MS | 855,000 |
| MALSR, Stennis International, MS | 750,000 |
| Lighting, Rutland Airport, VT | 1,000,000 |
| MALSR, Reno-Tahoe International, NV | 1,000,000 |
| MALSR, Reno Stead Airport, NV | 1,462,500 |
| MALSR, Niagara Falls International, NY | 2,400,000 |
| MALSR, Reading Airport, PA | 500,000 |
| MALSR, Baton Rouge Municipal Airport, LA | 500,000 |
| Total | \$46,481,500 |

The recommendation includes elimination of the \$967,000 requested for procurement and installation of an ALSF-2 at Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport. Funds are provided elsewhere in this budget line for similar activities at that location. The conferees emphasize that the \$10,000,000 in additional funding for MALSR systems is for installation of previously purchased systems and to keep the production line operational for future procurements.

Explosive detection systems.—The conferees agree to provide \$97,500,000 for the acquisition and deployment of explosive detection systems at airports. Consistent with the President's budget, the conference agreement distributes funds as shown below:

| Activity | Conference agreement |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Bulk EDS systems | \$38,000,000 |
| Trace detection systems | 12,000,000 |
| Threat image projection (TIP) systems | 12,000,000 |
| Computer-based training (CBT) systems | 2,000,000 |
| System integration | 33,500,000 |
| Total | 97,500,000 |

Bulk explosive detection systems.—Given the current security situation and requirements in the recently enacted Aviation and Transportation Security Act for improved baggage screening, orders for bulk explosive detection systems (EDS) are expected to grow substantially. Section 110 of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act requires that systems be in operation to screen all checked baggage at airports in the United States as soon as practicable, but not later than the sixty days following enactment of that Act. Although this provision allows the use of manual or canine searches to supplement electronic screening as an interim measure, to minimize the intrusiveness and inefficiency of this procedure, the Act also requires the Undersecretary of Transportation for Security to ensure that EDS systems are deployed as soon as possible to ensure that airports have the equipment necessary to electronically screen all checked baggage no later than December 31, 2002. Given these requirements, it is imperative for the Federal Government to ensure the continued viability of competition for these systems, which has been a struggle over the past few years. Therefore, the conferees do not agree with direction proposed by the House, but instead direct FAA to take all necessary actions to maintain two certified manufacturers of bulk explosive detection systems within the United States. In addition, implementation of these systems has been plagued by FAA's

inability to specify maintenance requirements such as mean time between failure and mean time to restore the system after a failure occurs. Without such guidance, vendors cannot design their systems to meet the operational needs of screening forces at our nation's airports. In order to address this issue as quickly as possible, the conferees direct FAA to develop specifications for reliability, maintainability, and availability for bulk EDS systems over the coming year and include them in solicitations for the further acquisition of these systems.

Trace explosive detection systems.—The conferees understand that new non-intrusive screening technology for the detection of explosives carried by passengers is now ready for deployment after careful and thorough evaluation by the FAA. This commercially available technology, funded by the FAA, builds on existing trace detection instrument capacities already in use protecting airport passengers, the military, U.S. embassies, and commercial nuclear power plants. The conferees urge FAA to accelerate deployment of new non-intrusive screening technologies to airports, to address the threat of explosives carriage on board commercial aircraft.

Model guidelines for encoded data on driver's licenses.—In light of the terrorist attacks of September 11th, it is clear that all levels of government need to work in concert to deter and prevent future attacks. One means of doing so is to ensure that individuals asked to identify themselves are not using false identities. The increasing availability through the internet of expertly crafted false identification makes the task very difficult. The conferees are aware of technology, existing today, that can quickly scan any encoded data on the reverse of a driver's license to validate the license as

legitimately issued. By reviewing personal data encoded on the license, it can also be used to assist in making a quick determination that the person displaying the license is the person to whom it was issued. The conferees strongly encourage the department to consider the development of model guidelines specifying the types of encoded data that should be placed on driver's licenses for security purposes, and to work in concert with states and related licensing bodies toward the early implementation of such measures. This could benefit the nation's efforts to improve security as well as assist in reducing fraud and underage drinking.

Document and biometric scanning technologies.—Document and biometric scanners linked to federal databases by computers and containing advanced authentication capabilities would facilitate the processing of background checks, provide fingerprint and additional biometric identification capabilities, and authenticate documents presented for identification. It is the conferees understanding that such off the shelf, commercially available technology is in use or being tested by the Immigration and Naturalization Service. The conferees encourage FAA to assess such document and biometric scanning technologies for use at all commercial service airports. The conferees also recommend that the Secretary implement standards to make use of technologies that quickly and inexpensively assess the daily fitness-for-duty of airport security screeners with respect to impairment due to illegal drugs, sleep deprivation, legal medications, and alcohol.

Fingerprint identification technologies.—The conferees are aware of the promise of forensic-quality fingerprint and palmprint identification

technologies for the rapid verification of identities and employee background checks. The Aviation and Transportation Security Act requires the department to investigate the application of biometric technologies such as these off the shelf systems. The conferees encourage FAA and the Transportation Security Administration to evaluate these technologies for their immediate application to aviation security missions.

Con (10)

Lambert St. Louis International Airport, MO.-- In order for the new 9000 foot commercial runway at Lambert St. Louis International Airport to open as scheduled in 2005, the airport must have a mobile ASR-9 Radar Unit moved to St. Louis in 2002. FAA has previously committed to St. Louis to carry out this relocation. The Committee directs FAA to honor this commitment thereby allowing FAA sufficient time to relocate the existing ASR-9 radar to a new site by early 2003 in order to accommodate the navigational aide requirements of the new runway.

Facilities and Equipment
(Airport and Airway Trust Fund)
(Rescission)

The conference agreement rescinds \$15,000,000 in unobligated balances from the "Facilities and equipment" appropriation. The administrator is requested to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations describing the individual programs, projects, or activities from which this reduction is to be drawn before such action is finalized.

Research, Engineering, and Development (Airport and Airway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides \$195,000,000 for FAA research, engineering, and development instead of \$191,481,000 as proposed by the House and \$195,808,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table shows the distribution of funds in the House and Senate bills and the conference agreement:

Research, Engineering and Development Fiscal Year 2002

| | House | Senate | Conference |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Program Name | recommended | recommended | agreemen |
| | | | |
| System Development and Infrastructure | 13,450,000 | 16,584,000 | 16,031,000 |
| System planning & resource management | 1,200,000 | 1,458,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Technical laboratory facility | 12,250,000 | 12,545,000 | 12,250,000 |
| Center for Advanced Aviation System Development | 0 | 0 | |
| Information security | 0 | 2,581,000 | 2,581,000 |
| Weather | 21,668,000 | 25,668,000 | 23,668,000 |
| In-house support | 0 | 1,962,000 | |
| Weather program | 21,668,000 | 0 | 23,668,000 |
| Inflight Icing | 0 | 2,068,000 | |
| Storm Growth and Decay | 0 | 2,964,000 | 0 |
| NEXRAD Algorithms | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 |
| Aviation Gridded Forecast System | 0 | 1,870,000 | |
| Model Development and Enhancement | 0 | 1,659,000 | |
| Winter Weather Research | 0 | 1,550,000 | C |
| Ceiling & Visibility | 0 | 750,000 | 0 |
| Turbulence | 0 | 2,749,000 | 0 |
| Airborne Humidity Sensor | 0 | 501,000 | C |
| National Ceiling & Visibility | 0 | 1,956,000 | |
| Ocean Convective Nowcasting | 01 | 1,139,000 | |
| Wake Turbulence | 0. | 5,000,000 | |
| Trans Tarbarates | | 3,000,000 | |
| Aircraft Safety Technology | 60,223,000 | 64,093,000 | 63,782,000 |
| Advanced materials/structural safety | 4,974,000 | 2,974,000 | 2,974,000 |
| Propulsion and fuel systems | 5,168,000 | 8,968,000 | 8,568,000 |
| Flight safety/atmospheric hazards research | 4,150,000 | 6,420,000 | 6,420,000 |
| Aging aircraft | 32,111,000 | 31,911,000 | 32,000,000 |
| Aircraft catastrophic failure prevention research | 2,794,000 | 2,794,000 | 2,794,000 |
| Aviation safety risk analysis | 5,784,000 | 5,784,000 | 5,784,000 |
| Fire research and safety | 5,242,000 | 5,242,000 | 5,764,000 |
| System Security Technology | 44,511,000 | 55,325,000 | 44,511,000 |
| Explosives and weapons detection | 32,624,000 | 43,438,000 | |
| Aircraft hardening | 4,640,000 | | 32,624,000 |
| Airport security technology integration | | 4,640,000 | 4,640,000 |
| | 2,084,000 | 2,084,000 | 2,084,000 |
| Aviation security human factors | 5,163,000 | 5,163,000 | 5,163,000 |
| Human Factors & Aviation Medicine | 24,027,000 | 25,927,000 | 24,527,000 |
| Flight deck/maintenance/system integration human factors | 9,906,000 | 9,906,000 | 9,906,000 |
| Air traffic control/airway facilities human factors | 8,000,000 | 9,900,000 | 8,500,000 |
| Aeromedical research | 6,121,000 | 6,121,000 | 6,121,000 |
| Environment and Energy | 27,602,000 | 7,602,000 | 22,081,000 |
| Strategic Partnerships | 0 | 609,000 | 400,000 |
| Total appropriation | 101 491 000 | 105 809 000 | 105 000 000 |
| Total appropriation | 191,481,000 | 195,808,000 | 195,000,000 |

System planning and resource management.—The conferees do not agree with Senate direction on this program. Funds for this activity have been provided under Office of the Secretary, "Transportation planning, research, and development".

Propulsion and fuel systems.—Of the funds provided, \$2,000,000 is for the Specialty Metals Processing Consortium, \$1,000,000 is for research into the use of blended aviation fuels containing at least 80 percent ethanol, and \$400,000 is for the General Aviation Propulsion – Compression Ignition Test and Evaluation Program (GAP-CITEP), a joint FAA-NASA effort to evaluate alternative fuels to facilitate the transition away from leaded fuels for general aviation aircraft.

Flight safety/atmospheric hazards research.—As proposed by the Senate, the conferees agree to provide funding for the joint industry-university aviation safety initiative at Roswell Industrial Air Center in New Mexico, and agree to Senate direction on this program. The conferees stipulate that the funding is intended for start-up costs, and that this activity should work to reach a self-sufficient funding level, without Federal support, once the activity has begun operations.

Weather.—Of the funds provided, \$4,000,000 is for wake turbulence research, instead of \$5,000,000 proposed by the Senate.

Aging aircraft.—The conference agreement provides \$32,000,000 for this program instead of \$32,111,000 as proposed by the House and \$31,911,000

as proposed by the Senate. Of the funds provided, the conferees agree to the following allocations:

| Activity | Conference agreement |
|--|-------------------------|
| National Institute for Aviation Research | \$4,200,000 |
| Center for Aviation System Reliability | 3,000,000 |
| Aircraft Nondestructive Inspection Validation Center | 3,000,000 |
| Engine Titanium Consortium | 3,600,000 |
| Airworthiness Assurance Center of Excellence | 4,600,000 |

Explosives and weapons detection.—Of the funds provided, \$5,000,000 is only for further development of pulsed fast neutron analysis (PFNA) technology, as proposed by the Senate. The conferees note that, during fiscal year 2002, additional funds for activities under this heading may materialize, to be offset by new security user fees that are being put in place. The Aviation and Transportation Security Act (Public Law 107-71) authorizes appropriation of the new user fees for research and development related to aviation security.

Environment and energy.—The conference agreement includes \$22,081,000, of which \$20,000,000 is for lower noise aircraft technologies as proposed by the House. The conferees are concerned that necessary airport infrastructure cannot be expanded in some locations due to understandable community concerns over aircraft noise. Further, aircraft noise results in millions of federal dollars being spent each year on mitigation measures, diverting funds which could be applied to capacity enhancement or safety projects. Therefore, the conferees have provided \$20,000,000 to speed up the introduction of lower noise aircraft

technologies. The conferees expect FAA to work directly with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to advance aircraft engine noise research.

Grants-in-Aid for Airports
(Liquidation of Contract Authorization)
(Limitation on Obligations)
(Airport and Airway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement includes a liquidating cash appropriation of \$3,200,000,000, as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Obligation limitation.—The conferees agree to an obligation limitation of \$3,300,000,000 for the "Grants-in-aid for airports" program as proposed by the House and the Senate. This is the amount mandated by Public Law 106-181.

Administration.—The conference agreement includes funding to administer the "Grants-in-aid for airports" program under a limitation on obligations in this account, as proposed by the Senate, with a modified amount. The agreement includes a limitation of \$57,050,000 instead of \$64,597,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$7,497,000 for airport-related research under "Facilities and equipment". The House bill included no funding to administer this program.

Runway incursion prevention devices.—The bill includes language proposed by the House allowing funds under this limitation to be used for

procurement, installation, and commissioning of runway incursion prevention devices and systems. This continues a provision initiated in fiscal year 2001.

Small Community Air Service Development Pilot Program.—The bill includes language proposed by the House authorizing the use of funds for section 203 of Public Law 106-181 (the Small Community Air Service Development Pilot Program). Further, the bill specifies that \$20,000,000 of the funds limited under this program is available only for the conduct of this program in fiscal year 2002. The Senate bill included \$27,000,000 for this program in a separate appropriation.

Letters of intent.—The conference agreement includes funding under the limitation on obligations for the following existing letters of intent:

| State and airport | Fiscal year 2002 funding |
|---|--------------------------|
| Alaska | |
| Anchorage International | 3,500,000 |
| Aukanisas | |
| Fayetteville, NW Arkansas Regional | 7,000,000 |
| ्रो ाँ तताह | |
| Mammoth Lakes, Mammoth/Yosemite | 7,368,000 |
| San Jose International | 9,000,000 |
| विगर्वाहरू | |
| Fort Myers, Southwest Florida International | 4,000,000 |
| Miami, Miami International | 2,840,000 |
| Orlando International | 5,000,000 |
| Orlando International | 2,000,000 |
| CEOTIFE | |
| William B. Hartsfield Atlanta International Airport | 10,178,000 |

| Williams the second report of the second report of | |
|--|--|
| Chicago Midway | 0.000.000 |
| Belleville, MidAmerica | 9,000,000 |
| Donovino, Midrafferica | 14,000,000 |
| े ट ्प्रहारह | |
| Baltimore-Washington International | 4,748,000 |
| | |
| कार्यम् विकास | |
| Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County | 12,000,000 |
| | |
| Minnesole St. Daul International | |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul International/Wold | 13,000,000 |
| | 10,000,000 |
| Missouri | |
| Springfield-Branson Regional | 3,300,000 |
| Lambert-St. Louis International | 7,500,000 |
| | |
| Nedreske | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O |
| Omaha, Eppley Airfield | 2,200,000 |
| The Strange Sect Strates and Land | |
| Nevada | |
| Las Vegas-Henderson Sky Harbor | 2,000,000 |
| Reno/Tahoe International | 6,000,000 |
| New Lampshire | |
| Manchester | 7,500,000 |
| | |
| O Direction of the state of the | |
| Cleveland Hopkins International | 5,000,000 |
| ेश्वास्ट्रा | |
| Memphis, Memphis International | 6,934,000 |
| | |
| Dallas/Fort Worth International | |
| | 3,292,000 |
| Houston, George Bush Intercontinental | 9,400,000 |
| 制造的 网络多数人的复数形式 一种人物 (数字) 1000 000 000000000000000000000000000 | and A to a first |
| Salt Lake City International | 7,000,000 |
| Construction on the second of | |
| Washingion Seattle-Tacoma International | 12,000,000 |
| Seattle- i acoma international | 12,000,000 |



High priority projects.—Of the funds covered by the obligation limitation in this bill, the conferees direct FAA to provide not less than the following funding levels, out of available resources, for the following projects in the corresponding amounts. The conferees agree that state apportionment funds may be construed as discretionary funds for the purposes of implementing this provision, consistent with the practice begun in fiscal year 2001. To the maximum extent possible, the administrator is directed to ensure that the airport sponsors for these projects first use available entitlement funds to finance these projects. The conferees note that, separate from the funding for high priority projects cited below, the FAA Administrator will have at least \$750,000,000 in additional funds available for competitive discretionary grants for airport projects, new letters of intent, carryover grants from fiscal year 2001, and grants under the Small Community Air Service Development Pilot Program.

| Airport | Project Description | Allocation |
|---|---|------------|
| | | <u> </u> |
| Akutan SPB Airport | Runway construction, security fencing, and access road | #4,000,000 |
| Girdwood Airport | Security and other improvements | 1,000,000 |
| | Runway extension, apron, expansion, and security | |
| Petersburg Airport | measures | 2,000,000 |
| St. Paul Airport and St. George | Runway paving, security fencing, flood control measures, | |
| Airport , Pribilof Islands, | and other improvements | 2,000,000 |
| Abbeville Municipal Airport | Master plan and related improvements | 1,000,000 |
| Birmingham International | Purchase of residences | 2,000,000 |
| Clayton Municipal | Runway extension and security improvements | 1,500,000 |
| Fairhope Municipal | Runway replace; conversion of runway to taxiway | 1,000,000 |
| Greenville Municipal | Taxiway construction; apron improvements | 800,000 |
| Gulf Shores Airport | Land acquisition; taxiway widening; drainage imp. | 800,000 |
| Huntsville International | Runway extension and security improvements | 1,500,000 |
| Madision County Executive | Land acquisition | 500,000 |
| Montgomery Regional Airport | Security improvements/terminal reconstruction ph. II | 2,000,000 |
| Northwest Alabama Regional | Taxiway and aircraft parking, apron rehabilitation | 550,000 |
| Posey Field | Runway, taxiway, and apron projects | 811,000 |
| Rankin-Fite | Parallel taxiway | 2,000,000 |
| Russellville Municipal Airport | Security, land acquisition, and runway extension | 1,000,000 |
| Bay Minette | Various improvements | 500,000 |
| City of Monroeville Airport | Various improvements | 450,000 |
| Troy Municipal Airport | Security fencing and runway/taxiway rehabilitation | 2,000,000 |
| Benton Airport | Relocation of airport to new site | 1,000,000 |
| Jonesboro Municipal | Runway extension and strengthening | 500,000 |
| Bishop Airport | Various improvements | 3,100,000 |
| Meadows Field | Extension of runway 30L | 3,000,000 |
| Santa Barbara Airport | Extend U.S. Forest Service ramp | 750,000 |
| Stockton Metropolitan Airport | Various improvements | 1,600,000 |
| Panama City-Bay County Airport | Preliminary site design/environmental studies | 2,000,000 |
| St. Petersburg-Clearwater | Runway | 3,975,000 |
| Glynco Jetport | Terminal renovation | 1,000,000 |
| Ankeny Regional Airport | Taxiway, access road & security improvements | 1,000,000 |
| Aurora Airport | Rehabilitation/relocation of taxiway | 1,500,000 |
| DeKalb Taylor Municipal | ODALS; reconstruction of taxiway | 1,900,000 |
| Quad City Airport | Taxiway extension & airfield security | 1,000,000 |
| Anderson Municipal Gary/Chicago Airport | Improve runway safety area | 500,000 |
| Wichita Mid-Continent | Expansion of general use apron | 1,000,000 |
| Bluegrass Field | Construction of taxiway AAAA | 4,500,000 |
| Georgetown-Scott County | Expansion of air carrier ramp | 1,000,000 |
| Glasgow Airport | Apron extension | 550,000 |
| Harlan County | Helipad for air ambulance | 150,000 |
| Henderson City County Airport | Various improvements Relocate taxiway | 2,000,000 |
| Louisville-Jefferson County Regional | Nelocate taxiway | 350,000 |
| Airport Authority | Noise mitigation, and taxings time assessment | 0.000.000 |
| Somerset Airport | Noise mitigation, and taxiway Lima reconstruction | 3,000,000 |
| Williamsburg/Whitley County | Parallel taxiway; apron and access road work | 3,000,000 |
| vimanisburg/vindey County | Grade & drain for 5,500 foot runway | 2,000,000 |
| Baton Rouge Metropolitan | Noise mitigation, apron improvements, master plan and security improvements | 4,175,000 |
| Hammond Municipal | Continue runway extension | 1,000,000 |
| Lafayette Regional | Various improvements | 1,000,000 |
| Howell Livingston County | Construction of new runway | 1,500,000 |
| Oakland County International | Land and real property, noise reduction | 2,000,000 |



| Otsego Regional | Airway strengthening and widening | 1,000,000 |
|--|---|-----------|
| Kennett Memorial | Construction of new runway | 1,500,000 |
| Lee's Summit Municipal | Runway extension | 5,800,000 |
| Mexico Municipal Airport | Runway extension and security improvements | |
| Golden Triangle Regional | | 700,000 |
| Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport | Runway/taxiway lighting system; other improvements | 500,000 |
| | Land acquisition and security improvements | 3,000,000 |
| Jackson International | Apron, taxiway construction for new air cargo area | 1,000,000 |
| | Air-rate trin trin trin trin trin trin | |
| Dillings I amount of the | Aircraft parking, noise reduction, security improvements, | |
| Billings Logan International | and other improvements to reduce aircraft incursions | 2,000,000 |
| Missoula International | Land acquisition & runway relocation | 4,000,000 |
| Andrews-Murphy | Runway extension; etc. | 1,000,000 |
| Concorde Regional Airport | Runway extension | 1,000,000 |
| Harnett County Airport | Extend runway 5,000 feet | 3,122,700 |
| Piedmont Triad International | Construct parallel runway/connecting taxiways | 4,000,000 |
| Bismarck Municipal | Construct new terminal; expand parking | 1,500,000 |
| Grand Forks International | Runway construction and security improvements | 750,000 |
| Minot International Airport | Runway reconstruction | 3,600,000 |
| Dona Ana County | Taxiway widening and strengthening | 2,700,000 |
| McCarran International | Reconstruct/rehabilitate apron pavement T1 | 2,000,000 |
| Reno Stead Airport | Runway reconstruction and extension | 4,000,000 |
| Buffalo-Niagara International | Land acquisition and runway safety improvements | 4,000,000 |
| Floyd Bennett Memorial | Construction of taxiways B and D | 594,000 |
| Lake Placid Airport | Rehabilitation of taxiway | 383,000 |
| Westchester County Airport | Construct central aircraft deicing facility | 5,000,000 |
| Akron-Canton Regional | Safety upgrade & extension of runway 1/19 | 3,000,000 |
| James A. Rhodes Airport | Extend runway 1/19 | 600,000 |
| Rickenbacker International | Terminal apron rehabilitation | 1,000,000 |
| Toledo Express Airport | Construct aircraft parking aprons; other improvements | 1,000,000 |
| Stillwater Regional | Runway extension and taxiway extension | 1,000,000 |
| Tulsa International Airport | Terminal and security upgrades | 6,000,000 |
| Redmond Airport | Terminal area expansion | 1,400,000 |
| Jimmy Stewart Airport | Construct new, longer runway | 4,000,000 |
| Lancaster Airport | Runway extension and security improvements | 2,000,000 |
| Upper Cumberland Regional | Various improvements | 3,000,000 |
| Abilene Regional | Taxiway extension; entrance blvd; aircraft parking | 1,000,000 |
| Alliance Airport | Extension of two runways | 4,500,000 |
| Galveston Scholes International | Various improvements | 500,000 |
| Sugar Land Municipal | GA apron construction | 2,880,000 |
| Terrell Municipal | Various improvements | 1,000,000 |
| Dulles International | Taxiway improvements | 4,632,000 |
| New Lee County Airport | Construct runway/taxiway/apron/access road | 500,000 |
| Reagan Washington National | Various improvements | |
| Richmond International Airport | Security and taxiway improvements | 1,777,300 |
| | | 2,000,000 |
| Rohlsen Airport | Runway extension | 2,000,000 |
| Bennington Airport | Runway extension and security improvements | \$500,000 |
| Pearson Airpark | Various improvements | 500,000 |
| Quillayute Airport | Master plan and related improvements | 500,000 |
| Spokane International Airport | Various improvements | 4,000,000 |
| Chippewa Valley Regional | Construct 816 foot runway safety area | 3,700,000 |
| La Crosse Municipal | | E00:000 |
| Dook County Airmont | Various improvements | 500,000 |
| Rock County Airport | Extend/strengthen runway & parallel taxiway; ILS | 5,400,000 |
| State of West Virginia Jackson County | | |



The conferees further direct that the specific funding allocated above shall not diminish or prejudice the application of a specific airport or geographic region to receive other AIP discretionary grants or multiyear letters of intent.

Alliance Airport, TX.—The Alliance facility serves as a major alternative hub for air cargo traffic. The conferees continue to voice strong support for the runway extension project at Alliance Airport, and encourage FAA to complete a letter of intent and support funding for the timely completion of this project.

Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport, LA.—The FAA is directed to expedite the review, and act upon, the Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport's application for the reconstruction of runway 4L/22R.

Grants-In-Aid for Airports
(Airport and Airway Trust Fund)
(Rescission of Contract Authorization)

The conference agreement includes a rescission of unused contract authority totaling \$301,720,000, as proposed by the Senate. These funds are above the annual obligation ceiling for fiscal year 2002, and remain unavailable to the program. The conference agreement also deletes an appropriation of \$720,000, proposed by the House under this heading, for "Office of the secretary, salaries and expenses". The conference agreement includes funding for this office under the Office of the Secretary.

Aviation Insurance Revolving Fund

The conference agreement retains language authorizing expenditures and investments from the Aviation Insurance Revolving Fund for aviation insurance activities, as proposed by the Senate. The House had proposed to relocate this language to title III of the bill (general provisions). This provision has been carried in appropriations Acts for many years.

Small Community Air Service Development

The conference agreement deletes the appropriation of \$20,000,000 for this program proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree that this is a worthy program, as authorized by Public Law 106-181. Funding of \$20,000,000 has been provided for this program under the "Grants-in-aid for airports" program.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

The conference agreement limits administrative expenses of the Federal Highway

Administration (FHWA) to \$311,000,000, instead of \$311,837,000 as proposed by the House

and \$316,521,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that certain sums be made available under section 104(a)(1)(A) of title 23, U.S.C. to carry out specified activities as follows: \$7,500,000 shall be available for child passenger protection education grants as authorized under section 2003(b) of Public Law 105-178, as amended; \$4,000,000 shall be available for motor carrier safety research; \$841,000 shall be available for motor carrier crash data improvement program; \$1,500,000 shall be available for environmental streamlining; and \$6,000,000 shall be available for the nationwide differential global positioning system.

The conferees recommend the following adjustments to the budget request by program and activity of the funding provided for FHWA's administrative expenses:

| Department of Defense trade collections data | -\$1,616,000 |
|--|--------------|
| Equipment (information technology) | |
| Five new innovative finance positions | |
| Undistributed reduction in administrative expenses | 2,048,000 |

FHWA streamlining. — The conferees direct the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report, not later than January 2, 2002, summarizing FHWA's streamlining efforts. The report should include specific examples of FHWA activities that help streamline the environmental process.

Incidental Appurtenances For Recreational Vehicles. – The conferees encourage the FHWA Administrator to include in its final rule regarding exclusion of devices from commercial vehicle

length and width requirements, an allowance for the commercial transport of recreational vehicles with incidental appurtenances (retractable awnings).

Performance based outcomes.—The conferees recognize the impact the performance based outcomes can have on the road building industry by allowing contractors the freedom and flexibility to focus on quality and long term performance and encourage the Department of Transportation to further explore their use.

FEDERAL -AID HIGHWAYS

The conference agreement limits obligations for the federal-aid highways program to \$31,799,104,000 instead of \$31,716,797,000 as proposed by the House and \$31,919,103,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Rural consultation in planning process. – The conferees direct the FHWA to submit a letter to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, no later than February 1,2002, describing actions the administration has taken to ensure that transportation officials from rural areas are being consulted in the long-range transportation planning process.

I-90 Steering Committee. – The conferees direct the FHWA to continue working with the I-90 Steering Committee in Washington State to advance the R-8A alternative through the environmental review process.

Work zone safety.—The conferees are concerned that each year over 700 people are killed in work zones throughout our nation. The conferees are aware that the Federal Highway Administration has collaborated with the Texas transportation institute (TTI) to establish the national work zone safety information clearinghouse. The clearinghouse serves as a valuable resource in the development and distribution of work zone safety materials for state and local agencies. The conferees are aware that TTI has proposed a work zone safety research program

that seeks to improve data collection in an effort to better manage the dangers of roadway work zones. The conferees encourage the Federal Highway Administration to evaluate TTI's proposals and consider requesting funding in future budget submissions.

Environmental streamlining pilot projects.— The conferees direct the Secretary of
Transportation to give priority consideration to funding for Washington State's environmental
permit streamlining program using funds provided for environmental streamlining initiatives
under this Act. The conferees expect the regional administrators of the Federal Highway
Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency, the National Marine Fisheries Service,
the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to serve on the
Washington State transportation permit efficiency and accountability committee as non-voting
members. The Secretary shall issue a report to the House and Senate Committees on
Appropriations, the Senate Committee of Environment and Public Works, and the House
Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure by April 1, 2002, on the status of this pilot
program. The Conferees further direct the Secretary to give priority consideration to additional
projects, such as the one in Orange County, California

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH

Within the funds provided for surface transportation research, the conference agreement includes \$101,000,000 for highway research and development for the following activities:

| Environmental, planning, real estate | \$16,042,500 |
|---|--------------|
| Research and technology program support | 8,135,000 |
| International research | 500,000 |
| Structures | 13,449,500 |
| Safety | |
| Operations and asset management | 9,891,000 |
| Pavements research | 13,753,000 |
| Long term pavement project (LTPP) | |

| Advanced research | 2,640,000 |
|--|---------------|
| Policy research | 8,330,000 |
| Other (field services, delivery, strategic planning) | 2,640,000 |
| Subtotal | \$101,000,000 |
| Long-term pavement performance research project and superpave program (additional funds from revenue aligned budget authority) | |
| | 10,000,000 |
| Total: | \$111,000,000 |

Environmental, planning, and real estate. – The conference agreement provides \$16,042,500 for environmental, planning, and real estate research. Within the funds provided for this research activity, the FHWA is encouraged to provide \$1,000,000 for the completion of the dust and persistent particulate abatement demonstration study at Kotzebue, Alaska; and no less than \$1,250,000 for environmental streamlining activities.

Research and technology. – The conference agreement provides \$8,135,000 for research and technology program support. Within the funds provided for this activity, the FHWA is encouraged to provide up to \$600,000 for the Center on Coastal Transportation Engineering Research at the University of South Alabama.

Structures. – The conference agreement provides \$13,449,500 for structures research. Within the funds provided for structures research, the conferees encourage the FHWA to provide: \$1,250,000 for research into composite structure and related engineering research at West Virginia University's Constructed Facilities Center; \$500,000 to conduct non-corrosive anticing projects in the Chicago region; \$1,500,000 for research conducted at the Transportation Research Center at Washington State University, including non destructive evaluation of bridges to determine load capacities, impacts of earthquake mitigation on elevated highway structures and the development of advanced composite material for bridges; and \$400,000 for

electromagnetic Interrogation of structures project at the University of Vermont to develop wireless methods of assessing structural integrity.

Safety. – The conference agreement provides \$15,619,000 for safety research. Within the funds provided for this activity, the conferees encourage FHWA to provide: \$300,000 to continue the research into the effectiveness of Freezefree anti-icing systems; and \$1,000,000 to the National Transportation Research Center in Tennessee to conduct broad based laboratory-to-roadside research into heavy vehicle safety issues. These funds will also allow FHWA to expedite the State DOT testing on the interactive highway safety design model (IHSDM) to explore the safety implications of alternative designs.

Operations and asset management. –The conference agreement provides \$9,891,000 for operations and asset management. Within the funds provided for this activity, the conferees encourage FHWA to provide \$1,000,000 to South Carolina State University for the Southern Rural Transportation Center.

Pavements. – The conference agreement provides \$13,753,000 for pavements research. Within the funds provided for this activity, the conferees encourage FHWA to provide: \$750,000 for a continuation of the alkali silica reactivity research with lithium based technologies to mitigate alkali silica reactivity to prevent highway pavement cracking; \$500,000 to the Center for Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Technology at Iowa State; and \$750,000 to support the Institute for Aggregate Research at Michigan Technical University.

Policy. – The conference agreement provides \$8,330,000 for policy research. Within the funds provided for this activity, FHWA shall provide \$2,000,000 to the Academy for Community Transportation Innovation for transportation research on integrating public involvement, technology, and environmental issues in the transportation planning process.



Long term pavement performance research project and superpave program.—The conferees recognize the importance of technology development and deployment of research and technology products funded through the federal-aid highways program. The conferees have included an additional \$10,000,000 in revenue aligned budget authority to be utilized in conjunction with the administration's planned funds to carry out the long term pavement performance research project and to assure the implementation of the superpave program.

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

The conference agreement includes a total of \$225,000,000 for intelligent transportation systems. Of the total, \$105,000,000 is for intelligent transportation systems (ITS) research and development, as provided by both the House and Senate, for the following activities:

| Research and development | \$48,680,00 |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Operational tests | 12.930.00 |
| Evaluations | 7.750.00 |
| Architecture and standards | 15.290.00 |
| Integrations | 11.350.00 |
| Program support | 9.000.00 |
| | |
| Total | 105 000 00 |

Research. – The conference agreement provides \$48,680,000 for research and development. Within the funds provided for this activity, the conferees encourage FHWA to provide \$6,800,000 for commercial vehicle research.

Intelligent transportation systems deployment projects.--Within the funds available for intelligent transportation systems deployment, the conference agreement provides that not less than the following sums shall be available for intelligent transportation projects in these specified areas:

Intelligent Transportation Systems

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Alameda-Contra Costa, California | \$500,000 |
| Alaska statewide | 2,500,000 |
| Alexandria, Virginia | 750,000 |
| Arizona statewide EMS | 500,000 |
| Army trail road traffic signal coordination project, Illinois | 300,000 |
| Atlanta smart corridors, Georgia | 1,000,000 |
| Austin, Texas | 125,000 |
| Automated Crash Notification System, UAB, Alabama | 2,500,000 |
| Bay County Area wide traffic signal system, Florida | 500,000 |
| Beaver County transit mobility manager, Pennsylvania | 800,000 |
| Brownsville, Texas | 250,000 |
| Carbondale technology transfer center, Pennsylvania | 1,000,000 |
| Cargo mate logistics and intermodal management, New York | 1,250,000 |
| Central Ohio | 1,500,000 |
| Chattanooga, Tennessee | 2,000,000 |
| Chinatown intermodal transportation center, California | 1,750,000 |
| Clark County, Washington | 1,000,000 |
| Commercial vehicle information systems and networks, New York | 450,000 |
| Dayton, Ohio | 1,250,000 |
| Detroit, Michigan (airport) | 1,500,000 |
| Durham, Wake Counties, North Carolina | 500,000 |
| Eastern Kentucky rural highway information | 2,000,000 |
| Fargo, North Dakota | 1,000,000 |
| Forsyth, Guilford Counties, North Carolina | 1,000,000 |
| Genesee County, Michigan | 1,000,000 |
| Great Lakes, Michigan | 1,500,000 |
| Guidestar, Minnesota | 6,000,000 |
| Harrison County, Mississippi | 500,000 |
| Hawaii statewide | 1,000,000 |
| Hoosier SAFE-T, Indiana | 2,000,000 |
| Houma, Louisiana | 1,000,000 |
| I-90 connector testbed, New York | 1,000,000 |
| Illinois statewide | 2,000,000 |
| Inglewood, California | 500,000 |
| Integrated transportation management system, Delaware statewide | 2,000,000 |
| Iowa Statewide | 562,000 |

Intelligent Fransportation Systems

| | Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|---|------------------|
| | Jackson Metropolitan, Mississippi | 500,000 |
| | James Madison University, Virginia | 1,500,000 |
| | Kansas City, Kansas | 500,000 |
| | Kittitas County workzone traffic safety system, Washington | 450,000 |
| | Lansing, Michigan | 750,000 |
| | Las Vegas, Nevada | 1,450,000 |
| | Lexington, Kentucky | 750,000 |
| | Libertyville traffic management center, Illinois | 760,000 |
| | Long Island rail road grade crossing deployment, New York | 1,000,000 |
| | Macomb, Michigan (border crossing) | 1,000,000 |
| | Maine statewide (rural) | 500,000 |
| | Maryland statewide | 1,000,000 |
| | Miami-Dade, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| | Monterey-Salinas, California | 750,000 |
| | Montgomery County ECC & TMC, Maryland | 1,000,000 |
| | Moscow, Idaho | 1,000,000 |
| | Nebraska statewide | 4,000,000 |
| | New York statewide information exchange systems, New York | 500,000 |
| | New York, New Jersey, Connecticut (TRANSCOM) | 2,500,000 |
| | North Greenbush, New York | 1,000,000 |
| | Oklahoma statewide | 3,000,000 |
| | Oxford, Mississippi | 500,000 |
| | Pennsylvania statewide (turnpike) | 500,000 |
| | Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | 1,033,000 |
| • | Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Drexel) | 1,500,000 |
| | Pioneer Valley, Massachusetts | 1,500,000 |
| | Port of Long Beach, California | 500,000 |
| | Port of Tacoma trucker congestion notification system, Washington | 200,000 |
| • | Roadside animal detection test-bed, Montana | 500,000 |
| | Rochester-Genesse, New York | 800,000 |
| | Rutland, Vermont | 750,000 |
| | Sacramento, California | 3,000,000 |
| ; | San Diego joint transportation operations center, California | 1,500,000 |
| , | San Francisco central control communications, California | 250,000 |
| • | Santa Anita, California | 300,000 |
| ; | Santa Teresa, New Mexico | 750,000 |
| | (/ 2) | . 55,550 |

Intelligent Transportation Systems

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Shreveport, Louisiana | 750,000 |
| Silicon Valley transportation management center, California | 700,000 |
| South Carolina DOT | 3,000,000 |
| Southeast Corridor, Colorado | 7,000,000 |
| Southern Nevada (bus) | 1,100,000 |
| Spillway road incident management system, Mississippi | 600,000 |
| St. Louis, Missouri | 1,000,000 |
| Statewide transportation operations center, Kentucky | 2,000,000 |
| Superior, I-39 corridor, Wisconsin | 2,500,000 |
| Texas statewide | 2,000,000 |
| Travel network, South Dakota | 2,325,000 |
| University of Arizona ATLAS Center, Arizona | 500,000 |
| Utah Statewide | 560,000 |
| Vermont statewide (rural) | 1,500,000 |
| Washington statewide | 4,500,000 |
| Washington, D.C. metropolitan region | 2,000,000 |
| Wayne County road information management system, Michigan | 1,500,000 |
| Wichita, Kansas | 1,200,000 |
| Wisconsin communications network | 310,000 |
| Wisconsin statewide | 1,000,000 |
| Yakima County adverse weather operations, Washington | 475,000 |

120,000,000



Illinois Statewide ITS.-- Within the amount made available for Illinois Statewide ITS, funds shall be made available to the City of Quincy for the 18th St. Bridge and to the City of Carbondale for the Southern Illinois University-Carbondale's Materials Technology Center.

Projects selected for funding shall contribute to the integration and interoperability of intelligent transportation systems, consistent with the criteria set forth in TEA21.

FERRY BOATS AND FERRY TERMINAL FACILITIES

Within the funds available for ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:



Ferry Boats and Ferry Terminal Facilities

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|------------------|
| Bainbridge-Seattle ferry system, dolphin replacement project, Washington | \$4,000,000 |
| Battery Maritime building, New York | |
| Baylink Ferry intermodal center and upgrades and improvements to facilities (City of | 750,000 |
| Vallejo), California | 2,000,000 |
| Cherry Grove ferry dock, New York | 90,000 |
| City of Brewer waterfront redevelopment shoreline stabilization, Maine | 1,000,000 |
| City of Palatka, Florida | 300,000 |
| City of Rochester harbor & ferry terminal improvement projects, New York | 4,500,000 |
| Cleveland Trans-Erie ferry, Ohio | 800,000 |
| Coffman Cove-Wrangell/Mitkof Island ferries and facilities, Alaska | 10,000,000 |
| Corpus Christi ferry landings, Texas | 200,000 |
| Ferry Boat terminal building dock construction, Pennsylvania | 1,000,000 |
| Fire Island terminal infrastructure, New York | 200,000 |
| Fishers Island ferry district, Connecticut | 1,500,000 |
| Hatteras Inlet ferry connecting Ocracoke Island and North Carolina Outer Banks, North Carolina | 1,450,000 |
| Haverstraw-Ossining-Yonkers ferry service terminals, New York | 2,500,000 |
| Jamaica Bay transportation hub, New York | 200,000 |
| Jersey City Pier redevelopment & terminal construction project (also bus), New Jersey | 2,000,000 |
| Key West ferry terminal, Florida | 300,000 |
| Kings Point ferry, Warren County, Mississippi | 500,000 |
| New Bedford Massachusetts ferry and ferry facility project, Massachusetts | 1,450,000 |
| North Carolina State ferry (dredging and environmental studies), North Carolina | 689,000 |
| Oak Harbor Municipal Pier terminal, Washington | 200,000 |
| Plaquemines Parish ferry, Louisiana | 1,200,000 |
| San Francisco Bay Area Water Transit Authority Fuel Cell project | 100,000 |
| Sand Point dock, Rhode Island | 250,000 |
| Sandy Hook ferry terminal, New Jersey | 1,000,000 |
| Savannah water ferry, Georgia | 1,000,000 |
| St. George Ferry terminal, New York | 500,000 |
| St. Johns River ferry terminal, Florida | |
| Station Square River landing boat docks, Pennsylvania | 1,000,000 |
| Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority Marina ferry, Ohio | 1,000,000 |
| Treasure Island ferry service, California | 500,000 |
| Whitehall terminal, New York | 800,000 |
| rinchan telling, 176W FOIR | 600,000 |

43 570

NATIONAL CORRIDOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Within the funds available for the national corridor planning and development program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

National Corridor Planning and Development Program and Goordinated Border Infrastructure Program

| Project Name Co | onference Total |
|--|-----------------|
| Alameda Corridor-East construction project, California | \$4,000,000 |
| Ambassador Bridge Gateway, Michigan | 9,000,000 |
| Arch Road/Sperry Road Corridor Widening | 2,000,000 |
| Arizona 95 to I-40 Connector, California | 3,000,000 |
| Bristol/First Street intersection Santa Ana, California | 1,000,000 |
| Byram-Clinton/Norrell Corridor, Mississippi | 3,500,000 |
| Chesapeake Bypass, Lawrence, Ohio | 4,000,000 |
| Clay/Leslie Industrial Park access, Kentucky | 4,000,000 |
| Coalfields Expressway, West Virginia | 16,000,000 |
| Continental 1, Pennsylvania and New York | 1,000,000 |
| Curry Pike multilaning project, Indiana | 1,000,000 |
| Des Moines metro I-235 Reconstruction, Iowa | 700,000 |
| Dixie Highway Flyover Bridge, Florida | 1,500,000 |
| East-West Highway, Maine | 3,500,000 |
| Essen Lane & I-12 Interchange, Louisiana | 1,000,000 |
| Everett Development 41st Street overpass project, Washington | 1,500,000 |
| Exit 6 of I-95, Pennsylvania | 350,000 |
| Falls to the Falls Corridor, Cook, Minnesota | 7,000,000 |
| FAST Corridor project, Washington | 20,000,000 |
| FM 1016 from US 83 to Madero, Texas | 500,000 |
| Foothills Parkway TN-1, Tennessee | 1,000,000 |
| Freeport Business Center off ramp, Texas | 500,000 |
| Gravina Bridge, Alaska | 1,000,000 |
| Heartland Parkway/Highway 55, Kentucky | 500,000 |
| Hendricks County North-South Corridor, Indiana | 750,000 |
| Highway 192 in McCreary County, Kentucky | 1,600,000 |
| Highway 20 Freeport bypass review, design and engineering, Illinois | 1,000,000 |
| Highway 231 Glover Carey Bridge and Owensboro intersection, Kentucky | 1,000,000 |
| Highway 61, Avenue of the Saints interchange, Moscow Mills, Missouri | 2,500,000 |
| Highway 61, Green County between Greensburg and Columbia, Kentucky | 250,000 |
| Highway 71 Texarkana South, Arkansas | 7,000,000 |
| Hoosier Heartland Industrial Corridor Lafayette to Logansport, Indiana | 1,000,000 |
| Hwy 92 Whitley County, Kentucky I-29 construction from Exit 81 North to South of I-90 at Sioux Falls, South | 300,000 |
| Dakota | 12,000,000 |
| I-35 expansion, Hill County, Texas | 2,000,000 |



National Corridor Planning and Development Program and Coordinated Border Infrastructure Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| I-35 Replacement Bridge, Dallas County, Texas | 1,000,000 |
| I-4 Crosstown Expressway Connector, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| I-44/US 65 Interchange, Missouri | 1,500,000 |
| I-49 Interchange at Caddo Port Road, Louisiana | 3,800,000 |
| I-49 south from Lafayette east to Westbank, Louisiana | 15,000,000 |
| I-5 trade corridor, Oregon | 5,000,000 |
| I-5/SR56 connectors, California | 2,000,000 |
| I-66, Kentucky | 20,000,000 |
| I-66, Pike County, Kentucky | 2,500,000 |
| I-69 Connector from I-530 in Pine Bluff, Arkansas | 4,000,000 |
| I-69 construction Odom Road to I-55, Mississippi | 9,000,000 |
| I-69 Corridor, Louisiana | 10,000,000 |
| I-69 Corridors 18 and 20, Texas | 1,500,000 |
| I-69 Evansville to Indianapolis, Indiana | 2,586,000 |
| I-69 Great River Bridge, Arkansas | • |
| I-69 on SIU 11 along US 61, Mississippi | 500,000 |
| I-84 Exit 6/Route 37 interchange, Connecticut | 2,300,000 |
| I-85 extension to I-59/20, Alabama | 3,000,000 |
| I-87 Corridor Study, New York | 2,000,000 |
| I-90/94 new by-pass to Highway 3 EIS, Montana | 3,500,000 |
| I-905 Otay Mesa Border port-of-entry, California | 7,500,000 |
| Interstate 75 and Central Sarasota Parkway interchange, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| King Coal Highway, West Virginia | 20,000,000 |
| KY 1848 from I-64 to US 60, Kentucky | 320,000 |
| La Entrada al Pacifico feasibility study, Texas | 200,000 |
| Lincoln Bypass, California Memphis-Huntsville-Atlanta Highway preliminary engineering and | 2,000,000 |
| construction, Alabama | 1,000,000 |
| Midland Reliever Route for freeway connection from SH 349 to I-20, Texas | 1,000,000 |
| Missouri Highway 7, Missouri | 3,750,000 |
| Monticello Street underpass, Kentucky | 1,000,000 |
| MS Highway 44/Pearl River Bridge extension project, Mississippi | 3,000,000 |
| New Boston Road (a segment of National Great River Road), Illinois | 1,000,000 |
| New York Harbor rail freight tunnel, New York | 5,000,000 |
| North/South transitway, Charlotte/Mecklenburg, North Carolina | 3,500,000 |
| Northern Border Cascadia program of projects, Washington | 2,500,000 |

National Corridor Planning and Development Program and Coordinated Border Infrastructure Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|------------------|
| North-South Highway project, Alabama | 1,000,000 |
| Outer Belt Connector, Kendall & Kane Counties, Illinois | 15,000,000 |
| Pennyrile Parkway, Kentucky | 1,000,000 |
| Phoenix Avenue improvements and airport access construction, Arkansas | 1,750,000 |
| Port of Claiborne/Grand Gulf Connector Access Road, Mississippi | 8,000,000 |
| Port of South Louisiana to I-10 Connector, Louisiana | 1,000,000 |
| Ports-to-Plains Corridor development management plan, Texas | 1,700,000 |
| Railroad Avenue Underpass East Chicago, Indiana | 2,500,000 |
| Rapid River Bridge, Idaho | 1,000,000 |
| Reconstruct MD 117 at MD 124 in Montgomery County, Maryland | 1,000,000 |
| Route 10, West Virginia | 15,000,000 |
| Route 116 between Ashfield and Conway, Massachusetts | 2,500,000 |
| Route 2 bypass & safety improvements in Erving, Massachusetts | 3,000,000 |
| Route 340/522 bridge replacement, Virginia | 100,000 |
| Route 669 bridge widening, Virginia | 500,000 |
| Route 71 McDonald County, Missouri | 6,000,000 |
| Seward Highway safety improvements at Bird Creek, Alaska | 15,000,000 |
| SR 149 Relocation, Ohio | 500,000 |
| SR-67 between I-110 & US-49, Mississippi | 9,000,000 |
| St. Rt. 905 phase I, California | 1,000,000 |
| State border safety inspection facilities, Texas | 12,000,000 |
| Stewart Airport connector study, New York | 350,000 |
| STH 29 between I-94 and CTH J, Wisconsin | 10,000,000 |
| Stone Coal Road in Johnson County, Kentucky | 1,500,000 |
| Tuscaloosa eastern bypass from I-59 to Rice Mine Road, Alabama | 20,000,000 |
| U.S. 24 Corridor improvement study between Toledo, Ohio and Indiana | 2,500,000 |
| U.S. Highway 212 Hennepin County, Minnesota | 3,000,000 |
| U.S. Highway 54, Kansas | 4,000,000 |
| Upgrade road to I-64/US Route 35, West Virginia | 3,000,000 |
| US 19, Florida | 25,000,000 |
| US 231/I-10 freeway Connector from Dothan to AI/FL state line, Alabama | 1,000,000 |
| US 25 N to Renfro Valley, Kentucky | 2,000,000 |
| US 27 from Somerset to KY70, Kentucky | 5,000,000 |
| US 27 to Burnside, Kentucky | 800,000 |
| US 278, Alabama | 1,000,000 |

National Corridor Planning and Development Program and Coordinated Border Infrastructure Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| US 395 North Spokane Corridor, Washington | 6,000,000 |
| US 412 Overpass at I-44, Oklahoma | 1,500,000 |
| US 431 from Epleys Station North to Lewisburg, Kentucky | 850,000 |
| US 60 Butler County, Missouri | 1,500,000 |
| US 60 right-of-way, KY 425 to US 41, Henderson County, Kentucky | 500,000 |
| US Route 15 expansion from Pennsylvania to Presho, New York | 3,000,000 |
| US Route 20 in North Huntingdon Township, Pennsylvania | 200,000 |
| US-151 expansion Dickeyville & Dodgeville, Wisconsin | 3,000,000 |
| US19/US 129/SR 11 Connector, Georgia | 1,000,000 |
| US-2 planning & construction, New Hampshire | 1,000,000 |
| US-41A, Kentucky | 100,000 |
| US-49/I-55 flyover, Mississippi | 1,500,000 |
| US-63 improvements for Corridor 39, Arkansas | 15,000,000 |
| US-64/87 Ports to Plains corridor study, New Mexico | 1,000,000 |
| US-95 improvements from milepost 522 to Canadian border, Idaho | 9,000,000 |
| USH 10 between Stevens Point & Waupaca, Wisconsin | 4,000,000 |
| Weidle Road Improvements, Illinois | 500,000 |
| Wichita South Area transportation study, Kansas | 1,000,000 |
| Yakima grade separation program of projects, Washington | 4,000,000 |

492,256,000

TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNITY AND SYSTEM PRESERVATION PROGRAM

Within the funds made available for the transportation and community and system preservation program, funds are to be distributed to the following projects and activities:

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|------------------|
| Access improvement to Rostraver Industrial Park, Pennsylvania | \$500,000 |
| Advanced traffic analysis center, North Dakota | 500,000 |
| Alkali Creek bike/pedestrian trail, Montana | 500,000 |
| Alliance transportation congestion mitigation, Ohio | 2,000,000 |
| Artesia boulevard Rehabilitation, California | 200,000 |
| Atlantic Avenue Extension, Queens, New York | 2,000,000 |
| Atlantic Avenue Trail Extension, Virginia | 800,000 |
| Austin TX Bicycle Commuting Project, Texas | 375,000 |
| Bandyville Road, Illinois | 525,000 |
| Bicycle/Pedestrian connections to Charlotte's trail systems, North Carolina | 200,000 |
| Boston-North Shore corridor study, Massachusetts | 250,000 |
| Broadway Armory Parking Facility, Illinois | 750,000 |
| Bronx River Greenway, New York | 750,000 |
| Brooklyn Bridge Park Development Corporation Study, New York | 1,000,000 |
| Buffalo City inner harbor and waterfront development, New York | 1,570,000 |
| Cabarrus Avenue Gateway, North Carolina | 2,800,000 |
| Cades Cove Loop improvements, Tennessee | 2,000,000 |
| Casper Second Street extension, Wyoming | 1,000,000 |
| Cedar Rapids Edgewood Road project, Iowa | 3,000,000 |
| Central business district trail link Prairie Duneland and Iron Horse Heritage, Indiana | 970,000 |
| Charles Town streetscape improvements and welcome center, West Virginia | 400,000 |
| Chester waterfront development streetscape, Pennsylvania | 500,000 |
| Church Street Marketplace in Burlington, Vermont | 1,500,000 |
| City of Elk Point bike/pedestrian trail system, South Dakota | 200,000 |
| City of Frisco, Texas | 1,000,000 |
| City of Havana, Illinois | 1,500,000 |
| City of Tea bike/pedestrian path, South Dakota | 50,000 |
| City of Woburn, Massachusetts | 200,000 |
| Claymont transportation project, Delaware | 100,000 |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Columbia Harden Street improvements, South Carolina | 5,000,000 |
| Completion of US 101 Regional Bikeway System, California | 500,000 |
| Concord 20/20 vision program, New Hampshire | 500,000 |
| Cross County Corridor study, Maryland | 500,000 |
| Crowley Historic Parkerson Avenue redevelopment, Louisiana | 500,000 |
| Culiman County pedestrian walkway, Alabama | 100,000 |
| Derby, traffic congestion, Connecticut | 2,000,000 |
| Downeast Heritage Center project, Calais, Maine | 400,000 |
| Downtown Development District, Louisiana | 500,000 |
| Dynamic Rollover Laboratory, Auburn University project, Alabama | 1,500,000 |
| East Branch DuPage River Greenway Trail Plan, Illinois | 75,000 |
| East Chicago Railroad Avenue Project, Indiana | 1,000,000 |
| East Haddam Mobility Improvements, Connecticut | 500,000 |
| Eastern Market pedestrian overpass park, Michigan | 500,000 |
| Eastern shore trail project from USS Alabama to Weeks Bay National Reserve, Alabama | 1,500,000 |
| Elimination of grade crossing and redirection of corridor traffic, Ashland, Wisconsin | 1,900,000 |
| Estill County bypass lighting around Irvine, Kentucky | 50,000 |
| Estill County industrial park access road, Kentucky | 300,000 |
| Everett development project track replacement, Washington | 3,700,000 |
| Fairhope Trax & Trails, Alabama | 1,000,000 |
| Farrington safety enhancements, Hawaii | 2,000,000 |
| Fegenbush Lane Bridge at Fern Creek, Kentucky | 400,000 |
| FM 494 widening from US 83 to FM 1016, Texas | 1,000,000 |
| Foxhall Road Safety Reconstruction Project, DC | 2,000,000 |
| Fruitvale, California | 2,000,000 |
| Galesburg Railroad Relocation Study, Illinois | 150,000 |
| Goucher Wheel and Walk Way, Pennsylvania | 1,000,000 |
| Grand Forks greenway trail system, North Dakota | 1,000,000 |
| Great Dismal Swamp Corridor Master Plan, Virginia | 180,000 |
| | |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|--|
| Great Lake recreation area traffic study, Oklahoma | 250,000 |
| Green Airport Initiative, California | 2,000,000 |
| Green Island, New York Road and infrastructure project | 2,600,000 |
| GSB-88 Emulsified binder treatment research, Alabama | 1,000,000 |
| Gulf Coast Pedestrian Walkover, Highway 98, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| Hanceville Downtown Revitalization, Alabama | 400,000 |
| Harris County 911 emergency network, Texas | 500,000 |
| HART bus tracking, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| Henderson downtown street widening, North Carolina | 1,000,000 |
| Henderson riverfront project, Kentucky | 1,000,000 |
| Highway 2 feasibility project, Montana | 1,000,000 |
| Highway 24 segment completion, Texas | 1,000,000 |
| Highway 45, Lowndes County | 2,000,000 |
| Highway 61 from KY487 to Columbia PE/design, Kentucky | 1,000,000 |
| Highway 71 Alma to Mena, Arkansas | 1,000,000 |
| Hillsborough weigh station, North Carolina | 350,000 |
| Historic Erie Canal Aqueduct redevelopment, New York | 1,100,000 |
| Hopewell Borough Street flooding project, New Jersey | 300,000 |
| Houston Main Street corridor master plan, Texas | 500,000 |
| Huffman Prairie Flying Field pedestrian & multimodal gateway entrance, Ohio | 1,500,000 |
| I-15, Sevier River to Mills reconstruction, Utah | 2,000,000 |
| I-5/SR 432 Interchange Access, Washington | 1,000,000 |
| I-74 Mississippi River Bridge | 2,000,000 |
| Injury Control Research Center, UAB project | 1,250,000 |
| Interchange at 159th St. and I-35, Olathe, Kansas Intersection improvements, Highway 41 and US 17, North of Mount Pleasant, | 2,000,000 |
| South Carolina | 500,000 |
| Interstate Route 295 and Commercial Street project, Portland, Maine | 1,200,000 |
| Isleta Boulevard Reconstruction Project, New Mexico | 5,000,000 |
| Johnstown Road, Kentucky | 800,000 |
| (/6 / | en e |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------------|
| Jonesboro Caraway Overpass project, Arkansas | 1,500,000 |
| Kalispell Bypass Project, Kalispell, Montana | 400,000 |
| Kenai River Trail, Alaska | 500,000 |
| Kentucky Transportation Cabinet for Regional Trail Improvements, Kentucky | 2,350,000 |
| Lake Street access to I-35 West, Minnesota | 4,000,000 |
| Lambertville Street flooding improvements, New Jersey | 300,000 |
| Lancaster Avenue improvements, Fort Worth, Texas | 1,500,000 |
| Land Use Municipal Resource Center, New Jersey | 2,000,000 |
| Lees Town Road project, KY | 500,000 |
| Lewis Avenue Bridge, California | 200,000 |
| Lincoln Antelope Valley 16th Street overpass, Nebraska | 1,600,000 |
| Littleton integrated and networked community, New Hampshire | 750,000 |
| Littleton Main Street pedestrian improvements, New Hampshire | 2,000,000 |
| Lodi project, improvements to route 46, New Jersey | 1,000,000 |
| Los Angeles County bike path, California | 1,000,000 |
| Louisville Bypass, Nebraska Louisville Waterfront/River Road pedestrian islands improvement and park entry Preston Street project, Kentucky | 1,000,000 1,000,000 |
| Macon community preservation and redevelopment, Georgia | 200,000 |
| Madison State Street project, Wisconsin | 1,000,000 |
| Main Street Streetscaping, Jacksonville, Florida | 500,000 |
| Maine Avenue Redesign, California | 100,000 |
| Mamaroneck pedestrian improvements, New York | 125,000 |
| Manalapan Township Woodward Road reconstruction, New Jersey | 250,000 |
| Marin Parklands Visitor Access, California | 1,000,000 |
| Maryland Route 404 upgrade project | 3,000,000 |
| Marysville Road, Montana | 1,000,000 |
| Marysville streetscape improvements, Tennessee | 4,000,000 |
| McKinley/Riverside Avenue Safety Improvements, Indiana | 1,245,000 |
| Median on US 42 from Harrods Creek to River Road, Kentucky | 600,000 |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program -

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------------|
| Metrolina traffic management center, North Carolina | 1,000,000 |
| Metrowest Community Transportation Pilot Project, Massachusetts | 450,000 |
| Miami-Dade FL multi-modal public transportation transfer center | 3,500,000 |
| Midwest City downtown revitalization project, Oklahoma | 1,000,000 |
| Missouri Highway 21 | 7,000,000 |
| Mobile Greenways, Alabama Mobile Waterfront Terminal and Maritime Center of the Gulf Project, Alabama | 1,750,000 5,000,000 |
| Mount Vernon, NY commuter rail station improvements, New York | 1,000,000 |
| Museum campus trolleys expanded service, Illinois | 500,000 |
| Mystic streetscape projects, Connecticut | 1,000,000 |
| National Underground Railroad Freedom Center, Ohio | 3,000,000 |
| Navajo Gateway, Oklahoma | 200,000 |
| New Rochelle NY North Avenue pedestrian street improvements, New York | 1,000,000 |
| NFTA Development Plan, New York | 100,000 |
| Oceanport Road flooding improvements, New Jersey | 300,000 |
| Ohio & Erie Canal Corridor, Ohio | 1,000,000 |
| Olympic Discovery Trail, Washington | 1,600,000 |
| Ortega Street Pedestrian overcrossing gateway, California | 125,000 |
| Owensboro Riverfront redevelopment project, Kentucky | 1,800,000 |
| Palmer railroad right-of-way, Alaska | 1,100,000 |
| Park City sidewalks, Kentucky | 42,600 |
| Parkerson Avenue Pedestrian and Streetscape Improvements, Louisiana | 165,000 |
| Parking Facility, Marysville, Tennessee | 1,650,000 |
| Payette River Greenway project, Idaho | 105,000 |
| Peachtree Corridor project, Georgia | 6,000,000 |
| Phalen Boulevard, Minnesota | 1,750,000 |
| Pharr bridge toll connector, Texas | 415,000 |
| Pioneer Valley Commission, West Springfield, Massachusetts | 400,000 |
| Pistol Creek pedestrian bridge, Tennessee | 900,000 |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Programe

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Port of Vicksburg Study, Mississippi | 400,000 |
| Portage Canal Rehabilitation & Pedestrian/Bicycle Facility, Wisconsin | 1,000,000 |
| Prattville-Daniel Pratt Historic District development, Alabama | 500,000 |
| Queens Boulevard Pedestrian Improvements, New York | 500,000 |
| Raritan Township Clover Hill Road Reconstruction, New Jersey | 1,000,000 |
| Redlands Transportation & Community Preservation, California | 500,000 |
| Rhinelander Relocation, Oneida County, Wisconsin | 9,600,000 |
| River Street reconstruction, Lindenhurst, New York | 500,000 |
| Riverwinds project in West Deptford, New Jersey | 500,000 |
| Road 200 South Improvement Project, Indiana | 700,000 |
| Roadway expansion, East Metropolitan Business Park, Mississippi | 2,000,000 |
| Robbins Commuter Rail Station upgrade, Illinois | 250,000 |
| Rose Bowl access mitigation, California | 300,000 |
| Rose Crossing in Kingston and Roane Counties, Tennessee (roadways, trails and improvements) | 1,050,000 |
| Route 101 corridor study for Amherst, Milford, and Wilton, New Hampshire Route 17 Paramus and Essex Street, Hackensack, congestion alleviation, | 200,000 |
| New Jersey | 300,000 |
| Route 22/Mill Road pedestrian street improvements, New York | 750,000 |
| Route 3 upgrade PE between Franklina and Boscawen, New Hampshire Route 710 Connector Improvements and Traffic Calming, Riviera Beach, | 100,000 |
| Florida | 300,000 |
| Route 79 relocation and harbor enhancements, Massachusetts | 1,000,000 |
| Saddle Road improvement project, Hawaii | 4,000,000 |
| Santa Carita Cross Valley Connector, California | 1,000,000 |
| Satsop Development Park, Washington | 1,500,000 |
| SC 277 Pedestrian Walkway, South Carolina | 1,000,000 |
| Schuylkill Valley Metro Feasibility Study, Pennsylvania | 500,000 |
| SH 121/Grandview Ave. Railroad Grade Separation, Colorado | 250,000 |
| Shore Road, Lindenhurst, New York | 500,000 |
| Somerset downtown revitalization, Kentucky | 2,000,000 |
| South 7th Street, Lindenhurst, New York | 250,000 |
| (79) | |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program

| | Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|---|------------------|
| | South Amboy Regional Intermodal Transportation Initiative, New Jersey South Capitol Gateway & Improvement Study, Maryland and the District of | 1,000,000 |
| | Columbia | 500,000 |
| | South Carolina Route 38/I-95 Interchange improvements, South Carolina | 1,500,000 |
| | South Com regional dispatch trauma center, Illinois | 170,000 |
| | South LaBrea Avenue and Imperial Highway Improvements, California | 1,000,000 |
| | Southern bypass around the southwestern portion of Somerset, Kentucky | 6,600,000 |
| | Southern Rural Transportation Center, South Carolina | 9,000,000 |
| | Springfield center city streetscape improvements, Missouri | 1,000,000 |
| | Springfield Metro/VRE Pedestrian Access improvements, Virginia | 500,000 |
| | SR-520 Convening with communities, Washington | 1,000,000 |
| | SR91 Freeway Corridor Transportation Enhancement, California | 500,000 |
| | St. Landry Road extension in Ascension Parish and I-10 link study, Louisiana | 500,000 |
| | Stamford Waterside, Connecticut | 250,000 |
| | State Route 25 Safety Improvements, California | 2,000,000 |
| | State Route 46 expansion study, Florida | 1,200,000 |
| | Stearns Road corridor, multi-use Trails, Illinois | 1,000,000 |
| | Stockton Miracle Mile/Pacific Avenue resurfacing, California | 1,000,000 |
| | Strong Avenue improvements and rail relocation, Vermont | 1,500,000 |
| • | Stuttgart Two-Lane Bypass, Arkansas | 750,000 |
| | Sunland Park Drive extension, Texas | 500,000 |
| | Sutherland, NE viaduct to UP tracks and US Highway 30, Nebraska | 2,000,000 |
| | Syracuse lakefront project, New York | 1,500,000 |
| | Temple Street reopening project, Connecticut | 1,000,000 |
| | Tioughnioga waterfront development, New York | 500,000 |
| | Titan Road improvement project, Colorado | 2,000,000 |
| | Tompkins County strategic initiative, New York | 130,000 |
| | Traffic Calming Program, Jackson, Mississippi | 2,000,000 |
| | Transportation Research Institute, University of Alabama | 7,000,000 |
| | Trunk Highway 610/10 interchange at I-94, Minnesota | 1,600,000 |
| | | |

Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Tukwila transit oriented development at Long Acres, Washington | 1,500,000 |
| Tulare County Farm-to-Market Roads, California | 2,500,000 |
| Tuscaloosa City riverwalk and parkway development, Alabama | 1,000,000 |
| U.S. 51 widening, Illinois | 1,500,000 |
| U.S. 98 highway lighting, Daphne, Alabama | 2,000,000 |
| University of South Florida, University of Central Florida I-4 Corridor project | 1,750,000 |
| US 17-92/Horatio Ave. Intersection Traffic Mitigation, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| Vine Grove sidewalks, Kentucky | 125,000 |
| Walerga Road Bridge Replacement, California | 1,000,000 |
| Warren Sidewalk Reconstruction, Rhode Island | 1,000,000 |
| Waterford National Historic District, Virginia | 1,000,000 |
| West Windsor Township bicycle path, New Jersey | 200,000 |
| White Lake Road, Michigan | 1,000,000 |
| Wichita Riverwalk on Arkansas River, Kansas | 600,000 |
| Widen highways 159, 269, 379, Florida | 750,000 |
| Winooski, Vermont streetscape project | 1,500,000 |
| Wyandanch traffic signals, sidewalks and improvements, New York | 400,000 |
| Ybor City Streetcar Intermodal Station, Florida | 2,000,000 |

276.092.600

Montana Highway 2. – The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for Montana Highway 2. These funds may be used only for feasibility studies, the preparation of an EIS, or preliminary engineering and design activities. None of these funds may be spent for any purpose along those sections of Highway 2 that are either contiguous with or are in the general vicinity of Glacier National Park.

South Capitol Gateway. – The Secretary, in cooperation with the District of Columbia

Department of Planning, the District of Columbia National Capitol Revitalization Commission, and the Department of Interior and in consultation with the National Capital Planning

Commission and other interested parties, shall conduct a study of methods to make improvements to promote commercial, recreational and residential activities and to improve pedestrian and vehicular access on South Capitol Street and the Frederick Douglass Bridge between Independence Avenue and the Suitland Parkway, and on New Jersey Avenue between Independence Avenue and M Street Southeast. Not later than September 20, 2003, the Secretary shall transmit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a report containing the results of the study with an assessment of the impacts (including environmental, aesthetic, economic, and historical impacts) associated with the implementation of each of the methods examined under the study.

BRIDGE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM

Within the funds available for the bridge discretionary program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

Bridge

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|------------------------|
| 45th Street Bridge over Harlem River, New York | \$5,800,000 |
| Max Brewer Causeway Bridge, Florida | 3,000,000 |
| | 300,000 |
| Atlantic Bridge, California | |
| Avis overhead bridge WV107, West Virginia | 6,000,000 |
| Cooper River Bridge, South Carolina | 7,000,000 |
| Covered bridges Sec. 1224 of TEA-21 | 3,000,000 |
| Cross Road Bridge, Connecticut Deck replacement & rehab of Rt. 9 Edison Bridge, New Jersey | 3,500,000 2,000,000 |
| Ford Bridge, Minnesota | 7,000,000 |
| Gerald Desmond Bridge Replacement, California | 4,000,000 |
| Golden Gate Bridge seismic retrofit program, California | 2,000,000 |
| Great River Bridge, Arkansas | 7,500,000 |
| Hoan Bridge rehabilitation, Wisconsin | 7,500,000 |
| Hood Canal Bridge replacement, Washington | 5,000,000 |
| I-195 Washington Bridge, Rhode Island | 4,000,000 |
| I-84 over Delaware River Twin Bridges, New York | 2,000,000 |
| Iowa/Nebraska Missouri River Bridge, Iowa | 1,500,000 |
| James Rumsey Bridge (Shepherdstown Bridge), West Virginia | 11,000,000 |
| Kerner Bridge, Louisiana | 1,000,000 |
| Leeville Bridge, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana | 3,000,000 |
| Leon River Bridge, Texas | 1,500,000 |
| Longfellow Bridge, Cambridge, Massachusetts | 1,500,000 |
| Martin Luther King Jr. Bridge rehabilitation, Ohio | 1,500,000 |
| Metro Parks Zoo historic bridge replacement, Ohio | 1,250,000 |
| Missisquoi Bay Bridge, Vermont | 4,000,000 |
| Missouri River Bridge approach from Route 74, Missouri | 1,000,000 |
| Padanaram Bridge, Dartmouth, Massachusetts | 1,500,000 |
| Pearl Harbor Memorial Bridge, Connecticut | 5,000,000 |
| Pennsylvania Avenue Bridge, Michigan | 3,300,000 |



Bridge C

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Route 1 & 9/Production Way to east Lincoln Avenue, New Jersey | 3,000,000 |
| Route 13 Bridge, Missouri | 1,500,000 |
| Route 17 over Wallkill River, New York | 1,800,000 |
| Sand Island Bridge resurfacing, Hawaii | 5,000,000 |
| South Park Bridge, Washington | 1,000,000 |
| SR 240 Yakima Bridge Replacement, Washington | 4,500,000 |
| TEA-21 Bridge Setaside for Seismic Retrofit | 25,000,000 |
| Topeka Boulevard Bridge, Kansas | 2,000,000 |
| US 81 Missouri River Bridge PE, South Dakota | 1,000,000 |
| Wacker Drive discretionary bridge reconstruction, Illinois | 6,000,000 |
| Waldo-Hancock Suspension Bridge replacement, Maine | 5,000,000 |

_162,450,000

FEDERAL LANDS

Within the funds available for the federal lands program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

Federal Lands

| | Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|---|------------------|
| | 14th Street Bridge interim capacity and safety improvements, Virginia | \$11,000,000 |
| | Acadia National Park trails and road projects, Maine | 500,000 |
| | Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge and parking, Alaska | 850,000 |
| | Amistad National Recreation Area Box Canyon Ramp Road, Texas | 4,500,000 |
| | Arches National Park Main Entrance Relocation, Utah | 1,000,000 |
| | Bear River migratory bird refuge access road, Utah | 250,000 |
| | Belardo Bridge, California | 3,000,000 |
| | Blackstone River bikeway, Rhode Island | 1,500,000 |
| | Blueberry Lake road improvements, Green Mountain National Forest, Vermont | 500,000 |
| | Broughton Bridge over USACOE Milford Lake, Kansas | 1,500,000 |
| | Chincoteague Wildlife Refuge access roads, Virginia | 1,000,000 |
| | City of Rocks Back Country, Idaho | 2,000,000 |
| | Clark Fork River Bridge replacement, Idaho | 2,500,000 |
| | Clarks River National Wildlife Refuge, Kentucky | 2,000,000 |
| | Cold Hill Road, Kentucky | 1,400,000 |
| | Complete design for CN3480, TPM-00401, New Mexico | 150,000 |
| | Craigs Creek Road, Kentucky | 995,000 |
| | Daniel Boone Parkway between mileposts 37 and 44, Kentucky | 1,500,000 |
| | Death Valley Road reconstruction, California | 2,000,000 |
| | Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, New Jersey | 1,000,000 |
| | Diamond Bar Road, Arizona | 3,000,000 |
| | Forkland Park access road improvements, Alabama | 475,000 |
| | Fort Peck Lake public access road, Montana | 500,000 |
| | Giant Springs Road, Great Falls, Montana | 1,200,000 |
| | Glade Creek Road and Brooklyn Road, New River Gorge National River, West Virginia | 3,500,000 |
| | Herbert H. Bateman Education & Administrative Center, Virginia | 500,000 |
| | Highway 26 between Zigzag and Rhododendron, Oregon (Highway 26, Oregon) | 1,750,000 |
| | Hoover Dam bypass, Arizona | 8,000,000 |
| | lvy Mountain Road, Texas | 1,000,000 |
| ٠ | Lewis & Clark Trail, State Spur 26E, Nebraska | 325,000 |
| | Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Roadway project, North Dakota | 1,000,000 |
| | Lewis and Clark Interpretive Center access road, Montana | 1,200,000 |
| | Little River Canyon National Reserve Road improvements, Alabama | 350,000 |
| | Lowell National Historical Park riverwalk design, Massachusetts | 563,000 |
| | Marshall County #10 & BIA #15 through Sica Hollow State Park, South Dakota | 400,000 |
| | Mat-Su Borough/Wasilla, Alaska | 500,000 |
| | Metlakatla/Walden Point Road, Alaska | 2,000,000 |
| | | |

Eederal Lands

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|---|------------------|
| Miller Creek Road preliminary design and EIA, Montana | 5,000,000 |
| New access to Bent's Old Fort National Historic Site, Colorado | 500,000 |
| New Bedford Whaling National Historic Park sign project, Massachusetts | 400,000 |
| New highway from North Dakota Border to Idaho, Montana | 1,000,000 |
| Noxubee River Bridge replacement and access route, Mississippi | 1,000,000 |
| Pala Road improvement Project, California | 4,000,000 |
| Preliminary and final design to CN2357,FLH-666-11, New Mexico | 1,000,000 |
| Presidio Trust, California | 1,000,000 |
| Ramport Road, Alaska | 500,000 |
| Reconstruction of NM 537: CN2070, FLH-0537, New Mexico | 1,000,000 |
| Route 113 Heritage Corridor, Pennsylvania | 170,000 |
| Route 4 Jemez Pueblo Bypass, New Mexico | 1,000,000 |
| Route 600 road restructuring, Virginia | 750,000 |
| S-323 Alzada-Ekalaka, Montana | 2,000,000 |
| Sand Point Road improvement, Alaska | 1,500,000 |
| Saratoga Monument Access, New York | 280,000 |
| SD-63 Corson County reconstruction, South Dakota | 4,000,000 |
| SH-149 Rio Grande National Forest, Colorado | 3,700,000 |
| Shotgun Cove Road, Alaska | 650,000 |
| SR 146 St. Rose Parkway & I-15 Interchange, Nevada | 4,000,000 |
| SR 16 from Loop Road to SR15, Neshoba County, Mississippi | 7,400,000 |
| State Route 153, Beaver to Junction, Utah | 1,000,000 |
| Statewide improvements, Hawaii | 6,000,000 |
| Timucuan Preserve bike route, Florida | 1,000,000 |
| Trail extension at Mount Vernon Circle, Fairfax, Virginia | 100,000 |
| US 3 and Acadia National Park road improvement, Maine | 500,000 |
| US-30 Morrison/Whiteside County expansion, Illinois | 750,000 |
| USA-95 Laughlin cut-off to railroad pass widening, Nevada | 8,000,000 |
| USMC Heritage Center Access Improvements, Virginia | 800,000 |
| Wind Cave National Park highway resurfacing, South Dakota | 1,250,000 |
| Wood River Road upgrades, Alaska | 800,000 |
| Woonsocket Depot rehabilitation, Rhode Island | 650,000 |
| Yellowstone and Missouri Rivers, and Fort Union Trading Post bike trail, North Dakota | 400,000 |

127,508,000

The conferees direct that the funds allocated above be derived from the FHWA's public lands discretionary program, and not from funds allocated to the Fish and Wildlife Service's and National Park Service's regions.

Trust 89A Vringh 89E

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement provides a liquidating cash appropriation of \$30,000,000,000 for the federal-aid highways program as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

APPALACHIAN DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY SYSTEM

The conference agreement provides \$200,000,000 for the Appalachian Development

Highway System (ADHS) instead of \$350,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill

contained no similar prevision. \$100,000,000 million shall be allocated in accordance with the

system's most recent cost-to-complete study and the remaining \$100,000,000 shall be allocated

as follows:

\$30,000,000 for Kentucky Corridors; \$10,000,000 for Mississippi Corridor V; \$10,000,000 for Tennessee Corridor S; \$30,000,000 for West Virginia Corridor D; and \$20,000,000 for Alabama Corridor X.

INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE DISCRETIONARY

Within the funds available for the interstate maintenance discretionary program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

Interstate Maintenance

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|------------------|
| Brent Spence Bridge replacement I-75 and I-71, Kentucky | \$2,000,000 |
| City of Renton/Port Quendall project, Washington | 1,000,000 |
| Cleveland inner belt, Ohio | 500,000 |
| I-10 Irvington interchange, Alabama | 800,000 |
| I-10 Katy Freeway, Houston, Texas | 7,000,000 |
| I-10 Riverside Avenue interchange, California | 500,000 |
| I-12 Interchange at LA 1088, Louisiana | 1,500,000 |
| I-12/Northshore Blvd. Interchange, Louisiana | 2,000,000 |
| I-15 Interchange at MP 10, Utah | 1,000,000 |
| I-15 reconstruction, Utah | 5,000,000 |
| I-180 Lycoming Mall Road interchange, Pennsylvania | 2,000,000 |
| I-195 Washington Bridge, Rhode Island | 1,000,000 |
| I-215 Southern Beltway to Henderson, Nevada | 500,000 |
| I-25 Broadway and Alameda interchanges, Colorado | 5,000,000 |
| I-25 North of Raton, New Mexico | 1,500,000 |
| I-295 connector, Commercial Street, Maine | 500,000 |
| I-295 reconstruction, Rhode Island | 3,000,000 |
| I-35 East/I-635 interchange, Texas | 5,400,000 |
| I-35 West/US 287 interchange, Texas | 4,000,000 |
| I-40 Arizona state line east to milepost 30, New Mexico | 5,000,000 |
| I-40 crosstown expressway realignment, Oklahoma | 5,500,000 |
| I-44 Fenton industrial corridor improvements in St. Louis County, Missouri | 4,000,000 |
| I-44 relocation and improvements, Phelps County, Missouri | 4,000,000 |
| I-470 reconstruction and removal of bridges, Missouri | 7,000,000 |
| I-49 southern extension from I-10, Louisiana | 1,000,000 |
| I-5 Corridor arteries, California | 1,000,000 |
| I-5 HOV/ general purpose lanes, California | 4,000,000 |
| I-5, Medford interchange, Oregon | 1,000,000 |
| I-65 and Valley Dale Road interchanges, Alabama | 8,000,000 |
| I-70 improvements from CBD to northside, Missouri | 5,000,000 |
| I-70/I-75 interchange construction, Ohio | 1,000,000 |
| I-70/MD85/MD355 intersection reconstruction, Maryland | 8,000,000 |
| I-75 Exit 11, Kentucky | 375,000 |
| I-79 Bridgeport to Meadowbrook Road, Harrison County, West Virginia | 10,000,000 |
| I-79 Connector, West Virginia | 4,800,000 |
| I-79/SR 910 interchange, Pennsylvania | 250,000 |

(29B)

Interstate Maintenance

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|------------------|
| I-79/Warrendale Technology Park interchange, Pennsylvania | 1,750,000 |
| I-80 Exit at Stoney Hollow Road, Pennsylvania | 3,000,000 |
| I-80 widening and reconstruction in Johnson County, Iowa | 6,000,000 |
| I-81 South Martinsburg I/C Bridge, Berkeley County, West Virginia | 7,000,000 |
| I-84 flyover access, Connecticut | 1,500,000 |
| I-85 in Mecklenburg and Cabarrus Counties, North Carolina | 3,000,000 |
| I-85 widening completion from Orange County, North Carolina | 2,000,000 |
| I-90 two-way transit operations, Washington | 1,000,000 |
| I-95 Northern Maine | 4,500,000 |
| I-96 Latson Road interchange, Michigan | 3,500,000 |
| IH 610 Bridge, Texas | 1,500,000 |
| Louisville-Southern Indiana Ohio River Bridges project, Indiana and Kentucky | 2,500,000 |
| Montana/Wyoming joint port-of-entry facility, Montana | 1,000,000 |
| Pearl River Bridge-I-55 Connector, Mississippi | 8,900,000 |
| Port Everglades-Fort Lauderdale/Hollywood airport return loop, Florida | 2,500,000 |
| State Route 0039 & I-81 interchange, Pennsylvania | 750,000 |
| Tippecanoe/I-10 Interchange, California | 2,500,000 |
| US 167/I-20 interchange, Louisiana | 1,000,000 |
| Woodall Rogers extension bridge, Texas | 8,000,000 |

176,025,000

SCENIC BYWAYS

Within the funds available for the scenic byways program, funds are to be available for the following projects and activities:

Sconic Byways

| Project Name | Conference Total |
|--|--------------------|
| Alabama Scenic Byways | \$750,000 |
| Connecticut River scenic farm byway, Massachusetts | 500,000 |
| Great River Road Scenic Byways Learning Center in Prescott, Wisconsin | 500,000 |
| High Street revitalization project, economic development and historic preservation, Lawrenceberg, Indiana Kentucky Scenic byways (Country Music Highway, Wilderness Road Heritage Highway, Cumberland Cultural Heritage Highway) | 375,000 885,000 |
| Lewis & Clark Northwest Passage Scenic Byway | 2,000,000 |
| Mobile Bay Causeway, Alabama | 250,000 |
| Program of projects, Washington | 750,000 |
| Route 29 scenic byway improvements between I-295 to Frenchtown Borough line, New Jersey | 1,000,000 |
| Route 66 scenic byway livable communities and transportation plan, New Mexico | 200,000 |
| Seward Highway Millennium Trail improvements, Alaska | 350,000 |
| The Cape and islands rural roads initiative (Route 6A), Massachusetts | 500,000 |
| Warren County scenic byway, New York | 30,000 |

8.090,000

STATE INFRASTRUCTURE BANKS

(Rescission)

The conference agreement includes a rescission of \$5,750,000 of funds provided for state infrastructure banks that is not allocated to a specific state in fiscal year 1997 under Public Law 104-205 as proposed by the Senate instead of a rescission of \$6,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Estimated Fiscal Year 2002 Distribution of Obligational Authority*

The following table shows the actual distribution of highway funds apportioned to the States for fiscal year 2001; and the estimated distribution of highway funds apportioned to the States in the President's budget request and the fiscal year 2002 conference agreement:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

ESTIMATED FY 2002 DISTRIBUTION OF OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY

| | FY 2001 | President's | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| State | <u>Actual</u> | Budget | Conference |
| Alabama | 525,987,662 | 550 304 050 | 500 .00 |
| Alaska | 299,602,164 | 559,304,950 | 560,430,831 |
| Arizona | 444,257,391 | 319,539,358 484,638,247 | 319,540,065 |
| Arkansas | 345,831,473 | 364,825,284 | 485,392,037 |
| California | 2,361,371,050 | 2,529,726,702 | 365,616,483 |
| Colorado | 307,159,912 | 355,738,430 | 2,535,814,783 |
| Connecticut | 389,148,164 | 413,309,266 | 356,571,570 |
| Delaware | 112,968,544 | 122,080,490 | 413,939,498 |
| Dist. of Col. | 104,349,222 | 109,709,145 | 122,338,437 |
| Florida | 1,232,852,228 | 1,285,679,130 | 110,052,561 |
| Georgia | 916,707,662 | 985,563,148 | 1,287,447,472 |
| Hawaii | 135,311,383 | 141,835,573 | 987,127,223 |
| Idaho | 202,470,958 | 210,483,999 | 142,143,566 |
| Illinois | 880,214,981 | 929,028,708 | 210,894,491 |
| Indiana | 635,845,273 | 643,457,830 | 931,425,218 |
| lowa | 315,909,296 | 331,491,613 | 644,611,374 |
| Kansas | 305,293,124 | 323,427,894 | 332,403,649 |
| Kentucky | 471,971,981 | 482,107,642 | 324,346,857 |
| Louisiana | 419,888,462 | 439,655,410 | 483,093,023 440,733,363 |
| Maine | 139,051,114 | 146,462,881 | 146,809,418 |
| Maryland | 416,996,303 | 452,525,374 | 453,570,096 |
| Massachusetts | 485,116,197 | 515,922,488 | 517,214,719 |
| Michigan | 845,460,584 | 891,594,244 | 893,370,463 |
| Minnesota Mississippi | 389,970,111 | 411,417,650 | 412,466,274 |
| Mississippi Missouri | 311,481,806 | 357,474,846 | 358,284,438 |
| Montana | 625,559,105 | 650,273,494 | 651,908,448 |
| Nebraska | 251,108,362 | 271,250,377 | 271,592,640 |
| Nevada | 199,788,549 | 215,383,872 | 215,960,513 |
| New Hampshire | 186,938,046 | 198,387,281 | 198,741,203 |
| New Jersey | 136,096,426 | 142,020,763 | 142,342,289 |
| New Mexico | 702,211,553 252,516,241 | 721,541,680 | 723,390,343 |
| New York | 1,340,983,556 | 270,550,894 | 271,099,283 |
| North Carolina | 737,064,069 | 1,414,039,356 | 1,417,346,965 |
| North Dakota | 168,977,282 | 773,791,494 | 775,124,344 |
| Ohio | 892,059,208 | 180,759,857 | 181,163,035 |
| Oklahoma | 390,759,395 | 965,196,101 426,474,240 | 967,365,570 |
| Oregon | 322,479,138 | 426,474,240 339,777,033 | 427,612,076 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,331,487,491 | 1,386,021,505 | 340,684,607 |
| Rhode Island | 154,758,492 | 164,800,244 | 1,389,343,461 |
| South Carolina | 437,032,280 | 464,164,383 | 165,144,826 |
| South Dakota | 189,546,127 | 200,274,630 | 464,965,557 |
| Tennessee | 594,521,880 | 633,958,835 | 200,732,567 |
| Texas | 1,958,075,662 | 2,139,081,121 | 635,243,821 2,142,744,035 |
| Utah | 205,736,805 | 215,660,062 | 216,239,371 |
| Vermont | 117,285,537 | 126,204,048 | 126,500,031 |
| Virginia | 671,761,845 | 722,046,984 | 723,407,902 |
| Washington | 469,879,755 | 491,587,996 | 492,910,328 |
| West Virginia | 296,372,617 | 310,802,143 | 311,418,326 |
| Wisconsin | 513,262,795 | 543,767,539 | 544,732,900 |
| Wyoming | 178,559,537 | 192,949,775 | 193,412,432 |
| Cult | | | |
| Subtotal | 26,320,038,798 | 27,967,766,009 | 28,026,764,782 |
| Allocated Programs** | 3,276,137,054 | 3,595,390,991 | 3,772,339,218 |
| Total | 29,596,175,852 | 31,563,157,000 | 31,799,104,000 |

Distributions include Special Limitation for Minimum Guarantee, the Appalachian Development Highway System, and High Priority Projects (HPP). Includes High Priority Projects in the Territories and the portion of RABA going to HPP.

FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

(Frictioning Reseission of Finds)

The conference agreement includes \$110,000,000 for administrative expenses of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration instead of \$92,307,000 as proposed by the House and \$105,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the \$110,000,000 provided, the conferees allocate the following amounts:

| Personnel and administration | \$100,341,000 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Commercial drivers license program | 5,000,000 |
| Hotline | |
| Reviews of conditional motor carriers | |
| Crash data collection | 3,284,000 |

The conference agreement includes \$400,000 to study fatigue management techniques and \$100,000 for the deployment of a nation-wide share the road safely program, as outlined in the Senate report.

Highway watch program. – Within the amount provided for motor carrier research, the conferees direct not less than \$500,000 be made available to analyze, evaluate, and expand the highway watch program.

Bill language is included that rescinds \$6,665,342 in unavailable contract authority associated with administrative balances, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill proposed no similar rescission.

NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY PROGRAM (LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION) (HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement provides a liquidating cash appropriation of \$205,896,000 for the national motor carrier safety program as proposed by the House instead of \$204,837,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NATIONAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY PROGRAM (LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS) (HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement includes a limitation on obligations of \$205,896,000 for motor carrier safety grants as proposed by the House instead of

\$204,837,000 as proposed by the Senate. This is consistent with the President's budget request. Of this total, \$23,896,000 is derived from revenue aligned budget authority. Of this amount \$18,000,000 is reserved for Arizona, California, New Mexico, and Texas to hire border truck safety inspectors and \$5,896,000 is reserved for the commercial drivers license program.

Hazardous materials motor carriers.--The conferees understand that since September 11th FMCSA is giving top priority to visits to all 34,000 hazardous materials motor carriers to ensure that these carriers are aware of the security measures that should be in place. FMCSA had conducted about half of these visits through the end of November, 2001. The conferees direct the FMCSA to give top priority to continuing such visits and to monitoring these carriers after all visits have been completed. A truck carrying hazardous materials can be used as a weapon and FMCSA and the carriers should take every action to prevent this from happening; no activity should be a higher priority to the FMCSA. The conferees direct the FMCSA to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 31, 2002 on the status of the visits, what FMCSA found during the visits and what further actions are planned by FMCSA.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Operations and Research

The conference agreement provides \$127,780,000 from the general fund for highway and traffic safety activities instead of \$122,420,000 as proposed by the House and \$132,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

A total of \$95,835,000 shall remain available until September 30, 2004 instead of \$90,430,000 as proposed by the House and \$96,360,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Bill language is included that rescinds \$1,516,000 in unobligated balances authorized under 23 U.S.C. 403 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar rescission.

The agreement includes a provision carried since fiscal year 1996 that prohibits NHTSA from obligating or expending funds to plan, finalize, or implement any rulemakings that would add requirements pertaining to tire grading standards that are not related to safety performance. This provision was contained in both the House and Senate bills.

Operations and Research

(Liquidation of Contract Authorization)

(Limitation on Obligation)

(Highway Trust Fund)



(Including Rescission of Contract Authorization)

The conference agreement provides \$72,000,000 from the highway trust fund to carry out provisions of 23 U.S.C. 403 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The following table summarizes the conference agreement for operations and research (general fund and highway trust fund combined) by budget activity:

| Salaries and benefits | \$61,451,000 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Travel | 1,297,000 |
| Operating expenses | 23,113,000 |
| Contract programs: | |
| Safety performance | 7,891,000 |
| Safety assurance | 15,064,000 |
| Highway safety programs | 46,133,000 |
| Research and analysis | 57,338,000 |
| General administration | 643,000 |
| Grant administration reimbursements | <u>-11,150,000</u> |
| TOTAL | \$201,780,000 |

Salaries and benefits.—A total of \$61,451,000 is provided for salaries and benefits. This level will support an FTP level of 709, including 15 new FTPs (7.5 FTEs) to assist in regulatory issues as proposed by the Senate. The House approved an FTP level of 664.

Operating expenses.--Within the \$23,113,000 provided for operating expenses, the conferees direct that funding for computer support should continue at the fiscal year 2001 level. The conferees believe that this level

of funding is adequate, and urge NHTSA to adopt a more cost-effective approach to managing computer support expenses.

Executive bonuses.—The conferees reduce funding within the salaries and benefits account for executive bonuses because performance goals are not being met (-\$20,000).

Safety performance.—The conference agreement provides \$7,891,000 for safety performance, \$550,000 above the budget request as proposed by the Senate. The additional funding should be used to expedite key motor vehicle safety standards including TREAD activities and several other backlogged regulatory items. NHTSA is directed to submit a notification letter to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations if there is a reasonable likelihood that the agency will not meet any deadlines specified in the TREAD Act. In addition, NHTSA shall submit a strategic implementation plan to both the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with the submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget that specifies timetables, milestones, and the research necessary to implement each provision of TREAD, as well as the amounts provided to these activities in fiscal years 2001 and 2002.

National occupant protection program.—The conference agreement provides \$2,000,000 above the budget request to bolster the national occupant protection program. Of these additional funds, \$1,000,000 shall be targeted at high-risk groups, such as minorities, younger drivers, and the occasional seat belt user to increase seat belt usage; and \$1,000,000 shall be used to increase local efforts to boost seat belt usage rates in their jurisdictions.

The conferees remain disappointed that NHTSA has been unable to raise seat belt usage to the Presidential directive of 85 percent by 2000 and



direct the agency to refocus its program on achieving meaningful results. As part of this effort, NHTSA shall provide a report to the House and Senate Committee on Appropriations describing its plans to accelerate progress in raising seat belt use. This report is due by February 1, 2002.

Within the funds provided, NHTSA shall contract with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a study on the benefits and acceptability of technologies that may enhance seat belt usage in passenger vehicles, as well as any legislative or regulatory actions that may be necessary to enable installation of devices, as proposed by the House.

Older driver research. — The conferees support NHTSA's efforts to promote the safe mobility of older Americans. As the agency analyzes ways to rehabilitate older Americans who have suffered strokes or other medical conditions to resume some or all of their driving, the conferees encourage NHTSA to closely examine the potential of occupational therapy as an appropriate intervention to improve safety for older drivers.

Impaired driving.—The conference agreement provides \$2,500,000 above the budget request to help states and communities decrease the number of impaired driving offenders, including repeat offenders and those with high blood alcohol content. Up to half of these funds may be awarded to states and communities that want to implement promising new strategies.

Emergency medical services head injury research.— A total of \$2,245,000 has been provided for emergency medical services. Of this amount, \$750,000 shall be used to continue training emergency medical service personnel in delivering prehospital care to patients with traumatic brain injuries.

Biomechanics.—Within the funds provided for biomechanical research, \$1,250,000 shall be used to continue research related to traumatic



brain and spinal cord injuries caused by motor vehicle, motorcycle, and bicycle accidents at the Injury Control Research Center and other centers of the Southern Consortium for Injury Biomechanics.

Brake lining friction.—Within the funds provided for research and analysis, \$300,000 shall be used for research into brake lining friction, as proposed by the Senate.

National Driver Register

(Highway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides \$2,000,000 for the National Driver Register as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Highway Traffic Safety Grants

(Liquidation of Contract Authorization)

(Highway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides \$223,000,000 to liquidate contract authorizations for highway traffic safety grants, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Highway Traffic Safety Grants

(Limitation on Obligations)



(Highway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement limits obligations for highway traffic safety grants to \$223,000,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The bill includes separate obligation limitations with the following funding allocations:

| State and community grants | \$160,000,000 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Occupant protection incentive grants | 15,000,000 | |
| Alcohol incentive grants | 38,000,000 | |
| State highway data improvement grants | 10,000,000 | |

A total of \$11,150,000 has been provided for administration of the grant programs as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of this total, not more than \$8,000,000 of the funds made available for section 402; not more than \$750,000 of the funds made available for section 405; not more than \$1,900,000 of the funds made available for section 410; and not more than \$500,000 of the funds made available for section 411 shall be available to NHTSA for administering highway safety grants under chapter 4 of title 23. This language is necessary to ensure that each grant program does not contribute more than five percent of the total administrative costs.

The conference agreement retains bill language, proposed by both the House and Senate, that limits technical assistance to states from section 410 to \$500,000.

The conference agreement prohibits the use of funds for construction, rehabilitation or remodeling costs, or for office furnishings and fixtures for

state, local, or private buildings or structures, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

Safety and Operations

The conference agreement provides \$110,857,000 for safety and operations instead of \$110,461,000 as proposed by the House and \$111,357,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within this total, the conferees have funded 26 new positions and provided \$350,000 for the Operation Respond Center in Mississippi. The conferees have decreased funding for technical studies and assessments by \$500,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language that permits \$6,509,000 of the total funding to remain available until expended instead of \$6,159,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes language, contained in the Senate bill, that authorizes the Secretary to receive payments from the Union Station Redevelopment Corporation, credit them to the first deed of trust, and make payments on the first deed of trust. This language is no longer necessary, as the deed will be paid in full in 2001.

Railroad freight congestion.—The conferees are aware of significant delays currently affecting railroad freight in and around Chicago, Illinois. It is not uncommon for freight trains in and around Chicago, Illinois to take 72 hours or more to move cargo through the metropolitan area. The conferees direct the Administrator, in cooperation with the Surface Transportation

Board, to prepare a comprehensive analysis of the railroad freight congestion problems in the Chicago region, including possible administrative and legislative solutions, and report back to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no later than January 15, 2002.

Cuyahoga valley scenic rail.— The Federal Railroad Administration is strongly encouraged to work closely with the Cuyahoga Valley scenic rail line to assist them in acquiring the necessary resources so that they may extend the line from Akron to Canton.

Railroad Research and Development

The conference agreement provides \$29,000,000 for railroad research and development instead of \$27,375,000 as proposed by the House and \$30,325,000 as proposed by the Senate. None of this funding is to be offset from user fees.

The following adjustments were made to the budget request:

| Hold Transportation Test Center to 2001 level | -\$400,000 |
|--|------------|
| Provide half of new request for ride safely | -300,000 |
| Integrated railway remote information service | +1,000,000 |
| Marshall University/University of Nebraska | +1,100,000 |
| Baltimore freight and passenger infrastructure study | +750,000 |
| Freight rail study along I-81 and I-95 corridors | +250,000 |

Integrated railway remote information service.—The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 for the integrated railway remote information service instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The



conferees direct FRA to evaluate this initiative and if the evaluation is positive, FRA should consider including sufficient funding in future budget requests to continue this work.

Marshall University/University of Nebraska.—The conference agreement includes \$1,100,000 to support Marshall University/University of Nebraska safety research projects in the areas of human factors, equipment defects, and train control methods, as outlined in the Senate report.

Grade crossing education and enforcement.—FRA should continue to work with affected communities, including those in the states of Illinois and Ohio, to establish a comprehensive strategy to address highway-rail grade crossing safety through voluntary, cooperative, education, and enforcement activities. This program should include public and media information campaigns, meetings with communities on specific crossings and the unique safety problems associated with these crossings, as well as support for increased enforcement at crossings. FRA, in conjunction with the states and localities, should work to identify appropriate state and federal resources that may aid communities in their efforts.

Baltimore, Maryland freight and passenger infrastructure study.—
The conference agreement includes \$750,000 to conduct a comprehensive study to assess problems in the freight and passenger rail infrastructure in the vicinity of Baltimore, Maryland. FRA shall carry out this study in cooperation with the state of Maryland, Amtrak, CSX Corporation and Norfolk Southern Corporation, as outlined in the Senate bill (Sec. 351). The Administrator of FRA shall submit a report, including recommendations, on the results of the study to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 24 months after the date of enactment of this Act.



Freight rail study along I-81 and I-95 corridors.—A total of \$250,000 has been provided to study ways to address freight rail access problems in Tennessee and Virginia along the I-81 and I-95 corridors. This study should contain a detailed market analysis on options to divert congested highway traffic onto rail and the costs of such options. This work should be carried out in cooperation with the affected states and Norfolk Southern Corporation. Financial support should be provided by each state.

Railroad Rehabilitation and Improvement Program

The conference agreement includes a provision, proposed by both the House and the Senate, specifying that no new direct loans or loan guarantee commitments shall be made using federal funds for the payment of any credit premium amounts during fiscal year 2002. No federal appropriation is required since a non-federal infrastructure partner may contribute the subsidy amount required by the Credit Reform Act of 1990 in the form of a credit risk premium. Once received, statutorily established investigation charges are immediately available for appraisals and necessary determinations and findings.

Next Generation High-Speed Rail

The conference agreement provides \$32,300,000 for the next generation high-speed rail program instead of \$25,100,000 as proposed by the House and \$40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table summarizes the conference agreement by budgetary activity:

| Train control systems | \$11,750,000 |
|--|--------------|
| Illinois project | (7,000,000) |
| Michigan project | (2,000,000) |
| Michigan project. Train control—TTC. | (750,000) |
| Wisconsin project | (2,000,000) |
| Non-electric locomotives. | 6,550,000 |
| ALPS | (3,550,000) |
| ALPS Prototype locomotive | (3,000,000) |
| Grade crossings and innovative technologies: | 3,500,000 |
| N.C. sealed corridor | (700,000) |
| Mitigating hazards | (2,000,000) |
| Low-cost technologies. | (800,000) |
| Track and structures | 1,000,000 |
| Corridor planning activities | 5,900,000 |
| SCAG corridor | |
| Gulf Coast corridor. | (1,000,000) |
| Southeast corridor | (600,000) |
| Florida comider | (50,000) |
| Florida corridor | (3,000,000) |
| California corridor | (1,250,000) |
| Magnetic levitation | 3,600,000 |
| Washington-Baltimore | (1,175,000) |
| Nevada-California | (1,175,000) |
| Greensburgh-Pittsburgh | (1,250,000) |
| TOTAL | \$32,300,000 |

Florida corridor.--The conferees have included \$3,000,000 for the study and design of high speed rail service in Florida and would urge that the study include St. Petersburg and Pinellas County as a possible terminus of any route plan.

Rail-highway crossing hazard eliminations.—Under section 1103 of TEA21, an automatic set-aside of \$5,250,000 is made available each year for the elimination of rail-highway crossing hazards. A limited number of rail corridors are eligible for these funds. Of these set-aside funds, the following allocations were made:

| | Conference |
|--|-------------|
| High-speed rail corridor between Mobile, AL and New Orleans, LA | # 2,000,000 |
| High-speed rail corridor between Stuyvesant and Rennselaer, NY | 1,500,000 |
| Richland County, SC | 800,000 |
| Richmond, VA | 250,000 |
| Van Nuys, CA. | 200,000 |
| High-speed rail corridor between Minneapolis/St. Paul, MN and Chicago, IL (TEA21). | 250,000 |
| High-speed rail corridor between Milwaukee and Madison, WI | 250,000 |

Alaska Railroad Rehabilitation

The conference agreement provides \$20,000,000 for the Alaska Railroad as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar appropriation.

National Rail Development and Rehabilitation Program

The conference agreement deletes funding for the national rail development and rehabilitation program. The Senate included \$12,000,000 for this new program. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Capital Grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation

The conference agreement provides \$521,476,000 for capital grants to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Administrative Expenses

The conference agreement provides \$67,000,000 for administrative expenses of the Federal Transit Administration as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Within the total, the conference agreement appropriates \$13,400,000 from the general fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes a provision, contained in both bills, that would reimburse the Department of Transportation's Inspector General \$2,000,000 for costs associated with audits and investigations of transit-related issues. The conference agreement also includes a provision that limits the amount of funding available for the National transit database to \$2,600,000.

Full-time equivalent staff.—The conference agreement approves the budget request for 10 new staff; however, funding has been reduced for these positions by \$431,000. The reduction reflects half-year funding for these new positions, which is consistent with staffing requests in other modal administrations and takes into consideration the high attrition rate at FTA (7.6 percent).

Project and financial management oversight activities.—The conferees direct that funding made available for the project management oversight function, section 23, shall include at least \$28,580,000 for project management oversight and \$4,815,000 for financial management oversight reviews. This funding consists of the takedown from the capital investment grants program (\$33,164,000) and savings from funding new staff positions at a half-year level (\$431,000). The conferees further direct that the FTA submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the Inspector General and the General

Accounting Office the quarterly FMO and PMO reports for each project with a full funding grant agreement.

Full funding grant agreements (FFGAs).—TEA21, as amended, requires that the FTA notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations as well as the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Senate Committee on Banking 60 days before executing a full funding grant agreement. In its notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the conferees direct the FTA to include therein the following: (a) a copy of the proposed full funding grant agreement; (b) the total and annual federal appropriations required for that project; (c) yearly and total federal appropriations that can be reasonably planned or anticipated for future FFGAs for each fiscal year through 2003; (d) a detailed analysis of annual commitments for current and anticipated FFGAs against the program authorization; and (e) a financial analysis of the project's cost and sponsor's ability to finance, which shall be conducted by an independent examiner and shall include an assessment of the capital cost estimate and the finance plan; the source and security of all public- and private-sector financial instruments, the project's operating plan which enumerates the project's future revenue and ridership forecasts, and planned contingencies and risks associated with the project.

The conferees also direct the FTA to inform the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations before approving scope changes in any full funding grant agreement. Correspondence relating to scope changes shall include any budget revisions or program changes that materially alter the project as originally stipulated in the full funding grant agreement, and shall include any proposed change in rail car procurements.

Formula Grants

(Including Transfer of Funds)

The conference agreement provides a total program level of \$3,592,000,000 for transit formula grants, as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Within this total, the conference agreement appropriates \$718,400,000 from the general fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conference agreement provides that the general fund appropriation shall be available until expended.

The conference agreement provides that funding made available under the clean fuels formula grant program under this heading shall be transferred to and merged with funding provided for the replacement, rehabilitation, and purchase of buses and related equipment and the construction of bus-related facilities under "Federal Transit Administration, Capital investment grants".

The conference agreement includes a provision that sets aside \$5,000,000 for the VIII Paralympiad for the Disabled, as proposed by the Senate. The House set aside \$5,000,000 for both the XIX Winter Olympiad and the VIII Paralympiad for the Disabled. The conferees intend that use of these funds be for the transportation systems for athletes, media, spectators, and other officials associated with the VIII Paralympiad for the Disabled. Language is also included that directs that funds shall be distributed by the Secretary in grants only to the Utah Department of Transportation and that such grants shall not be subject to any local share requirement or limitation on operating assistance, or the Federal Transit Act.

Distribution of formula funding.—Within the total funding level, the conferees anticipate that formula grants will be distributed as follows:



| Urbanized area formula (sec. 5307) | \$3,199,959,806 |
|---|-----------------|
| Elderly and individuals with disabilities (sec. 5310) | 84,604,801 |
| Nonurbanized area formula (sec. 5311) | 223,432,467 |
| Paralympiad for the Disabled | 5,000,000 |
| Clean fuels programs (sec. 5308) | 50,000,000 |
| Alaska Railroad | 4,825,700 |
| Over-the-road bus accessibility | 6,950,000 |
| Oversight | . 17,227,226 |

Within the funding provided for over-the-road bus accessibility program: \$5,200,000 for intercity fixed route service and \$1,700,000 for local commuter services and charter or tour service.

University Transportation Research

The conference agreement provides a total of \$6,000,000 for the university transportation research program as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Of this amount, \$1,200,000 is from the general fund and shall be available until expended.

Transit Planning and Research

The conference agreement provides a total of \$116,000,000 for transit planning and research, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Within the total, the conference agreement appropriates \$23,000,000 from the general fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The



conference agreement provides that the general fund appropriation shall be available until expended.

Within the funds appropriated for transit planning and research, \$5,250,000 is provided for rural transportation assistance; \$4,000,000 is provided for the National Transit Institute; \$8,250,000 is provided for the transit cooperative research program; \$55,422,400 is provided for metropolitan planning; \$11,577,600 is provided for state planning; and \$31,500,000 is provided for the national planning and research program.

National planning and research.—Within the funding provided for national planning and research, the Federal Transit Administration shall make available the following amounts for the programs and activities listed below:

| CALSTART (BRT and Mobility.dot.com) | \$2,500,000 |
|--|-------------|
| Santa Barbara electric transportation institute, CA | 400,000 |
| Electric vehicle institute, TN | 500,000 |
| Hennepin County, MN community transportation | 1,000,000 |
| University of South Florida rapid bus initiative | 250,000 |
| Southeast Michigan transportation feasibility study | 500,000 |
| Long Island, NY City links study | 250,000 |
| Crystal City-Potomac Yard, VA transit alternatives | 250,000 |
| North Dakota State University transit center for small | 400,000 |
| Urban areas | |
| Georgia regional transportation authority/southern | 400,000 |
| California association of governments transit trip | |
| Planning partnership | |
| Center for composites manufacturing | 900,000 |
| Washington state WestStart innovative transit vehicle | 2,000,000 |
| West Virginia transit vehicle exhaust emissions evaluation | 1,400,000 |

| Missouri soybean association biodiesel transit demo | 750,000 |
|---|-----------|
| Joblinks | 1,000,000 |
| Project Action (TEA21) | 3,000,000 |

The conference agreement deletes funding for the Garrett A. Morgan program (-\$200,000) and reduces funding for increased international activities (-\$200,000) as proposed by the House.

Dollar coin study.—The conferees direct the FTA Administrator to conduct a study on the benefits and feasibility of having large transit and toll road systems use fare card technology that recognizes and accepts the Sacagawea dollar coins by April 1, 2002, as proposed by the Senate.

Trust Fund Share of Expenses

(Liquidation of Contract Authorization)

(Highway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides \$5,397,800,000 in liquidating cash for the trust fund share of transit expenses as proposed by both the House and the Senate, and makes technical corrections to bill language, as proposed by the Senate.

Capital Investment Grants

(Including Transfer of Funds)



The conference agreement provides a total program level of \$2,841,000,000 to remain available until expended for capital investment grants as proposed by the House instead of \$2,941,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the total, the conference agreement appropriates \$568,200,000 from the general fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

Within the total program level, \$1,136,400,000 is provided for fixed guideway modernization; \$568,200,000 is provided for the replacement, rehabilitation, and purchase of buses and related equipment and the construction of bus-related facilities; and \$1,136,400,000 is provided for new fixed guideway systems, as proposed by the House. The Senate increased funding for the new fixed guideway systems by \$100,000,000, for a total of \$1,236,400,000. In addition to the \$1,136,400,000 provided in this Act for new starts, the conference agreement reallocates \$1,488,840 to other new start projects contained in this Act. Reallocated funds are derived from unobligated balances from the following new start projects:

| Hartford-Old Saybrook, CT project | \$496,280 |
|--|-----------|
| New London-Waterfront, CT access project | . 496,280 |
| North Front Range, CO corridor feasibility study | . 496,280 |

The conference agreement deletes bill language, proposed by the House, prohibiting funding for section 3015(b) of Public Law 105-178. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

Three year availability of section 5309 discretionary funds.—The conferees direct the FTA to reprogram funds from recoveries and previous

appropriations that remain available after three years and are available for reallocation to only those new starts that have full funding grant agreements in place on the date of enactment of this Act, and with respect to bus and bus facilities, only to those bus and bus facilities projects identified in the accompanying reports of the fiscal year 2002 Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act. The FTA shall notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations 15 days prior to any such proposed reallocation. The conferees, however, direct the FTA not to reallocate funds provided in the 1998 and 1999 Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Acts for the following projects:

Riverside County-San Jacinto, CA branch line project

Savannah, GA water taxi

Chambersburg, PA intermodal facility and transit vehicles

Northern New Mexico park and ride facilities

Albuquerque, NM-Alvarado multi-modal transit center

Albuquerque, NM light rail project

New York, New York-Midtown West intermodal ferry terminal project

Birmingham-Jefferson County, AL buses

Prichard, AL bus and bus facilities

King County, Washington-Elliot Bay water taxi

Morgantown, WV fixed guideway modernization project

Wilkes-Barre, PA intermodal facility

Towamencin Township, PA intermodal bus transportation center

Harrisburg, PA-Capital Area Transit/Corridor One project

Philadelphia-Reading, PA-SEPTA Schuylkill Valley Metro

Washington, D.C., intermodal transportation center

Burlington-Essex Junction Commuter Rail, VT

Buffalo, NY Auditorium intermodal center
Cotati Santa Rosa, CA intermodal facility
Cotati/Santa Rosa/Rohnert Park, CA intermodal facility
Fayette County, PA buses
Red Rose, PA transit bus terminal
Somerset County, PA bus facilities and buses
Ulster County, NY bus facilities and equipment
St. Louis, MO, Bi-state intermodal center
Folsom, CA multimodal center
Cleveland-Berea, OH red line
Orange County, CA transitway project
Hartford, CT bus circulator
Lane County, OR bus rapid transit


The conferees agree that when the Congress extends the availability of funds that remain unobligated after three years and would otherwise be available for reallocation at the discretion of the administrator, such funds are extended only for one additional year, absent further congressional direction.

Bus and bus facilities.—The conference agreement provides \$568,200,000, together with \$50,000,000 transferred from "Federal Transit Administration, formula grants" and merged with funding under this heading, for the replacement, rehabilitation and purchase of buses and related equipment and the construction of bus-related facilities. No funding is made available to carryout the clean fuels program in this Act. In addition, funds made available for bus and bus facilities are to be supplemented with \$1,733,658 from the following projects included in previous Appropriations Acts:




| Carroll County, NH transportation alliance buses | \$198,500 |
|---|-----------|
| New Hampshire statewide buses | 34,001 |
| Gary, IN transit consortium buses | 310,157 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA bus and bus facilities | 347,375 |
| Louisiana state infrastructure bank, bus and bus facilities | 347,375 |
| North Slope borough, AK | 496,250 |

Funds provided for buses and bus facilities are distributed as follows:




| Project | Conference |
|---|------------|
| ALABAMA Alabama A&M buses and bus facilities | 1 |
| | 1 |
| Alabama State Dock intermodal passenger and freight terminal. | 2,000,000 |
| Alabama-Tombigbee Regional Commission buses and vans | , |
| Birmingham-Jefferson County Transit Authority buses. | 2,000,000 |
| Gadsden Transportation Services | |
| Huntsville Public Transit intermodal facility | |
| Montgomery Union Station/Moulton St. intermodal facility and parking | |
| University of North Alabama transit projects | |
| University of South Alabama | 2,500,000 |
| ALASKA | |
| City of Wasilla bus facility | , |
| Fairbanks buses and bus facility | |
| Fairbanks intermodal facility | |
| Mat-su Community Transit buses and facilities. | |
| Port of Anchorage intermodal facility | 2,950,000 |
| Port McKenzie buses and bus facilities. | 1,500,000 |
| Seward intermodal facility | 2,800,000 |
| ARIZONA | |
| City of Glendale buses | 175,000 |
| Phoenix Regional Public Transportation Authority buses and bus facilities | |
| Sun Tran CNG replacement buses and facilities | 1,750,000 |
| Tucson intermodal center | 2,800,000 |
| ARKANSAS | |
| Arkansas statewide buses and bus facilities for urban, rural, elderly and disabled agencies | 5,000,000 |
| CALIFORNIA | -11 |
| AC Transit | 500,000 |
| Anaheim Resort transit project | 500,000 |
| Antelope Valley transit authority bus facilities | |
| Belle Vista park and ride | |
| Boyle Heights bus facility | |
| City of Burbank shuttle buses | , |
| City of Calabasas CNG smart shuttle | |
| City of Carpinteria electric-gasoline hybrid bus | |
| City of Commerce CNG buses and bus facilities | |
| City of Fresno buses | |
| City of Monrovia natural gas vehicle fueling facility | |
| City of Sierra Madre bus replacement. | |
| City of Visalia transit center. | |
| Contra Costa Connection buses | |
| | |
| Costa Mesa CNG facility County of Amador bus replacement | |
| · | |
| County of El Dorado bus fleet expansion | |
| County of El Dorado bus fleet expansion. | |
| Davis, Sacramento hydrogen bus technology. | |
| El Garces train/intermodal station | 7. N/ : 1 |
| Folsom railroad block project. | |
| Foothill Transit, CNG buses and bus facilities. | |
| Glendale Beeline CNG buses | |
| Imperial Valley CNG bus maintenance facility | - A |
| Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority buses and facility | 1,500,000 |

| Project | Conference |
|---|---|
| Livermore park and ride | 250,000 |
| Los Angeles Metro Transportation Authority rapid buses and bus facilities | 3,500,000 |
| Merced County Transit CNG buses | 300,000 |
| City of Modesto, bus facilities | 200,000 |
| Monterey-Salinas Transit facility | 1,500,000 |
| Morongo Basin Transit maintenance and administration facility | 1,000,000 |
| MUNI Central Control Facility | 1,000,000 |
| Municipal Transit Operators Coalition | 2,000,000 |
| North Ukiah Transit Center | 300,000 |
| Orange County buses | 300,000 |
| Palmdale Transportation Center | 250,000 |
| Palo Alto intermodal transit center | 250,000 |
| Pasadena Area Rapid Transit System | 400,000 |
| Placer County, CNG bus project | 1,000,000 |
| Sacramento Regional buses and bus facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Sam Trans zero-emissions fuel cell buses | 1,000,000 |
| San Bernardino CNG/LNG buses. | |
| San Dieguito Transportation Cooperative | 300,000 |
| San Francisco Municipal buses and bus facilities | 4,000,000 |
| San Joaquin Regional Transit District Bus facility | 500,000 |
| San Mateo County Transit Districts clean fuel buses | 1,500,000 |
| Santa Ana bus base | 1,250,000 |
| Santa Barbara hybrid bus rapid transit project | 2,000,000 |
| Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority line 22 articulated buses | 600,000 |
| Santa Fe Springs CNG bus replacement | 500,000 |
| Sierra Madre Villa & Chinatown intermodal transportation centers | 3,000,000 |
| Solano Beach intermodal transit station | 500,000 |
| Sonoma County landfill gas conversion facility | 500,000 |
| South Pasadena circulator bus | 300,000 |
| Sun Line Transit hydrogen refueling station | 500,000 |
| Transportation Hub at the Village of Indian Hills | • |
| Yolo County, CNG buses | 1,000,000 |
| COLORADO | .,000,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Colorado | 7,750,000 |
| CONNECTICUT | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Bridgeport intermodal corridor project | 5,250,000 |
| East Haddam transportation vehicles and transit facilities | 420,000 |
| Greater New Haven Transit District CNG vehicle project (ConnDOT) | 1,000,000 |
| Hartford-New Britain bus rapid transitway | 9,000,000 |
| New Haven bus facility | 500,000 |
| | 000,000 |
| DELAWARE Statewide buses and bus facilities, Delaware | 4,400,000 |
| Wrangle Hill buses and maintenance facility | 3,000,000 |
| | 3,000,000 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3,000,000 |
| Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority buses | 3,000,000 |
| FLORIDA | 2 500 000 |
| Broward County alternative vehicle mass transit buses and bus facilities | 2,500,000 |
| Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority (LYNX) bus and bus facilities | 2,000,000 |
| Duval County/JTA community transportation coordinator program, paratransit vehicles & equipment | 1,000,000 |
| Gainesville Regional Transit System, buses | 500,000 |
| Hillsborough Area Transit Authority buses and bus facilities | 2,000,000 |




| Project Project | Conference |
|---|------------|
| Jacksonville Transit Authority buses. | 750,000 |
| Lakeland Citrus connetion buses and bus facilities | 750,000 |
| Miami Beach development electrowave shuttle service | 3,000,000 |
| Miami-Dade bus fleet | 2,000,000 |
| Northeast Miami-Dade passenger center | 375,000 |
| Palm Tran buses | 500,000 |
| Pinellas Suncoast Transit buses, trolleys, and information technology | 4,000,000 |
| South Florida Regional Transit buses and bus facilities | 4,000,000 |
| South Miami intermodal pedestrian access project. | 1,000,000 |
| Tallahassee bus facilities | 400,000 |
| TALTRAN intermodal center | 600,000 |
| Tri-Rail Cypress Creek intermodal facilities. | 500,000 |
| VOTRAN buses | |
| Winter Haven Area Transit bus and bus facilities. | 2,750,000 |
| GEORGIA | 750,000 |
| | 0 000 000 |
| Atlanta, Metro Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority clean fuel buses | 6,000,000 |
| Chatham Area Transit buses and bus facilities. | -,, |
| Cobb County Community Transit bus facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Georgia Department of Transportation replacement buses | 1,000,000 |
| Georgia Regional Transit Authority express bus program | 6,000,000 |
| Gwinnett County operations and maintenance facility | 500,000 |
| Macon terminal intermodal station | 1,500,000 |
| HAWAII | |
| Honolulu buses and bus facilities | 8,000,000 |
| Middle Street Transit Center | 750,000 |
| IDAHO | |
| Statewide buses, bus facilities, and equipment, Idaho | 3,500,000 |
| ILLINOIS | |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Illinois | 9,430,000 |
| INDIANA | |
| Cherry Street Project multi-modal facility | 1,300,000 |
| Indiana bus consortium, buses and bus facilities | 4,000,000 |
| Indianapolis downtown transit facility | 3,175,000 |
| South Bend Public Transit bus fleet replacement. | 2,500,000 |
| West Lafayette Transit Project buses and bus facilities | 1,750,000 |
| IOWA | 1,100,000 |
| Cedar Rapids intermodal facility | 4,630,000 |
| Statewide bus replacement, Iowa | 5,000,000 |
| KANSAS | 0,000,000 |
| Fort Scott Public Transit buses and bus facilities | 200,000 |
| | 300,000 |
| Kansas City Area Transit Authority buses | 1,500,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Kansas | 3,000,000 |
| Topeka Transit transfer center | 600,000 |
| Wichita Transit Authority buses. | 908,000 |
| KENTUCKY | |
| City of Frankfort transit program buses | 96,090 |
| City of Maysville buses | 136,000 |
| Leslie County parking structure | 2,000,000 |
| Murray-Calloway Transit Authority bus facility | 200,000 |
| Pikeville parking and transit facility | |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Kentucky | |
| Catomico secso dile seo lecimos, ricitacity | 1100-11000 |



| Project | Conference |
|---|------------|
| Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky | 1,500,000 |
| Transit Authority of River City buses and bus facilities | 2,000,000 |
| LOUISIANA | |
| Louisiana Public Transit Association buses and bus facilities | 13,050,000 |
| Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-Shreveport, intermodal parking facility | 1,000,000 |
| St. Bernard Parish intermodal facility | 1,000,000 |
| St. Tammany Parish park and ride | 450,000 |
| MAINE | |
| Auburn intermodal facility and parking garage | 250,000 |
| Statewide buses, Maine | 3,000,000 |
| MARYLAND | |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Maryland | 8,500,000 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | |
| Attleboro intermodal facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Berkshire Regional Transit Authority buses | 750,000 |
| Brockton Intermodal transit center | 1,000,000 |
| Gallagher Intermodal Transportation bus hub and CNG trolleys | 1,000,000 |
| Holyoke Pulse Center | 750,000 |
| Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority (Amesbury) buses and bus facilities | 500,000 |
| Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority (Lawrence) buses and bus facilities | 500,000 |
| MetroWest buses and bus facilities. | 500,000 |
| Montachusett intermodal facilities and parking in Fitchburg/N. Leominster | 2,500,000 |
| Montachusett Regional Transit Authority bus facilities | 100,000 |
| Salem/Beverly Intermodal Center | 500,000 |
| Springfield Union Station intermodal facility | 4,000,000 |
| MICHIGAN | 4,000,000 |
| Alger County Public Transit | 200,000 |
| Antrium County Transportation buses | 86,000 |
| Posse County Transportation buses | 74,000 |
| Barry County Transit buses | 250,000 |
| Bay Area Transit Authority | 200,000 |
| Berrien County Department of Planning and Public Works buses. | |
| Blue Water Area Transportation Commission bus facilities. | 1,500,000 |
| Capital Area Transportation Authority buses, bus facilities, and equipment | |
| Charlevoix County Public Transit | 125,000 |
| City of Niles buses and bus facilities. | 42,000 |
| Crawford County Transportation Authority buses | 175,000 |
| Delta County Transit Authority | 60,000 |
| Detroit Department of Transportation bus replacement | 5,750,000 |
| Eastern UP Transportation Authority | 100,000 |
| Flint Mass Transportation Authority replacement buses and vans | 1,050,000 |
| Greater Lapeer Transportation Authority bus and bus facilities | 350,000 |
| Harbor Transit bus and bus facilities | 200,000 |
| Interurban Transit Authority buses | 82,000 |
| Interurban Transit Partnership surface transportation center (Grand Rapids) | 5,000,000 |
| Ionia Area Transportation Dial-a-Ride | 284,000 |
| Isabelia County facilities and equipment | 227,000 |
| Kalamazoo County Care-A-Van buses and equipment | 130,000 |
| Kalkaska Public Transit buses | 250,000 |
| Livingston Essential Transportation Service buses and equipment | 247,000 |
| Ludington Transit Facility | 500,000 |
| Marquette County Transit Authority buses and bus facility | 1,000,000 |
| Warquette County Transit Authority 20000 and 200 lability | 1,000,000 |

| Project Project | Conference |
|---|------------|
| Midland County buses | 300,000 |
| Milan Public Transit buses | 100,000 |
| Muskegon Area Transit System facility | 1,650,000 |
| Northern Oakland Transportation Authority | 150,000 |
| Otsego County Public Transit | 300,000 |
| Sault Ste. Marie dial-a-ride | 88,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Michigan. | 2,000,000 |
| Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation buses. | 2,110,000 |
| Van Buren County Public Transit buses | |
| MINNESOTA | 201,000 |
| Duluth Transit Authority buses, bus facilities, and equipment | 500,000 |
| Grand Rapids/Gilbert buses and bus facilities. | |
| Greater Minnesota Transit Authority bus, paratransit and transit hub (MNDOT) | 210,000 |
| Metro transit buses and bus facilities (Twin Cities) | 3,750,000 |
| Moothood buses bus facilities and equipment | 13,500,000 |
| Mourer County Public Transit Initiative feelily | 100,000 |
| Mower County Public Transit Initiative facility | 500,000 |
| Rush Line Corridor buses and bus facilities. | 500,000 |
| St. Cloud buses, bus facilities, and equipment. | 1,500,000 |
| MISSISSIPPI | |
| Brookhaven multi-modal facility | 1,000,000 |
| Harrison county multi-modal facilities and shuttle service | 4,000,000 |
| Hattiesburg intermodal facility | 3,500,000 |
| Jackson multi-modal transportation center | 2,000,000 |
| MISSOURI | |
| Cab Care paratransit facility | 500,000 |
| Kansas City Area Transit Authority buses and radio equipment. | 4,500,000 |
| Kansas City dus rapid transit | 2,500,000 |
| Missouri Pacific Depot | 500,000 |
| OATS buses and bus facilities | 2,000,000 |
| Southeast Missouri State, Dunklin, Mississippi, Scott, Stoddard, and Cape Giradeau Counties buses | _, |
| and facilities | 1,750,000 |
| Southwest Missouri State University intermodal transfer facility | 2,500,000 |
| St. Louis Bi-State Development Authority buses and bus facilities | 4,000,000 |
| MONTANA | 4,000,000 |
| Billings Logan international airport bus terminal and facility | 1,500,000 |
| Butte-Silver Bow bus facility | 500,000 |
| Montana statewide bus and bus facilities | · · |
| NEBRASKA | 2,150,000 |
| Buffalo County buses and maintenance facility | 400.000 |
| NEVADA | 100,000 |
| | 4 750 000 |
| Las Vegas Boulevard North Corridor BRT, clean diesel-electric buses. | 1,750,000 |
| Regional Transport Commission of Southern Nevada bus rapid transit. | 4,500,000 |
| Reno Bus Rapid Transit high-capacity articulated buses | 1,500,000 |
| Reno/Sparks buses and bus facilities | 4,000,000 |
| Reno Suburban transit coaches | 500,000 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | |
| Granite State Clean Cities Coalition CNG buses and facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Town of Ossipee multimodal visitor center | 1,600,000 |
| NEW JERSEY | •• |
| Bergen intermodal stations, park and ride and shuttle service. | 2,350,000 |
| Middlesex County jitney transit buses. | |
| | |

| Project | Conference |
|---|------------|
| Trenton Rail Station rehabilitation | 2,500,000 |
| NEW MEXICO | 307 |
| Albuquerque Alvarado Transportation Center (phase II) | 1 500 000 |
| Albuquerque buses and paratransit vehicles. | 500 000 |
| Las Cruces buses. | 500,000 |
| Las Cruces intermodal transit facility | 2 000 000 |
| Santa Fe buses and bus facilities. | 1 000 000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, New Mexico | 1,000,000 |
| Village of Taos Ski Valley bus and bus facilities | 500 000 |
| West Side Transit facility and buses | 3,750,000 |
| NEW YORK | |
| Binghamton intermodal terminal | 2,000,000 |
| Central New York Regional Transportation Authority | 3 250 000 |
| Greater Glens Falls Transit bus facility renovation | 500,000 |
| Long Island Rail Road Jamaica intermodal facilities | 3 000 000 |
| Martin Street Station | 325,000 |
| MTA Long Island buses | 2 000 000 |
| Nassau University Medical Center bus service extension | 1,000,000 |
| New Rochelle intermodal center. | 1,500,000 |
| New York City Dept. of Transportation, CNG buses and facilities | 2,500,000 |
| Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority buses. | 2,500,000 |
| Pelham trolley | 2,300,000 |
| Poughkeepsie intermodal project | 1,000,000 |
| Rochester buses and facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Saratoga Springs intermodal station. | 1,900,000 |
| Station Plaza commuter parking lot | 500,000 |
| Sullivan County Coordinated Public Transportation Service bus facility | 500,000 |
| Tompkins Consolidated Area transit center | 624,000 |
| Tompkins County replacement buses. | 1,500,000 |
| Union Station - Oneida County facilities | 1,250,000 |
| Westchester County Bee-Line low emission buses. | 1,500,000 |
| NODTH CAROLINA | |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, North Carolina | 7,000,000 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 7,000,000 |
| Statewide buses, bus facilities, and rural transit vehicles, North Dakota | 3,500,000 |
| OHIO | 3,300,000 |
| Butler County transit facility | 1,000,000 |
| Dayton, Wright-Dunbar Transit Access Project | 2,750,000 |
| Alliance intermodal facility | 1,000,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Ohio | 8,800,000 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0,000,000 |
| Central Oklahoma transit facilities | 4,000,000 |
| Oklahoma Department of Transportation transit program buses and bus facilities. | ,,,,,,,,, |
| OREGON | 3,000,000 |
| Canby Transit buses | 000 000 |
| Clackamas County south corridor transit improvements. | • |
| Fort Clatsop Shuttling system | |
| Lincoln County transportation consider district has seen | 2,000,000 |
| Lincoln County transportation service district bus garage | |
| Milwaukee Transit Center | |
| Rogue Valley Transit District, CNG buses. | , |
| Salem Area Mass Transit, CNG buses | 1,000,000 |



| Project | Conference |
|---|--|
| Springfield bus transfer station | 2,000,000 |
| Tillamook County Transportation District bus facilities | 350,000 |
| Wasco County buses (Mid-Columbia Council of Governments) | 105,000 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | |
| Altoona bus facility (TEA-21) | 3,000,000 |
| Allentown intermodal transportation center | 500,000 |
| Area Transit Authority of North Central PA buses and bus facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Berks Area Reading Transportation Authority buses and bus facilities | |
| Bucks County intermodal facility improvement. | the state of the s |
| Butler Township multi-modal transfer center | |
| Callowhill bus garage replacement | 3,300,000 |
| Cambria County operations and maintenance facility | |
| Centre Area Transportation Authority CNG buses | 800,000 |
| County of Lackawanna Transit bus facility | |
| Doylestown Area Regional Transit buses | 100,000 |
| Endless Mountain Transportation Authority buses and bus facilities | |
| Favette County Transit facility | |
| Hershey intermodal transportation center | |
| Indiana County Transit Authority buses and bus facilities | |
| LeHigh and Northampton Transportation Authority bus facility | |
| Luzerne County Transit Authority buses | |
| Mid Mon Valley Transit Authority buses and bus facilities | |
| Mid-County Transit Authority buses and bus facilities | |
| Monroe County Transit Authority park and ride | |
| Montgomery County intermodal facility | 1,000,000 |
| Port Authority of Allegheny buses | |
| Red Rose transit transfer center | |
| Schuylkill Transportation System | 400,000 |
| Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority trackless trolleys | 1,000,000 |
| Somerset County Transportation System buses | |
| Wilkes-Barre Intermodal facility | |
| York County bus replacement | 1,000,000 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1,000,000 |
| Providence transportation information center | 1,500,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Rhode Island | 4,500,000 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,000,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facility, South Carolina | 10,000,000 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | |
| Aberdeen Ride Line buses | . 100,000 |
| Mobridge Senior Citizen handicap-accessible vehicles | |
| Oglala Sioux Tribe buses and bus facilities | 2,250,000 |
| Rosebud Sioux Tribe transportation vans | • |
| TENNESSEE | |
| Memphis International Airport intermodal facility | 1,740,000 |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, Tennessee | 10,000,000 |
| TEXAS | . 10,000,000 |
| Abilene bus replacement | 500,000 |
| Austin Metrobus | 750,000 |
| Brazos Transit ADA compliant buses | 400,000 |
| Brazos Transit buses for Texas A & M University | 750,000 |
| Brazos Transit buses for Texas A & M University | 750 ,000 |
| Diazos Transit buses, intermodal identity, and parking identy | |

| Project | Conference |
|---|------------|
| Brazos Transit park and ride facility | 400,000 |
| Brownsville multimodal facility study | 100,000 |
| Capital Metro park and ride | 500,000 |
| City of Huntsville buses | 500,000 |
| Connection Capital Project for Community Transit Facilities | 250,000 |
| El Paso buses | 500,000 |
| Fort Worth Transportation Authority CNG buses | 1,250,000 |
| Fort Worth intermodal center park and ride facility | 500,000 |
| Fort Worth 9th Street Transfer Station | 1,600,000 |
| Houston Barker Cypress park and ride | 5,000,000 |
| Houston Main Street Corridor master plan | 500,000 |
| Liberty County buses | 375,000 |
| San Antonio VIA Metro Transit Authority clean fuel buses. | 1,750,000 |
| Sun Metro buses and bus facilities | 500,000 |
| Texas Tech University buses, park and ride | 1,000,000 |
| Waco Transit maintenance and administration facility | 1,650,000 |
| Woodlands District park and ride | 500,000 |
| UTAH | 333,333 |
| Statewide regional intermodal transportation centers, Utah | 3,000,000 |
| Utah Transit Authority and Park City Transit buses | 500,000 |
| Utah Transit Authority intermodal terminals | 1.000,000 |
| VERMONT | |
| Vermont Public Transit alternative fuel/hybrid buses and facility | 2,000,000 |
| VIRGINIA | _,000,000 |
| | 1,000,000 |
| Colonial Williamsburg CNG busesGreater Richmond Transit Downtown Transit Center | 1,000,000 |
| Hampton Roads regional buses | 3,500,000 |
| Main Street multi-modal transportation center | 2,500,000 |
| Potomac & Rappahannock Transportation Commission buses | 3,000,000 |
| Roanoke Area Dial-A-Ride | 1,000,000 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | .,,000,000 |
| Virgin Islands Transit (VITRAN) buses | 500,000 |
| WASHINGTON | 000,000 |
| Bellevue Transportation Center | 1,600,000 |
| City of Kent facility/Sound Transit, transit and transit-related facilities | 900,000 |
| Everett Transit buses and vans | 1,750,000 |
| I-5 Trade Corridor/99th St facility | 3,700,000 |
| Issaquah Highlands park and ride | 2,000,000 |
| King County Transit Oriented Development Projects | 1,000,000 |
| Mukilteo multi-modal terminal and ferry | 1,450,000 |
| Pierce Transit buses, vans, and equipment | 2,500,000 |
| Snohomish County transit buses and bus facilities | 2,000,000 |
| Spokane Transit Authority, buses and bus facilities | 1,000,000 |
| Sound Transit regional transit hubs | 9,500,000 |
| Statewide small transit systems, buses, and bus facilities, Washington | 3,500,000 |
| | 3,300,000 |
| WEST VIRGINIA Huntington Tri-State Authority bus facility | 750 000 |
| | 750,000 |
| Morgantown Intermodal parking facility | |
| Statewide buses and bus facilities, West Virginia | 4,000,000 |
| WISCONSIN Statewide buses, bus facilities, and equipment, Wisconsin | 44.000.000 |
| Statewide buses, bus facilities, and equipment, wisconsin | 14,000,000 |

Barker Cypress park and ride.—The fiscal year 2002 bus funds shall be available for land acquisition, design and construction of selected transit facilities in the Houston Metro service area, including Barker Cypress, Kingsland, West Bellfort, and Clear Lake park and ride lots and the South Freeway transit center.

Commonwealth of Kentucky.—The conference agreement provides a total of \$4,534,000 for the Kentucky Transportation Department to provide buses, vans, cutaways, and bus facilities in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Within the funds provided to the state, \$200,000 shall be allocated to the Audubon Area Community Services; \$600,000 shall be provided to the Bluegrass Community Action Services; \$272,000 shall be allocated to the Central Kentucky Community Action Council; \$46,000 shall be provided to the Community Action Council of Fayette and Lexington; \$200,000 shall be allocated to the Community Action Council of Southern Kentucky; \$136,000 shall be provided to Kentucky River Foothills; \$80,000 for Lake Cumberland Community services; and \$2,000,000 for southern and eastern Kentucky transit vehicles.

State of Louisiana.—The conference agreement provides a total of \$13,050,000 for bus and bus related facilities in the State of Louisiana. Within the funds provided to the state, \$665,000 is for Baton Rouge, \$1,335,000 is for Jefferson Parish, \$2,263,000 is for Lafayette, \$400,000 is for Lake Charles, \$1,195,000 is for the Louisiana Department of Transportation, \$535,000 is for Monroe, \$5,192,000 is for New Orleans, and \$1,465,000 is for Shreveport.

State of Montana.—The conference agreement provides a total of \$2,250,000 for buses and bus facilities within the State of Montana. Within the funds provided to the state, \$600,000 shall be used for the Ravalli county

council on aging bus facility and \$550,000 shall be used for Area VII agency on aging bus facility.

State of Washington.—The conference agreement provides \$3,500,000 to the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) for bus and bus facilities. Within the funds provided, \$440,000 shall be allocated to Clallam transit, \$928,000 shall be allocated to Grays Harbor Transportation, \$632,000 shall be allocated to Island Transit, \$324,000 shall be allocated to Link Transit, 385,000 shall be allocated to Mason County Transportation Authority, and \$750,000 to Valley Transit.

\$ 336 000

Fiscal year 2001 project clarifications.--The conference agreement permits projects, identified in the House report, to use fiscal year 2001 appropriations for additional work. Specifically, funds appropriated for the Lowell, Massachusetts transit hub can be used for the Hale Street bus maintenance and operations center; funds appropriated for the Municipal Transit Operators in California can be used for bus and bus facilities; funds appropriated for the King County Metro Eastgate park and ride can be used for the Issaquah Highlands park and ride; and funds allocated for buses for Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART) in Southeast Michigan may also be available for bus facilities.

Burlington multi-modal.—Funds appropriated to the Burlington, Vermont multi-modal transit project in fiscal years 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 will be available for construction of the multimodal project and other transit improvements.

New fixed guideway systems.—In total, the conference agreement provides \$1,137,888,840 for new fixed guideway systems, of which \$1,136,400,000 is from new appropriations and \$1,488,840 is derived from

132)

funds made available in previous Appropriations Acts that have been reprogrammed to new starts funding in fiscal year 2002.

Appropriations for full funding grant agreements (FFGA).—The number of potential new starts projects is expanding rapidly. Currently, there are over 110 projects under consideration that are estimated to cost over \$60 billion, if funded to completion. While the conference agreement has funded many worthy projects in the new starts program, there are not sufficient federal resources available to fund even a fraction of the projects under consideration.

As a result, the conferees direct FTA not to sign any new full funding grant agreements after September 30, 2002 that has a maximum federal share of higher than 60 percent. This policy will provide local sponsors sufficient time to increase their contributions to these projects, if necessary, and will free up additional federal resources for other meritorious projects seeking an FFGA.

The conference agreement provides for the following distribution of the recommended funding for new fixed guideway systems as follows:

INSERT LIST 5

| | Conference |
|--|--------------|
| Alaska or Hawaii ferry projects | \$10,296,000 |
| Albuquerque, New Mexico, light rail project | 1,000,000 |
| Atlanta, Georgia, North line extension project | 25,000,000 |
| Baltimore, Maryland, central light rail transit double track project | 13,000,000 |
| Baltimore, Maryland, rail transit project | 1,500,000 |
| Birmingham, Alabama, transit corridor project | 2,000,000 |
| Boston, Massachusetts, South Boston Piers transitway project | 10,631,245 |
| Boston, Massachusetts, Urban ring transit project | 500,000 |
| Charlotte, North Carolina, South corridor light rail transit project | 7,000,000 |
| Chicago, Illinois, Douglas branch reconstruction project | 32,750,000 |
| Chicago, Illinois, METRA commuter rail and line extension projects | 55,000,000 |
| Chicago, Illinois, Ravenswood reconstruction project | 3,000,000 |
| Cleveland, Ohio, Euclid corridor transportation project | 6,000,000 |
| Dallas, Texas, North central light rail transit extension project | 70,000,000 |
| Denver, Colorado, Southeast corridor light rail transit project | 55,000,000 |
| Denver, Colorado, Southwest corridor light rail transit project | 192,492 |
| Des Moines, Iowa, DSM bus feasibility project | 150,000 |
| Dubuque, lowa, light rail feasibility project | 200,000 |
| Dulles corridor, Virginia, bus rapid transit project | 25,000,000 |
| Fort Lauderdale, Florida, Tri-County commuter rail upgrades project | 27,000,000 |
| Forth Worth, Texas, Trinity railway express project | 2,000,000 |
| Grand Rapids, Michigan, ITP metro area, major corridor project | 750,000 |
| Honolulu, Hawaii, bus rapid transit project | 12,000,000 |
| Houston, Texas, Metro advanced transit plan project | 10,000,000 |
| lowa, Metrolink light rail feasibility project | 300,000 |
| Johnson County, Kansas-Kansas City, Missouri, I-35 commuter rail project | 1,500,000 |
| Kenosha-Racine, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, commuter rail extension project | 2,000,000 |
| Largo, Maryland, metrorail extension project | 55,000,000 |
| Little Rock, Arkansas, river rail project | 2,000,000 |
| Long Island Rail Road, New York, East Side access project | 14,744,420 |
| Los Angeles, California, North Hollywood extension project | * * |
| Los Angeles, California, East Side corridor light rail transit project | 9,289,557 |
| Lowell, Massachusetts-Nashua, New Hampshire, commuter rail extension project | 7,500,000 |
| Maryland (MARC) commuter rail improvements projects | 3,000,000 |
| Memphis, Tennessee, Medical center rail extension project. | 12,000,000 |
| Miami, Florida, South Miami-Dade busway extension project | 19,170,000 |
| | 5,000,000 |
| Minneapolis-Rice, Minnesota, Northstar corridor commuter rail project. | 10,000,000 |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota, Hiawatha corridor light rail transit project | 50,000,000 |
| Nashville, Tennessee, East corridor commuter rail project. | 4,000,000 |
| New Jersey Hudson-Bergen light rail transit project | 141,000,000 |
| New Orleans, Louisiana, Canal Street car line project | 15,000,000 |
| New Orleans, Louisiana, Desire corridor streetcar project | \$1,200,000 |
| New York, New York, Second avenue subway project | 2,000,000 |
| Newark-Elizabeth, New Jersey, rail link project. | 20,000,000 |
| Northeast Indianapolis, Indiana downtown corridor project | 2,500,000 |
| Northern Indiana South Shore commuter rail project | 2,500,000 |
| Oceanside-Escondido, California, light rail extension project | 6,500,000 |
| Ohio, Central Ohio North Corridor rail (COTA) project | 500,000 |
| Pawtucket-TF Green, Rhode Island, commuter rail and maintenance facility project | 5,000,000 |
| Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Schuylkill Valley metro project | 9,000,000 |
| Phoenix, Arizona, Central Phoenix/East Valley corridor project | 10,000,000 |

| Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, North Shore connector light rail transit project | 8,000,000 |
|--|------------|
| Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, stage II light rail transit reconstruction project | 18,000,000 |
| Portland, Oregon, Interstate MAX light rail transit extension project | 64,000,000 |
| Puget Sound, Washington, RTA Sounder commuter rail project | 20,000,000 |
| Raleigh, North Carolina, Triangle transit project | 9,000,000 |
| Sacramento, California, light rail transit extension project | 328,000 |
| Salt Lake City, Utah, CBD to University light rail transit project. | 14,000,000 |
| Salt Lake City, Utah, University Medical Center light rail transit extension project | 3,000,000 |
| San Diego, California, Mission Valley East light rail transit extension | 60,000,000 |
| San Diego, California, Mid Coast corridor project | 1,000,000 |
| San Francisco, California, BART extension to the airport project | 75,673,790 |
| San Jose, California, Tasman West light rail transit project | 113,336 |
| San Juan, Puerto Rico, Tren Urbano project | 40,000,000 |
| Sioux City, Iowa, light rail project | 1,700,000 |
| St. Louis-St. Clair, Missouri, Metrolink extension project | 28,000,000 |
| Stamford, Connecticut, urban transitway project | 5,000,000 |
| Stockton, California, Altamount commuter rail project | 3,000,000 |
| Virginia Railway Express station improvements project | 3,000,000 |
| Washington County, Oregon, Wilsonville to Beaverton commuter rail project | 500,000 |
| Wasilla, Alaska, alternative route project | 2,500,000 |
| Yosemite, California, area regional transportation system project | 400,000 |

Charlotte, North Carolina, South corridor light rail transit project.—
The conference agreement provides \$7,000,000 for the south corridor light rail project for the design and construction of an 11-mile light rail transit line extending from Uptown Charlotte to the town of Pineville, North Carolina, with continuing service being planned to the City of Rock Hill in York County, South Carolina.

Houston, Texas, advanced transit plan project.—The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Houston advanced transit plan project. The conference agreement modifies the funding prohibition, proposed by the House, to apply only for the design or construction of a light rail system in Houston, Texas until the appropriate studies have been completed and voters in the Houston Metro service-area have approved the rail system in an election called for that purpose.

Puget Sound, Washington, Sounder commuter rail project.—The conference agreement includes \$20,000,000 for the Puget Sound, Sounder commuter rail project. These funds may be used both to implement commuter rail service between Lakewood and Everett and to develop facilities between Tacoma and Lakewood.

Job Access and Reverse Commute Grants

The conference agreement includes a total program level of \$125,000,000 for the job access and reverse commute grants as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Within this total, \$25,000,000 is derived from the general fund. The conference agreement includes a provision that waives the cap for small urban and rural areas and provides that up to \$250,000 of the

funds appropriated under this heading may be used for technical assistance, technical support, and performance reviews of the job access and reverse commute grants program.

Funds appropriated for the job access and reverse commute grants program are to be distributed as follows:

| Abilene, Texas Citilink Program \$150,000 AC Transit, California \$2,000,000 Attanta Regional Commission, Georgia \$1,000,000 Austin, Texas \$500,000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana Ways to Work \$750,000 Bloomington to Normal, Illinois, Wheels to Work \$500,000 Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chatlannoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Chatlanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Chatlanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Corpus Christ, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$750,000 Del Norte County, California \$750,000 Del Norte County, California \$750,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Merropolitan Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$1,000,000 Merropolitan Transportation Commission LIFT program, California \$3,000,000 Metropolitan Transportation Commission LIFT program, California \$3,000,000 | Project Name | Conference level |
|--|--|-------------------|
| AC Transit, California \$2,000,000 Atlanta Regional Commission, Georgia \$1,000,000 Austin, Texas \$500,000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana Ways to Work \$500,000 Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Burington to Normal, Illinois, Wheels to Work \$500,000 Burington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesille, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 Fint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Maricopa County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 | Abilene, Texas Citilink Program | \$150,000 |
| Atlanta Regional Commission, Georgia \$1,000,000 Austin, Texas \$500,000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana Ways to Work \$750,000 Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Buncombe County, New York Transit \$500,000 Burlongbe County, North Carolina \$100,000 Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chatlanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Del Norte County, California \$750,000 Del Norte County, Illinois \$550,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 | AC Transit, California | |
| Austin, Texas \$500,000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana Ways to Work \$750,000 Broomington to Normal, Illinois, Wheels to Work \$500,000 Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Buncombe County, North Carolina \$100,000 Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chatlanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Note County, California \$750,000 Del Note County, California \$500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000, | Atlanta Regional Commission, Georgia | |
| Baton Rouge, Louisiana Ways to Work Bloomington to Normal, Illinois, Wheels to Work \$500,000 Burlongton to Normal, Illinois, Wheels to Work \$500,000 Burlongton County, New York Transit \$500,000 Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chatlanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$2,000,000 Lancaster County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$1,000,000 Maricopa County, Aizona \$1,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macon-Bibb County, Aizona \$1,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Aizona \$1,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Aizona \$1,000,000 Macropa County, Aizona \$1,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Aizona \$1,000,000 | Austin, Texas | |
| Bioomington to Normal, Illinois, Wheels to Work Broome County, New York Transit \$500,000 Buncombe County, North Carolina \$100,000 Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California Delaware Department of Transportation DuPage County, Illinois Fint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Los Angeles, California \$250,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$1,200,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Macon-Bilan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri | Baton Rouge, Louisiana Ways to Work | |
| Buncombe County, North Carolina Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chattanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Chattanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Chattottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$525,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Galveston, Texas \$500,000 Georgetown Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida Indianapolis Public Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$250,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri | | |
| Buncombe County, North Carolina Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$500,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chattanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Chattanoga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$525,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Galveston, Texas \$500,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida Indianapolis Public Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Tran | Broome County, New York Transit | \$ 500,000 |
| Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage \$850,000 Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$500,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chatham, Georgia \$1,000,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$250,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$250,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$250,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macon-Bibb County, Arizona \$1,200,000 MaccOT Matanuska, Susitra Valley, Alaska \$200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Buncombe County, North Carolina | |
| Central Arkansas Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Central Ohio Transit Authority \$1,000,000 Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina \$500,000 Chatham, Georgia \$1,000,000 Chatlamo, Georgia \$1,000,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Lencaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lencaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lencaster County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Macon-Bibb County, Aizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Burlington Community Land Trust/Good News Garage | |
| Central Ohio Transit Authority Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina S500,000 Chattam, Georgia S1,000,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee S500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation S375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico S630,000 Columbia County, New York S100,000 Community Transportation Association of America S625,000 Del Norte County, California S750,000 Del Norte County, California S750,000 DuPage County, Illinois Fint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority S1,000,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York Georgetown Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida S900,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida S900,000 Jacksonville Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program S1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama S2,000,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania S2,000,000 Maccon-Bibb County, Georgia S400,000 Maricopa County, Aissouri S1,000,000 MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska S200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri | Central Arkansas Transit Authority | |
| Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina Chatham, Georgia \$1,000,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation City of Santa Fe, New Mexico Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation DuPage County, Illinois Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida Hildsbourgh Area Regional Transportation, Indiana (Indyflex) Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopolitan Kansas City, Missouri | | |
| Chatham, Georgia \$1,000,000 Chattanooga, Tennessee \$500,000 Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$7700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Maroopalitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Charlotte Area Transit, North Carolina | |
| Chattanooga, Tennessee Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation S375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico S630,000 Columbia County, New York S100,000 Community Transportation Association of America S625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas S550,000 Del Norte County, California S700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation S750,000 DuPage County, Illinois S500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority S1,000,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York Georgetown Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida S900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program S1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama S2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska S500,000 Lencaster County, Pennsylvania Lencaster County, Pennsylvania Lencaster County, Georgia Maricopa County, Arizona MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska S200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri S1,000,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri | Chatham, Georgia | |
| Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation \$375,000 City of Santa Fe, New Mexico \$630,000 Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$550,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$990,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | | |
| City of Santa Fe, New Mexico Columbia County, New York \$100,000 Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$500,000 Pilint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania Los Angeles, California \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,000,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,000,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Charlottesville, Virginia Jefferson Area United Transportation | |
| Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | City of Santa Fe, New Mexico | |
| Community Transportation Association of America \$625,000 Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 Marcopolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Columbia County, New York | \$100,000 |
| Corpus Christi, Texas \$550,000 Del Norte County, California \$700,000 Delaware Department of Transportation \$750,000 DuPage County, Illinois \$500,000 Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority \$1,000,000 Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$250,000 Los Angeles, California \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska \$200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Community Transportation Association of America | \$625,000 |
| Delaware Department of Transportation\$750,000DuPage County, Illinois\$500,000Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority\$1,000,000Galveston, Texas\$600,000Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York\$400,000Georgetown Metro Connection\$1,000,000Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida\$900,000Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex)\$1,000,000Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | |
| Delaware Department of Transportation\$750,000DuPage County, Illinois\$500,000Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority\$1,000,000Galveston, Texas\$600,000Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York\$400,000Georgetown Metro Connection\$1,000,000Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida\$900,000Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex)\$1,000,000Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Del Norte County, California | \$700,000 |
| Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority Galveston, Texas Seconogerous Seconogerous Metro Connection Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program Jefferson County, Alabama Seconogerous, Alabama | Delaware Department of Transportation | |
| Galveston, Texas\$600,000Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York\$400,000Georgetown Metro Connection\$1,000,000Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida\$900,000Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex)\$1,000,000Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | \$500,000 |
| Galveston, Texas \$600,000 Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York \$400,000 Georgetown Metro Connection \$1,000,000 Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida \$900,000 Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) \$1,000,000 Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program \$1,000,000 Jefferson County, Alabama \$2,000,000 Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska \$500,000 Lancaster County, Pennsylvania \$198,000 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania \$250,000 Los Angeles, California \$2,000,000 Macon-Bibb County, Georgia \$400,000 Maricopa County, Arizona \$1,200,000 MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska \$200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | Flint, Michigan Mass Transportation Authority | \$1,000,000 |
| Georgetown Metro Connection\$1,000,000Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida\$900,000Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex)\$1,000,000Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | |
| Georgetown Metro Connection\$1,000,000Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida\$900,000Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex)\$1,000,000Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Genessee-Rochester Regional Transportation Authority, New York | \$400,000 |
| Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex)\$1,000,000Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | \$1,000,000 |
| Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Hillsbourgh Area Regional Transit, Tampa, Florida | \$900,000 |
| Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program\$1,000,000Jefferson County, Alabama\$2,000,000Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Indianapolis Public Transportation Corporation, Indiana (Indyflex) | \$1,000,000 |
| Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska\$500,000Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Jacksonville Transportation Authority's Choice Ride program | |
| Lancaster County, Pennsylvania\$198,000Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Jefferson County, Alabama | \$2,000,000 |
| Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Kenai Peninsula Transit Planning, Alaska | \$500,000 |
| Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority, Pennsylvania\$250,000Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | Lancaster County, Pennsylvania | \$198,000 |
| Los Angeles, California\$2,000,000Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | \$250,000 |
| Macon-Bibb County, Georgia\$400,000Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | |
| Maricopa County, Arizona\$1,200,000MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska\$200,000Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri\$1,000,000 | | |
| MASCOT Matanuska, Susitna Valley, Alaska \$200,000 Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | | |
| Metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri \$1,000,000 | | |
| | | |
| | Metropolitan Transportation Commission LIFT program, California | \$3,000,000 |



| | • |
|---|-----------------------|
| Minneapolis/St. Paul, Minnesota | \$1,000,000 |
| New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department | \$2,000,000 |
| New York Metropolitan Area Transportation Authority | \$1,000,000 |
| Northern Tier Dial-A-Ride, Massachusetts | \$400,000 |
| Oglala Sioux Tribe, North Dakota | \$150,000 |
| Ohio Ways to Work | \$1,500,000 |
| Oklahoma Transit Association | \$5,000,000 |
| Pace, Illinois suburban buses | \$561,000 |
| Palm Beach County, Florida | \$500,000 |
| Pennsylvania Ways to Work program | \$1,500,000 |
| Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania | \$2,000,000 |
| Port Authority of Allegheny County | \$2,000,000 |
| Red Rose Transit, Pennsylvania | \$200,000 |
| Sacramento, California | \$2,000,000 |
| Salem Area Transit, Oregon | \$700,000 |
| Santa Clara County, California | \$500,000 |
| Santa Fe, New Mexico | \$630,000 |
| SEPTA, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania | \$6,000,000 |
| Seward Transit Service, Alaska | \$200,000 |
| Southeast Missouri Council, Missouri | \$1,200,000 |
| Southeastern Massachusetts Regional Transit Authority | \$100,000 |
| Springfield, Illinois Transportation to employment and self-sufficiency | \$250,000 |
| State of Connecticut | \$3,500,000 |
| State of Florida, Choice Ride program | \$1,000,000 |
| State of Idaho | \$300,000 |
| State of Iowa | \$1,700,000 |
| State of Maryland | \$5,000,000 |
| State of Nevada | \$300,000 |
| State of New Jersey | \$3,000,000 |
| State of Ohio | \$1,500,000 |
| State of Pennsylvania | \$1,500,000 |
| State of Rhode Island | \$2,000,000 |
| State of Tennessee | \$4,500,000 |
| State of Washington | \$3,000,000 |
| State of West Virginia | \$800,000 |
| State of Wisconsin | \$5,200,000 |
| Sullivan County, New York | \$400,000 |
| Tennessee small rural systems | \$1,000,000 |
| Topeka, Kansas Metropolitan Transit Authority | \$600,000 |
| Tri-Met Region, Oregon | \$1,800,000 |
| Tuscaloosa, Alabama disabilities advocacy program | \$1,000,000 |
| Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority | \$2,500,000 |
| Westchester County, New York | \$1,000,000 |
| Wichita, Kansas Transit | \$1,450,000 |
| Winchester, Virginia | \$1,000,000 |
| Worchester, Massachusetts | \$400,000 |
| WorkFirst Transportation Initiative, state of Washington | \$3,000,000 |
| Workforce Investment Board of Southeast, Missouri | \$800,000 |
| Workforce Investment Board of Southwest Missouri | \$600,000 |
| Wyandotte County/Kansas City, Kansas | \$1,000,000 |
| | +.,000,000 |

State of Maryland.—Within the funds made available to the state of Maryland, Department of Transportation, \$800,000 shall be for the Montgomery County to operate the transit system during expanded hours of service and \$200,000 shall be for the Sojourner-Douglass College in Baltimore for the college's workforce transportation and referral, as proposed by the Senate.

Iowa public transit. — Funds appropriated in fiscal year 2001 for the Des Moines, Dubuque, Sioux City, Delaware and Jackson Counties job access and reverse commute grant programs shall also be made available for the Region 3 Regional Service Expansion, Region 4 Evening Service Expansion, Region 8 Job Access program, Regional JARC Expansion and Region 12 Job Corps and ECI Project.

SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

(HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND)

The conference agreement appropriates \$13,345,000 for operations and maintenance of the Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation as proposed by the Senate instead of \$13,426,000 as proposed by the House.

Ballast Water Management. – The conferees direct that a report on ballast water management and its efforts to coordinate with the United States Coast Guard to control non-indigenous aquatic nuisance species be submitted to the House and Senate Committee on Appropriations by April 1, 2002.

Detroit River Navigator – The conferees understand that the Seaway will provide the salary for the Detroit River Navigator during fiscal year 2002. The conferees support such action.

RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATION

Research and Special Programs

37%.000

The conference agreement appropriates \$37,280,000 for research and special programs instead of \$36,487,000 as proposed by the House and \$41,993,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within this total, \$2,170,000 is available until September 30, 2004, as proposed by the House instead of \$5,434,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following adjustments are made to the budget estimate:

| Reduce funding for 14 new computer and administrative positions | -\$690,000 |
|---|-------------|
| Reduce funding for research and development planning | -1,675,000 |
| Reduce funding for human centered fatigue research | -300,000 |
| Reduce funding for business modernization | -1,988,000 |
| Reduce funding for unjustified amounts | -60,000 |
| Net adjustment to budget estimate | \$4,713,000 |

The conference agreement permits up to \$1,200,000 in fees be collected and deposited in the general fund of the Treasury as offsetting receipts. Also, the conference agreement includes language that permits funds received from states, counties, municipalities, other public authorities and private sources for expenses incurred for training, reports publication and dissemination, and travel expenses incurred in the performance of hazardous materials exemptions and approval functions. The House and Senate proposed both of these provisions.

The conference agreement directs the Research and Special Programs

Administration (RSPA) to submit to both the House and Senate Committees on

Appropriations before February 1, 2002, a strategic plan outlining the improvements
in information technology and business modernization that will be made during the
next few years. The plan should specify the necessary steps to be taken and funds
needed to ensure that RSPA's missions and activities will be underpinned by a
current information technology infrastructure with the capability for upgrading.

Pipeline Safety

(Pipeline Safety Fund)

(Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund)

The conference agreement provides a total of \$58,250,000 for the pipeline safety program instead of \$48,475,000 as proposed by the House and \$58,750,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within this total, \$20,707,000 is available until September 30, 2003, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$30,828,000 as proposed by the House.

Of this total, the conference agreement specifies that \$7,864,000 shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and \$50,386,000 from the Pipeline Safety Fund. The House bill allocated \$7,472,000 from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and \$41,003,000 from the Pipeline Safety Trust Fund. The Senate bill provided \$11,472,000 from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund and \$47,278,000 from the Pipeline Safety Fund.

The following table reflects the total allocation for pipeline safety in fiscal year 2002:

| Budget activity | Pipeline Safety Fund | Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Personnel, compensation, and | | | |
| benefits | \$10,955,000 | \$900,000 | \$11,855,000 |
| Operating expenses | 4,194,000 | 531,000 | 4,725,000 |
| Information systems | 935,000 | 400,000 | 1,335,000 |
| Risk assessment and technical | | | |
| studies | 850,000 | 400,000 | 1,250,000 |
| Integrity management | | | |
| program | 6,253,000 | 1,190,000 | 7,443,000 |

| Compliance | 200,000 | 100,000 | 300,000 |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Training and information | | | |
| dissemination | 900,000 | 300,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Emergency notification | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| Damage prevention/public | | | |
| education campaign | 3,213,000 | 200,000 | 3,413,000 |
| Oil Pollution Act | | 2,443,000 | 2,443,000 |
| Research and development | 4,736,000 | | 4,736,000 |
| State grants | 15,000,000 | 1,400,000 | 16,400,000 |
| Risk management | 50,000 | | 50,000 |
| One-call notification | 1,000,000 | | 1,000,000 |
| Interstate oversight grants | 2,000,000 | | 2,000,000 |
| Total | 50,386,000 | 7,864,000 | 58,250,000 |

The conference agreement approves the request for 26 new positions to support a new community based program and to support the new integrity management program. In addition, the conference agreement exceeds the budget request for the integrity management program by \$2,500,000 for a total of \$7,443,000, and by \$1,992,000 for office of pipeline safety research and development for a total of \$4,736,000.

Within the funds provided for the integrity management program, the conference agreement provides \$750,000 for the office of pipeline safety and state training, and adequate funds to interpret pigging data submitted by industry, to witness new construction of pipelines, and to develop improved information systems needed to monitor and evaluate industry data supplied to OPS.

Within the funds provided for the research and development, the conference agreement provides \$600,000 for airborne environmental laser mapping technology research and engineering to support improved leak detection, analysis, and response by Federal, state, and industry pipeline safety officials.

State of Washington.—The conferees direct that of the unobligated fiscal year 2001 funds for the Washington State pipeline safety program, which is estimated at \$800,000, be obligated in fiscal year 2002 as soon as possible.

Emergency Preparedness Frank)

The conference agreement provides \$200,000 for emergency preparedness grants as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes a limitation on obligations of \$14,300,000, consistent with both the House and Senate proposals.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement appropriates \$50,614,000 for this office as proposed by both the House and the Senate. In addition, the agreement includes language under the Federal Transit Administration that would reimburse the Department of Transportation's Inspector General \$2,000,000 for costs associated with audits and investigations of transit-related issues. Bill language is also included that authorizes the use of funds for investigation of fraud, deceptive trade practices, and unfair methods of competition in the airline industry, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.


SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$18,457,000 for salaries and expenses of the Surface Transportation Board as proposed by the Senate instead of \$18,563,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes language as proposed by both the House and Senate that allows the Board to offset \$950,000 of its appropriation from fees collected during the fiscal year for a total program level of \$17,507,000.

Union Pacific/Southern Pacific merger. – On December 12, 1997, the Board granted a joint request of Union Pacific Railroad Company and the City of Wichita and Sedgwick County, KS (Wichita/Sedgwick) to toll the 18-month mitigation study pending in Finance Docket No. 32760. The decision indicated that at such time as the parties reach agreement or discontinue negotiations, the Board would take appropriate action.

By petition filed June 26, 1998, Wichita/Sedgwick and UP/SP indicated that they had entered into an agreement, and jointly petitioned the Board to impose the agreement as a condition of the Board's approval of the UP/SP merger. By decision dated July 8, 1998, the Board agreed and imposed the agreement as a condition to the UP/SP merger. The terms of the negotiated agreement remain in effect. If UP/SP or any of its divisions or subsidiaries materially changes or is unable to achieve the assumptions on which the Board based its final environmental mitigation measures, then the Board should reopen Finance Docket 32760 if request by interested parties, and prescribe additional mitigation properly reflecting these changes if shown to be appropriate.



Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad (DM&E).—For more than 3 years, the Surface Transportation Board has been considering an application on the Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad. The Confered Committee believes that the board should complete action on this proceeding. A petitioner has a legitimate expectation of receiving a decision on an application within a reasonable period of time.




Bureau of Transportation Statistics

Office of Airline Information

(Airport and Airway Trust Fund)

The conference agreement deletes funding, proposed by the Senate, for the office of airline information. The House bill contained no similar appropriation.



ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$5,015,000 for salaries and expenses of the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board as proposed by the Senate instead of \$5,046,000 as proposed by the House.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD Salaries and Expenses

The conference agreement includes \$68,000,000 for salaries and expenses of the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), instead of \$66,400,000 as proposed by the House and \$70,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. This provides an increase of \$5,058,000 (8 percent) above the fiscal year 2001 enacted level. The additional \$3,520,000 above the budget estimate will help the NTSB address needed financial management improvements and overtime requirements.



TITLE III GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 301 allows funds for aircraft; motor vehicles; liability insurance; uniforms; or allowances, as authorized by law as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 302 requires pay raises to be funded within appropriated levels in this Act or previous appropriations Acts as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 303 limits appropriations for services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 to the rate for an Executive Level IV as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 304 prohibits funds in this Act for salaries and expenses of more than 105 political and Presidential appointees in the Department of Transportation as proposed by the House instead of 98 political and Presidential appointees as proposed by the Senate. The conferces believe this level of appointees is adequate to cover the recently enacted Transportation Security Administration. Sec. 304 also includes a provision that prohibits political and Presidential personnel to be assigned on temporary detail outside the Department of Transportation or an independent agency funded in this Act except for personnel assigned on temporary detail to the Office of Homeland Security. The House proposed a prohibition on all political and Presidential personnel funded in this Act from being



assigned on temporary detail outside the Department of Transportation or an independent agency. The Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 305 prohibits pay and other expenses for non-Federal parties in regulatory or adjudicatory proceedings funded in this Act as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 306 prohibits obligations beyond the current fiscal year and prohibits transfers of funds unless expressly so provided herein as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 307 limits consulting service expenditures of public record in procurement contracts as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 308 prohibits funds for the National Highway Safety Advisory Commission as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 309 exempts previously made transit obligations from limitations on obligations as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 310 modifies the distribution of the Federal-aid highway program proposed by the Senate. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 311 includes the Senate provision that prohibits recipients of funds made available in this Act to release personal information, including a social security number, medical or disability information, and photographs from a driver's license or motor vehicle record without express consent of the person to whom such information pertains; and prohibits the Secretary



from withholding funds provided in this Act for any grantee if a state is in noncompliance with this provision. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 312 prohibits funds to establish a vessel traffic safety fairway less than five miles wide between Santa Barbara and San Francisco traffic separation schemes as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 313 allows airports to transfer to the Federal Aviation

Administration instrument landing systems as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 314 allows funds for discretionary grants of the Federal Transit Administration for specific projects, except for fixed guideway modernization projects, not obligated by September 30, 2004, and other recoveries to be used for other projects under 49 U.S.C. 5309 as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 315 allows transit funds appropriated before October 1, 2001, and that remain available for expenditure to be transferred as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 316 prohibits funds to compensate in excess of 335 technical staff years under the federally funded research and development center contract between the Federal Aviation Administration and the Center for Advanced Aviation Systems Development as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 317 allows funds received by the Federal Highway

Administration, Federal Transit Administration, and the Federal Railroad

Administration from States, counties, municipalities, other public

authorities, and private sources for expenses incurred for training may be

credited to each agency's respective accounts as proposed by both the House

and Senate.

Sec. 318 rescinds \$9,231,000 of funds made available for the value pricing pilot program under Public Law 105-178 as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed no similar rescission. Sec. 318 also rescinds \$43,742,000 of funds made available for the transportation infrastructure finance and innovation program under Public Law 105-178. The House and Senate proposed no similar rescission.

Sec. 319 allows the Secretary of Transportation to use up to 1 percent of the amounts made available for capital investment grants and loans (49 U.S.C. 5309) for project management oversight (49 U.S.C. 5327) beginning in fiscal year 2002 and thereafter as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed the same provision for fiscal year 2002 only.

Sec. 320 allows funds made available for Alaska or Hawaii ferry boats or ferry terminal facilities to be used to construct new vessels and facilities or to improve existing vessels and facilities, and for repair facilities as proposed by both the House and Senate. Sec. 32 valso includes a provision proposed by the Senate that allows not more than \$3,000,000 of the funds made available for ferry boats may be used by the State of Hawaii to initiate and operate a passenger ferryboat services demonstration project. The House contained no similar provision.



Sec. 321 allows funds received by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics to be subject to the obligation limitation for Federal-aid highways and highway safety construction as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 322 amends section 3030(a) of Public Law 105-178 to authorize final design and construction of the Washington County—Wilsonville to Beaverton commuter rail project as proposed by the Senate. The House contained no similar provision.

Sec. 323 amends section 3030(b) of Public Law 105-178 to authorize alternative analysis and preliminary engineering for the Detroit, Michigan Metropolitan Airport rail project as proposed by the Senate. The House contained no similar provision.

Sec. 324 prohibits the use of funds for any type of training which: (1) does not meet needs for knowledge, skills, and abilities bearing directly on the performance of official duties; (2) could be highly stressful or emotional to the students; (3) does not provide prior notification of content and methods to be used during the training; (4) contains any religious concepts or ideas; (5) attempts to modify a person's values or lifestyle; or (6) is for AIDS awareness training, except for raising awareness of medical ramifications of AIDS and workplace rights as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 325 prohibits the use of funds in this Act for activities designed to influence Congress or a state legislature on legislation of appropriations



except through proper, official channels as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 326 requires compliance with the Buy American Act as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 327 credits to appropriations of the Department of Transportation rebates, refunds, incentive payments, minor fees and other funds received by the Department from travel management centers, charge card programs, the subleasing of building space, and miscellaneous sources as proposed by both the House and Senate. Such funds received shall be available until December 31, 2002.

Sec. 328 authorizes the Secretary of Transportation to allow issuers of any preferred stock to redeem or repurchase preferred stock sold to the Department of Transportation as proposed by the House. The Senate contained no similar provision.

Sec. 329 provides \$225,000 for the Amtrak Reform Council instead of \$450,000 as proposed by the House and \$420,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement did not include the provisions proposed by the House regarding section 203(g)(1) of Public Law 105-134 on the Amtrak Reform Council's recommendations on Amtrak routes identified for closure or realignment. The Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 330 appropriates \$144,000,000 to the Secretary of Transportation to make grants for surface transportation projects instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed no similar appropriation.

(150) The state of the state of

Funds appropriated for surface transportation projects are to be distributed as follows:


| and the second of the second o | |
|--|-------------|
| Fourteen Mile Bridge replacement, Alabama | \$4,300,000 |
| Anderson County, South Carolina Transit System Project | 1,500,000 |
| Arterial Railroad Grade Crossing, California Auburn University Center for Transportation Technology Project, | 2,000,000 |
| Alabama | 20,000,000 |
| Bassett Creek Valley North-South Greenway, Minnesota | 10,000,000 |
| Big South Fork Scenic Railroad enhancement project, Kentucky | 1,500,000 |
| Burlington to Middlebury Vermont Rail Line Project California State Polytechnic University roadways to transit contes | 1,000,000 |
| California California | 2,000,000 |
| Canton-Akron-Cleveland commuter rail, Ohio | 500,000 |
| Charleston South Carolina, Parking Garage Project | 20,000,000 |
| Construction of railroad overpass, US 69, Oklahoma | 2,000,000 |
| Delaware Memorial Bridge Collision Avoidance Project, Delaware | 1,300,000 |
| Enser Bridge, Florida | 500,000 |
| Fairfield, Connecticut Commuter Rail Project | 4,000,000 |
| General Mitchell International Airport Rail Station Project, Milwaukee, Wisconsin | 2,500,000 |
| Greenwood, Mississippi, Rail track relocation and Construction Project | 2,000,000 |
| Hawkins Crossing Interchange at Meridan, I-20/I-59, Missouri | 1,000,000 |
| Highway decking project I-5 corridor, California | 3,500,000 |
| Highway railway grade crossing hazard elimination program, Tennessee | 4,000,000 |
| I-74 Mississippi River Bridge, Mississippi | |
| Kansas City, Missouri Bus Rapid Transit Improvements | 2,000,000 |
| | 5,000,000 |
| Kingvale, California Satellite Operations Control Center Project | 2,000,000 |
| Lake Rail Line, Lakeview, Oregon to Alturas, California | 1,750,000 |
| Las Vegas, Nevada Monorail Project | 500,000 |
| Lincoln to Omaha NE Passenger Rail Project | 200,000 |
| Maine Marine Highway Development Project, Maine | 1,500,000 |
| Marathon County/Wausau MPO, Wisconsin Martinsburg Roundhouse Redevelopment Project, Martinsburg, West | 1,000,000 |
| Minnesota Valley Regional Rail Authority Rehabilitation Project | 2,000,000 |
| Willinesota | 1,000,000 |
| Muskogee grade separation, Oklahoma | 500,000 |
| (156a) | |
| | |

Surface Transportation Projects C

| | - Project Name | Total Conference |
|-----------------|--|------------------|
| Newark, New | v Jersey Penn Station Improvements | 2,000,000 |
| Odyssey Mar | ritime Project, Seattle, Washington | 3,000,000 |
| Portland to A | storia rail improvements, Oregon | 2,000,000 |
| Public exhibit | tion of "America's Transportation Stories", Michigan | 2,000,000 |
| Rail overpass | s crossing, Claremore, Oklahoma | 100,000 |
| Restoration a | and Improvement of the Wichita Air Terminal, Kansas | 150,000 |
| Roane Count | y bridge replacement, Tennessee | 150,000 |
| Route 7 and | 123 improvements in Northern Virginia | 5,000,000 |
| San Bernardii | no, California Metrolink project | 300,000 |
| Santa Teresa | Port of Entry HAZMAT, New Mexico | 1,200,000 |
| Scranton, Per | nnsylvania to New York City Rail Service Project | 1,000,000 |
| Southeast Ma | ain Rail Relocation Project, Moorhead, Minnesota | 1,500,000 |
| Southern Ken | tucky Intermodal Transportation Park, Kentucky | 5,000,000 |
| Syracuse brid | ge improvements on Auto Row, New York | 3,000,000 |
| Truck relief ro | oute along US87, New Big Spring, Texas | 2,000,000 |
| Union County | Red Bridge, Pennsylvania | 1,300,000 |
| Upgrade of 11 | grade crossings, Superior, Wisconsin | 300,000 |
| US80/SR 26, | Georgia | 1,000,000 |
| Utah Central \ | Valley Rail Line Sigurd/Salina to Levan Project | 1,000,000 |
| Ventura Count | ty Highway Video Camera Monitoring Project, California | 500,000 |
| Vertical Clears | ance Improvement, CP Main Line, New York | 1,500,000 |
| Vickers Rail C | rossing grade separation, Northwood, Ohio | 4,000,000 |
| West Laredo N | Multimodal Trade Corridor/grade crossings, Texas | 3,250,000 |
| Whittier Bridge | e between Amesbury and Newburyport, Massachusetts | 1,500,000 |
| Wilkes Barre, I | Pennsylvania to Scranton Passenger Rail Project | 200,000 |

144,000,000

2



Sec. 331 modifies the Senate provision that allows the Coast Guard Yard (Curtis Bay, MD) and other Coast Guard specialized facilities in fiscal year 2002 to qualify as components of the Department of Defense for competition and workload assignment purposes when providing support to the Department of Defense, and allows the Yard and other specialized facilities to enter into joint public-private partnerships and other cooperative arrangements for the performance of work which includes allowing the Coast Guard to pay and receive funds, materials, services and the use of facilities from such public and private entities. The Senate proposed to amend section 648 of title 14, United States Code, to include other Coast Guard specialized facilities designated by the Commandant and included Sec. 331 as a new subsection of section 648. The House contained no similar provision.

Sec. 332 prohibits funds in this Act unless the Secretary of Transportation notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations not less than three full business days before any discretionary grant award, letter of intent, or full funding grant agreement totaling \$1,000,000 or more is announced by the department or its modal administration as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 333 prohibits funds for design or construction of a light rail system in Houston, Texas, instead of prohibiting funds for planning, design, or construction of a light rail system in Houston, Texas, proposed by the House. The Senate proposed no similar provision. The conference agreement also includes a new provision to allow funds available in this Act for a Houston, Texas, metro advanced transit plan project to be available for

obligation under certain conditions. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 334 prohibits funds in this Act for engineering work related to an additional runway at New Orleans International Airport as proposed by the House. The Senate contained no similar provision.

Sec. 335 prohibits funds in this Act to be used to adopt guidelines or regulations requiring airport sponsors to provide the Federal Aviation Administration "without cost" buildings, maintenance, or space for FAA services as proposed by both the House and Senate. The prohibition does not apply to negotiations between FAA and airport sponsors concerning "below market" rates for such services or to grant assurances that require airport sponsors to provide land without cost to the FAA for air traffic control facilities.

Sec. 336 includes the Senate provision that provides funds to administer motor carrier safety programs and motor carrier safety research by allowing the Secretary, as the Secretary determines necessary, to deduct a sum not to exceed two-fifths of 1 percent of all sums made available from the federal lands highways program, the surface transportation program, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program, the National Highway System, the interstate maintenance program, the bridge program, the Appalachian development highway system, and the minimum guarantee program. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 337 includes the Senate provision that authorizes the Federal Aviation Administration to use funds from airport sponsors, including



grants-in-aid for airports funds, for the hiring of additional staff or for obtaining services of consultants for the purpose of facilitating environmental activities related to airport projects that add critical airport capacity to the national air transportation system. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 338 includes the Senate provision that prohibits funds in this Act to be used for developing a new regional airport for southeast Louisiana until a commission of stakeholders submits a comprehensive plan that is approved by the administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration and the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 339 modifies the House and Senate provision that allows States to use highway safety program funds (section 402 of title 23, United States Code) to produce and place highway safety service messages in television, radio, cinema, internet, and print media based on guidance issued by the Secretary of Transportation; and requires the States to report to the Secretary on the use of such funds for public service messages. Sec. 339 also modifies the Senate provision to require that \$8,000,000 of the funds provided for innovative seat belt projects (section 157 of title 23, United States Code) be used by the States, as directed by the Secretary of Transportation, to purchase advertising to publicize the States' seat belt enforcement efforts during one or more of the Operation ABC national mobilizations; and requires that up to \$2,000,000 of the funds provided for innovative seat belt projects be used by the Secretary to evaluate the effectiveness of State seat belt programs that purchase such advertising. The Senate proposed that \$15,000,000 designated for innovative grant funds be used for national

television and radio advertising to support the national law enforcement mobilizations conducted in all 50 states aimed at increasing safety belt and child safety seat use and controlling drunk driving. The House proposed no similar proposal on funding.

Sec. 340 amends item number 1348 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to include "Construct Gastineau Channel Second Crossing to Douglas Island" as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed to amend item 1348 to include "Second Douglas Island Crossing".

Sec. 341 prohibits funds for the Office of the Secretary of Transportation to approve assessments or reimbursable agreements pertaining to funds appropriated to the modal administrations in this Act, unless such assessments or agreements have completed the normal reprogramming process for Congressional notification as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 342 amends item 642 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to redesignate such project in Washington as the "Passenger only ferry to serve Kitsap and King Counties to Seattle" instead of "passenger only ferry to serve Kitsap County-Seattle" as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 343 amends item 1793 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to redesignate such project in Washington as the "Passenger only ferry to serve Kitsap and King Counties to Seattle" instead of "passenger only ferry to serve Kitsap County-Seattle" as proposed by both the House and Senate.

Sec. 344 amends item 576 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to allow for construction of the Missouri Center for Advanced Highway Safety as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 345 includes the House provision that designates the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority transit station located at Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport as the "Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport Station", and directs the transit authority to modify signs, maps, directories, documents and other records published by the authority to reflect the designation. The Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 346 prohibits funds in this Act to any person or entity convicted of violating the Buy American Act as proposed by the House. The Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 347 modifies the Senate provision that allows discretionary bridge program funds in fiscal year 2002 to be used for historic covered bridges eligible for federal assistance under section 1224 of Public 105-178. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 348 includes the Senate provision that prohibits funds for Coast Guard Acquisition, construction, and improvements after the fifteenth day of any quarter of any fiscal year unless the Commandant of the Coast Guard first submits a quarterly report to the House and Senate Committees on

Appropriations on all major Coast Guard acquisition projects. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 349 reduces transportation administrative service center funds by \$5,000,000 instead of reducing funds by \$37,000,000 and limiting fiscal year 2002 obligations to no more than \$120,323,000 instead of limiting obligations to no more than \$88,323,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 350. The conference agreement modifies provisions proposed by the House and Senate regarding the safety of cross-border trucking between the United States and Mexico. The House proposed to prohibit the use of funds for the processing of applications by Mexico-domiciled motor carriers to operate in the interior of the United States, beyond the commercial zones adjacent to the U.S.-Mexican border. The Senate proposed to condition the use of funds to process applications upon the certification by officials of the Department of Transportation that specific safety-related requirements had been met and upon promulgation in final form of related regulations. The conference agreement includes multiple provisions which, among other things:

1. Require safety examinations by the DOT of all Mexican motor carriers before they are granted conditional operating authority. Fifty percent of all such examinations are to be conducted on-site, and on-site examinations are to cover at least fifty percent of carriers and 50 percent of estimated truck traffic in a given year. An exemption from the on-site requirement is provided for Mexican motor carriers with

three or fewer commercial vehicles. However, such carriers may be subject to on-site examinations or reviews at the discretion of the DOT;

- 2. Require a full safety compliance review and a satisfactory rating resulting from that review before any Mexican motor carrier can be granted permanent operating authority. Provisions that require on-site performance of safety examinations also apply to compliance reviews. Any carrier that has not received an on-site safety examination must undergo an on-site compliance review. The result of this provision is that every Mexican motor carrier operating four or more commercial vehicles and applying for cross-border authority, will be required to undergo at least one safety or compliance review conducted on-site at the carrier's place of business in Mexico before permanent operating authority is granted;
- 3. Require Federal and State inspectors at the border to electronically verify the validity of driver's license of every driver carrying a placardable quantity of hazardous material, every driver undergoing a Level I safety inspection, and at least 50 percent of all other Mexican motor carrier drivers crossing the border;
- 4. Require all Mexican motor carriers granted authority to operate in the United States to display a Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance decal verifying satisfactory completion of a safety inspection. These vehicles must undergo safety inspections at least every 90 days in order to display such a decal. This requirement will no longer apply

to a carrier once a carrier has operated for three consecutive years under permanent operating authority;

- 5. Require that the 10 highest volume border crossings be equipped with weigh-in motion systems and that inspectors verify the weight of each Mexican motor carrier entering the United States. Of this total, 5 crossings shall be equipped before the border is opened and the remainder shall be equipped within 12 months of enactment of this Act.
- 6. Require the Department of Transportation to issue interim final safety-related regulations and policies;
- 7. Prohibit Mexican motor carriers from crossing into the United States at any border crossing where a certified motor carrier safety inspector is not on duty or where there is not adequate capacity to either conduct a sufficient number of meaningful vehicle safety inspections or accommodate vehicles placed out-of-service as a result of safety inspections.
- 8. Prohibit vehicles that are owned or leased by a Mexican motor carrier, and that carry hazardous materials, to operate beyond the commercial zone, until the United States has completed an agreement with the government of Mexico to ensure that drivers of vehicles carrying a placardable quantity of hazardous materials meet substantially the same safety requirements as those met by U.S. drivers.

- 9. Prohibit any Mexican motor carrier from operating beyond the commercial zone until (1) the Department of Transportation Inspector General first conducts a comprehensive review of the DOT's ability to ensure safety on U.S. highways once Mexican motor carriers are allowed to operate within the internal U.S.; and (2) the Secretary of Transportation certifies in writing that the opening of the border does not pose an unacceptable safety risk to the American public; and
- 10. Require the DOT IG to conduct a follow up review at least 180 days following the first review cited above and then annually thereafter.

The House proposed prohibiting funds in this Act to process applications by Mexico-domiciled motor carriers for conditional or permanent authority to operate beyond the United States municipalities and commercial zones adjacent to the United States-Mexico border. The Senate proposed prohibiting funds for the review or processing of an application by a Mexican motor carrier for authority to operate beyond United States municipalities and commercial zones on the United States-Mexico border until the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration performs full safety compliance reviews and inspections of Mexican motor carriers; and until the Department of Transportation Inspector General certifies in writing that certain criteria are met pertaining to fully trained inspectors, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, the information infrastructure of the Mexican government, border crossing capacity, and an accessible safety monitoring database.

Sec. 351 includes the Senate provision that directs the Secretary of Transportation to include all public and private non-federal contributions made on or after January 1, 2000, for the regional transportation commission resort corridor fixed guideway project in Clark County, Nevada, to be used to meet the non-federal share requirement of any element or phase of the project. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 352 modifies the Senate provision that requires the Secretary, in consultation with the Comptroller General of the United States, to conduct a study of the hazards and risks to public health and safety, the environment, and the economy associated with the transportation of hazardous and radioactive materials. The provision requires the study to be completed not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act. The conferees expect that radiopharmaceuticals and medical radionuclides should be exempt from this study. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 353 modifies the Senate provision that directs the State of Georgia to give priority consideration to improving the Johnson Ferry Road, including the bridge over the Chattahoochee River, and to widening Abernathy Road with funds apportioned to the State of Georgia from revenue aligned budget authority by also directing the State of Alabama to give priority consideration to construction of the approaches to the Patton Island Bridge with funds apportioned to the State of Alabama from revenue aligned budget authority and for planning, design, engineering, and construction of an interchange on I-55 at approximately mile marker 114 and connector roads in Madison County with funds apportioned to the State of



Mississippi from revenue aligned budget authority. The House proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 354 includes the Senate provision that amends section 355(a) of the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 to require certification by the Secretary that the states of New Hampshire and Maine have achieved a safety belt use rate of not less than 50 percent. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 355 includes the Senate provision that requires the Secretary of Transportation to conduct a study on the cost and benefits of constructing a third bridge across the Mississippi River in the Memphis, Tennessee, metropolitan area. The provision requires the study be submitted to the Congress not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 356 provides the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Transportation should not take any action that would diminish or revoke any exemption from certain restrictions on maximum driving time and on-duty time in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act for commercial motor vehicle drivers as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 357 transfers the Point Retreat Light Station, including all property under lease as of June 1, 2000, to the Alaska Lighthouse Association, as authorized in Public Law 105-383. The conferees note that the transfer is subject to conditions contained in that Act and furthermore



expects that public access to the property for recreation, hunting, and fishing will be largely unchanged. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 358 modifies the Senate provision that directs the State of Minnesota to give priority consideration to the Southeast main and rail relocation project in Moorhead and to improving I-35 W at Lake Street in Minneapolis with funds apportioned to the State of Minnesota from revenue aligned budget authority. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 359 directs the Secretary of Transportation to approve the use of National highway system and surface transportation program funds for construction of type II noise barriers in specific locations in the States of Georgia Minnesota and Pennsylvania instead of solely in the State of Georgia as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 360 allows funds provided in Public Law 106-346 to be available for the widening of U.S. 177 from SH-33 to 32nd Street in Stillwater, Oklahoma. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 361 amends section 3030(d)(3) of Public Law 105-178 to authorize the Alabama State docks intermodal passenger and freight facility for bus and bus-related facilities funding. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 362 amends section 1105(c) of Public Law 102-240 to include the Louisiana Highway 1 corridor from Grand Isle, Louisiana, along Louisiana Highway 1 to the intersection with United States Route 90 as a

(168)

high priority corridor on the national highway system. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 363 amends item 425 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to extend and improve Louisiana Route 42 from and along U.S. 61 to I-10 in Ascension and East Baton Rouge Parishes in the State of Louisiana. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 364 amends items 111 and 1583 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to include other areas in the city of Paducah and McCracken County, Kentucky. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 365 amends section 1105(c)(3) of Public Law 102-240 to clarify the Kentucky corridor by including the Louie B. Nunn Parkway as part of the Interstate 66 high priority corridor of the national highway system. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 366 amends section 1105(c)(15) of Public Law 102-240 to include the existing Purchase Parkway from the Tennessee state line to Interstate 24 in Kentucky as part of the Interstate 69 high priority corridor of the national highway system. The House and Senate proposed no similar provision.

Sec. 367 amends section 1105(e)(5)(B)(i) of Public Law 102-240 to designate the Purchase Parkway corridor as interstate route 69 and the Louie B. Nunn Parkway corridor as interstate route 66; and directs the

Commonwealth of Kentucky to erect signs identifying such corridors as "future" interstates. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 368 allows capital investment funds available to the Southern coalition for advanced transportation (SCAT) in Public Law 106-69 and Public Law 106-346 that remain unobligated to be transferred to the transit planning and research account for the electric transit vehicle institute in Tennessee. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 369 makes technical amendments to Public Law 107-20 to clarify the source of funding under federal-aid highways. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 370 allows previously provided funds for the Riverside Expressway in Fairmont, West Virginia, to be used to carry out any project eligible under title 23, United States Code, in the vicinity of Fairmont, West Virginia. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 371 amends item 71 in the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 to allow traffic safety and pedestrian improvements in downtown Miamisburg, Ohio. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 372 amends item 258 in the table under the heading, "Capital investment grants" of Public Law 106-69 to allow funds for the Marble Valley regional transit district buses. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.



Sec. 373 amends item 73 in the table contained in section 1106(b) of Public Law 102-240 to allow \$5,700,000 of the funds provided for the Southtowns connector in Buffalo, New York, to be used for a parking facility for the Inner Harbor redevelopment project in Buffalo, New York. The House and Senate proposed no similar provisions.

Sec. 374 amends item 630 of the table contained in section 1602 of Public Law 105-178 as amended by section 1102 of chapter 11 of Public Law 106-554 to allow funds for the construction of a parking facility for the Inner Harbor/redevelopment project in Buffalo, New York.

The conference agreement includes under Title I, Federal Aviation Administration, Aviation insurance revolving fund, the provision that authorizes the Secretary of Transportation to make expenditures and investments related to aviation insurance activities under chapter 443 of title 49, Unites States Code as proposed by the Senate. The House proposed to include this provision under Title III.

The conference agreement deletes the House provision that repeals section 232 of Appendix E of Public Law 106-113 that pertains to funding for the James A. Farley Post Office in New York.

The conference agreement deletes the House provision that prohibits funds in this Act to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders pertaining to the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol.

The conference agreement deletes the House provision that prohibits funds in this Act for the planning, design, development, or construction of the California State Route 710 freeway extension project through El Sereno, South Pasadena, and Pasadena, California.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that directs that the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall maintain an onboard staffing level at the Coast Guard Yard in Curtis Bay, Maryland, of not less than 530 full time equivalent civilian employees and provides that the Commandant may reconfigure his vessel maintenance schedule and new constructions projects to maximize Yard employment as proposed by the Senate.



The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that directs the Secretary of Transportation in cooperation with the administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration to encourage a locally developed and executed plan for modernizing O'Hare International Airport, addressing Northwest corridor traffic congestion, increasing commercial air service at Gary-Chicago Airport and Greater Rockford Airport, preserving and utilizing existing Chicago-area reliever and general aviation airports, and moving forward with a third Chicago-area airport. The provision also directs the Secretary and FAA administrator to work with Congress to enact a federal solution to address the aviation capacity crisis in the Chicago area, including northwest Indiana, if such a plan cannot be developed and executed.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that amends section 8335(a) of title 5, United States Code, to allow air traffic controllers in the civil service retirement system who face mandatory separation at age 56 to extend their service beyond age 56 to the earliest date eligible for either controller early retirement or for CSRS optional retirement, whichever comes first, unless the Secretary determines that such action would compromise safety. A similar provision was included in the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2002.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that amends section 1023(h) of Public Law 102-240 to allow all over-the-road buses to be exempted from federal axle weight restrictions that are presently applicable only to public transit buses.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that amends item 143 in the table under Capital Investment Grants of Public Law 105-277 and item 167 in the table under Capital Investment Grants of Public Law 106-69 to allow funds for Northern New Mexico park and ride facilities and State of New Mexico, buses and bus related facilities. These amendments were included in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2001.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that establishes new eligibility criteria, as proposed in the budget, for communities in the United States (except Alaska) to receive essential air service subsidies.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that requires up to \$750,000 of the funds appropriated for the Federal Railroad Administration, Railroad research and development be expended to pay 25 percent of the total cost of a freight and passenger rail infrastructure study of the Baltimore, Maryland, area. and requires that the Norfolk-Southern Corporation, the CSX Corporation, and the State of Maryland contribute a total amount of equal funding for this study. The conference agreement addresses the Baltimore, Maryland, freight and passenger rail infrastructure study under Title I, Federal Railroad Administration, Research and development account. The House proposed no similar provision.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that amends section 41703 of title 49, United States Code, to include a new section regarding the transfer of cargo at Anchorage International Airport. The conferees note that the Department of Transportation has not articulated a consistent strategy for achieving "open skies" through the current bilateral

(71)

negotiating process or through multilateral negotiations. Accordingly, the conferees direct the department to assess the current state of international aviation negotiations and report by March 1, 2002, to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations regarding emerging multilateral or bilateral international aviation negotiating strategies, including whether those strategies should envision cargo transfer at domestic airports or cargo transfer rights for United States flag carriers at international airports. This report should include specific reference to air transportation issues in Alaska and other similarly situated airports in the United States, and address whether scheduled or anticipated bilateral or multilateral negotiations should address cargo transfer issues at United States airports. The report should also compare the cargo transfer regimes for similarly situated foreign airports engaged in air cargo carriage and transfer to the regimes in place for Alaskan and other similarly situated domestic airports in the United States.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that directs the Secretary of Transportation to give priority consideration to applications for airport improvement grants for Addison Airport, Addison, Texas; Pearson Airpark, Vancouver, Washington; Mobile Regional Airport, Mobile, Alabama; Marks Airport, Mississippi; Madison Airport, Mississippi; and Birmingham International Airport, Birmingham, Alabama [specific airports]. The conference agreement addresses airport improvement grants under Title I, Grants-in-aid for airports.

The conference agreement deletes the Senate provision that amends section 5117(b)(3) of Public Law 105-178 regarding follow-on deployment of intelligent transportation infrastructure systems and specifies the follow-

175

on deployment areas in specific metropolitan areas. The House proposed no similar provision.

| +139 | | | | • | -139 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
|-------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| (+4,533) | (67,778) | (67,349) | (68,446) | (69,500) | (63,245) | Subtotal |
| (-81) | (6,141) | (6,141) | (6,331) | (6,331) | (6,222) | Office of the Chief Information Officer |
| (+59) | (1,321) | (1,281) | (1,321) | (1,321) | (1,262) | Office of Intelligence and Security |
| (+48) | (1,240) | (1,213) | (1,251) | (1,251) | (1,192) | Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization |
| (+11) | (507) | (507) | (523) | (523) | (496) | Board of Contract Appeals |
| (+23) | (1,204) | (1,204) | (1,241) | (1,241) | (1,181) | Executive Secretariat |
| (+49) | (1,723) | (1,723) | (1,776) | (1,776) | (1,674) | Office of Public Affairs |
| (+230) | (19,250) | (18,236) | (20,262) | (20,262) | (19,020) | Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration |
| (+132) | (2,282) | (2,214) | (2,282) | (2,282) | (2,150) | Office of the Assistant Secretary for Governmental Affairs |
| (+366) | (7,728) | (7,728) | (7,728) | (7,728) | (7,362) | Office of the Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs |
| (+132) | (7,421) | (7,421) | (7,650) | (7,650) | (7,289) | International Affairs |
| | | | | | | Office of the Assistant Secretary for Aviation and |
| (+47) | (3,058) | (3,058) | (3,153) | (3,153) | (3,011) | Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy |
| (+3,383) | (13,355) | (14,075) | (12,374) | (13,355) | (9,972) | Office of the General Counsel |
| (+32) | (619) | (619) | (625) | (638) | (587) | Immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary |
| (+102) | (1,929) | (1,929) | (1,929) | (1,989) | (1,827) | Immediate Office of the Secretary |
| +4,533 | 67,778 | 67,349 | 68,446 | 69,500 | 63,245 | Salaries and expenses |
| | | | | | | Office of the Secretary |
| | | | | | | TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION |
| vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | Request | Enacted | |
| Conference | | | | FY 2002 | FY 2001 | |
| | | | | | | |

NOTE: FY01 rescissions included in Net total lines.



P. 1)

| Net total | Total, Office of the Secretary | Office of civil rights | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 86,653 | 87,285 -192 -440 | 8,140 -18 11,000 -24 (126,887) 1,900 -4 (13,775) 3,000 -7 | FY 2001 Enacted |
| 87,093 | 87,093 | 8,500 5,193 (125,323) 900 (18,367) 3,000 | FY 2002 Request |
| 99,039 | 99,039 | 8,500 5,193 (125,323) 900 (18,367) 3,000 | House |
| 95,341 | 95,341 | 8,500 15,592 15,592 (125,323) 900 (18,367) 3,000 | Senate |
| 105,171 | 1,355,171 -1,250,000 | 8,500 1,250,000 -1,250,000 11,993 (125,323) 900 (18,367) 3,000 | |
| | | +360 +18 +1,250,000 -1,250,000 +993 +24 (-1,564) -1,000 +4 (+4,592) +7 +13,000 +440 | |



| | | | 2) | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | | - | | Conference |
| | Enacted | Request | House | Senate | Conference | vs. enacted |
| Coast Guard | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses Defense function | 2,851,000 341,000 | 3,042,588 340,250 | 3,042,588 340,000 | 2,732,588 695,000 | 2,942,000 440,000 | + 91,000 + 99,000 |
| Subtotal | 3,192,000 | 3,382,838 | 3,382,588 | 3,427,588 | 3,382,000 | + 190,000 |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | -6,967 92,000 | | | | | +6,967 -92,000 |
| | 18,000 | | | | *************************************** | -18,000 |
| Acquisition, construction, and improvements | 415,000 | 659,323 | 600,000 | 669,323 | 636,354 | + 221,354 |
| Aircraft | (37,650) | (500) | (26,000) | (12,500) | (9,500) | (-28,150) |
| Other equipment | (60,113) | (95,471) | (74,173) | (97,921) | (79,293) | (+19,180) |
| Shore facilities & aids to navigation facilities | (63,336) | (79,262) | (44,206) | (88,862) | (73,100) | (+9,764) |
| Personnel and related support | (55,151) | (66,700) | (64,631) | (65,200) | (64,631) | (+9,480) |
| Integrated Deepwater Systems | (42,300) | (338,000) | (300,000) | (325,200) | (320,190) | (+277,890) |
| Subtotal, A C & I (excl rescissions) | (415,000) | (659,323) | (600,000) | (669,323) | (636,354) | (+221,354) |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | -869 -12,000 | | | -8,700 | | + 869 + 12,000 |
| Supplemental (P.L. 107-20) | 4,000 | | | | | 4,000 |



| Operations 6,544,235 6,886,000 6,870,000 6,916,000 Air traffic services (5,200,274) (5,447,421) (5,494,883) (5,474,21) Aviation regulation and certification (694,979) (744,744) (727,870) (783,994) Civil aviation security (139,301) (130,154) (135,949) (150,154) Research and acquisition (189,988) (196,674) (195,258) (196,674) Commercial transcortation (12,000) (14,706) (12,254) (14,456) | Net total | Total, Coast Guard | 16,927 16,927 15,466 15,466 876,346 876,346 83,194 83,194 21,722 21,722 | FY 2001 FY 2002 Enacted Request House |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 000 6,916,000 83) (5,447,421) 870 (783,994) 849) (150,154) 58) (196,674) | 243 5,101,866 | 243 5,110,566 -8,700 | 00 | ouse Senate |
| 6,886,000 (5,452,871) (768,769) (150,154) (195,799) | 5,030,509 | 5,030,509 | | e Conference |
| + 341,765 (+ 252,597) (+ 73,790) (+ 10,853) (+ 5,811) | +417,846 | +397,614 +8,232 +12,000 | +227 +37 -34 +38,346 +98,346 +2,819 +176 -1,098 +40 +108 | Conference vs. enacted |



| (+414,324) | (2,998,280) | (2,998,280) | (2,998,280) | (2,969,000) | (2,583,956) | Net subtotal |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| +4+307,280 | -301,720 | -301,720 | -301,720 | -331,000 | -609,000 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| (+100,000) (+7,040) | (3,300,000) | (3,300,000) | (3,300,000) | (3,300,000) | (3,200,000) | (Limitation on obligations) |
| (-1,400,000) | (1,800,000) | (1,800,000) | (1,800,000) | (1,800,000) | (3,200,000) | Grants-in-aid for airports (Airport and Airway Trust Fund): (Liquidation of contract authorization) |
| +411 | | | | | 411 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| +8,000 | 195,000 | 195,808 | 191,481 | 187,781 | 187,000 | Research, engineering, and development (Airport and Airway Trust Fund) |
| -15,000 | -15,000 | *************************************** | *************************************** | | | Rescission (Airport and Airway Trust Fund) |
| +5,845 | | | | | -5,845 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| + 257,235 | 2,914,000 | 2,914,000 | 2,914,000 | 2,914,000 | 2,656,765 | Facilities & equipment (Airport & Airway Trust Fund) |
| +14,397 | | | | | -14,397 123,000 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-38) |
| (+341,765) | (6,886,000) | (6,916,000) | (6,870,000) | (6,886,000) | (6,544,235) | Subtotal |
| (-9,000) | (-9,000) | (-9,000) | (-7,718) | | | Undistributed |
| (+4,170) | (109,208) | (116,208) | (108,776) | (116,208) | (105,038) | Staff offices |
| (-13,404) | (85,943) | (90,893) | (84,613) | (90,893) | (99,347) | Regional coordination |
| (+14,652) | (69,516) | (74,516) | (67,635) | (74,516) | (54,864) | Human resources |
| (+1,840) | (50,284) | (50,684) | (50,480) | (50,684) | (48,444) | Financial services |
| Conference vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | FY 2002 Request | FY 2001 Enacted | |





| | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | | | • | Conference |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Enacted | Request | House | Senate | Conference | vs. enacted |
| Small community air service development pilot program | | | *************************************** | 20,000 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Total, Federal Aviation Administration(Limitations on obligations) | 9,511,000 (3,200,000) | 9,987,781 (3,300,000) | 9,975,481 (3,300,000) | 10,045,808 (3,300,000) | 9,995,000 (3,300,000) | +484,000 (+100,000) |
| Total budgetary resources | (12,711,000) (13,287,781) | (13,287,781) | (13,275,481) | (13,275,481) (13,345,808) | (13,295,000) | (+584,000) |
| ATB rescissions | (-7,040) -20,657 -609,000 | -331,000 | -301,720 | -301,720 | -316,720 | (+7,040) +20,657 +292,280 |
| Net total | (12,074,303) | (12,956,781) | (12,973,761) | (12,973,761) (13,044,088) (12,978,280) (+903,977) | (12,978,280) | (+903,977) |
| Federal Highway Administration | | | | | | ٠ |
| Limitation on transportation research | (295,119) | (317,693) | (311,837) (447,500) | (316,521) (447,500) | (311,000) | (+15,881) |
| Federal-aid highways (Highway Trust Fund): (Limitation on obligations) | (26,603,806) (27,042,994) (-58,528) | (27,042,994) | (27,197,693) | (27,197,693) (27,400,000) (27,280,000) | (27,280,000) | (+676,194) (+58,528) |
| | | | | | | |

| -9,231 | -9,231 | -9,231 | *************************************** | | | Value pricing project (rescission) (Highway I rust rund) (sec. 318) |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| + 649 -5,750 | -5,750 | -5,750 | -6,000 | | 649 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| -27,600 -79,963 | 200,000 | 350,000 | | | 27,600 279,963 | Emergency highway restoration (P.L. 107-20) |
| +1,584 | | | | | -1,584 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| -720.000 | | | | | 720 000 | Emergency Relief Program (Highway Trust Fund) |
| +15,918 | *************************************** | *************************************** | | | -15,918 | Rescission (P.L. 107-20) |
| (-114,000) (+2,000,000) | (955,000) (30,000,000) | (955,000) (30,000,000) | (955,000) (30,000,000) | (955,000) (30,000,000) | (1,069,000) (28,000,000) | (Exempt obligations)(Liquidation of contract authorization) |
| (+2,137,298) | (31,799,104) | (31,919,103) | (31,716,797) | (31,563,157) | (29,661,806) | Subtotal, limitation on obligations |
| (-23,8%) | (-23,8%) | (-23,897) | (-23,8%) | (-22,837) | | RABA transfer to FMCSA |
| (+6,728) | *************************************** | | | | (-6,728) | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| (+1,485,000) | (4,543,000) | (4,543,000) | (4,543,000) | (4,543,000) | (3,058,000) | Subtotal, RABA |
| (+133,000) | | (71,300) | | (56,300) | | Border infrastructure construction prog (RABA) |
| | | (45,000) (100,000) | | (45,000) (100,000) | | Innovative transportation solutions program (RABA) Alternative transportation grant prog (RABA) |
| (+1,352,000) | (4,410,000) | (4,326,700) | (4,543,000) | (4,341,700) | (3,058,000) | Revenue aligned budget authority (RABA) |
| vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | Request | Enacted | |
| Conference | | | | FY 2002 | FY 2001 | |
| | | | ", | Series of Contain | 100111111111111111111111111111111111111 | (a Bi |

| | | -2,333 | | •••••••••••• | | Rescission of contract authority |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| (+389) | | *************************************** | | | (-389) | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| (+5,000) | (182,000) | (183,059) | (182,000) | (182,000) | (177,000) | (Limitation on obligations) |
| (+28,8%) | (205,896) | (204,837) | (205,896) | (204,837) | (177,000) | National motor carrier safety program (Highway Trust Fund): (Liquidation of contract authorization) |
| -6,665 | -6,665 | -6,665 | | | | Rescission |
| (+17,806) (+202) | (110,000) | (105,000) | (92,307) | (139,007) | (92,194) (-202) | Motor carrier safety (limitation on obligations administrative expenses) |
| | | | | | | Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration |
| (+1,220,419) | (32,895,381) | (32,665,797) (33,209,122) (32, | (32,665,797) | (32,518,157) | (31,674,962) (32,518,157) | Net total |
| (+65,256) +2,233 42,805 | -58,723 | -14,981 | -6,000 | | (-65,256) -2,233 -15,918 | ATB rescissions |
| (+1,195,735) | (32,954,104) | (32,671,797) (33,224,103) | (32,671,797) | (32,518,157) | (31,758,369) (32,518,157) | Total budgetary resources |
| -107,563 -720,000 (+2,137,298) (-114,000) | 200,000 (31,799,104) (955,000) | 350,000 (31,919,103) (955,000) | (31,716,797) (955,000) | (31,563,157) | 307,563 720,000 (29,661,806) (1,069,000) | Total, Federal Highway Administration |
| 43,742 | 43,742 | | | | | TIFIA (rescission) (Highway Trust Fund) (sec. 318) |
| Conference vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | FY 2002 Request | FY 2001 Enacted | |



| | FY 2001 Enacted | FY 2002 Request | House | Senate | Conference | Conference vs. enacted |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| RABA transfer from FHWA: Border-State grants | | (18,000) (4,837) | (23,896) | (18,000) (4,837) | (18,000) | (+18,000) (+5,8%) |
| Subtotal, RABA | | (22,837) | (23,896) | (22,837) | (23,896) | (+23,896) |
| Subtotal, limitation on obligations Border enforcement activities (sec. 350) | (177,000) | (204,837) | (205,896) | (205,8%) | (205,896) 25,866 | (+28,8%) +25,866 |
| Total, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Admin(Limitations on obligations) | (269,194) | (343,844) | (298,203) | (310,8%) | 25,866 (315,896) | + 25,866 (+ 46,702) |
| Total budgetary resources | (269,194) (-591) | (343,844) | (298,203) | (310,8%) | (341,762) | (+72,568) (+591) -6,665 |
| Net total | (268,603) | (343,844) | (298,203) | (301,898) | (335,097) | (+66,494) |



| | | 469 | | | | Rescission of contract authority |
|---|------------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|---|
| (+469) | | | | | (469) | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| (+1,000) | (10,000) | (10,000) | (10,000) | (10,000) | (9,000) | State highway safety data grants (Sec. 411) |
| (+2,000) | (38,000) | (38,000) | (38,000) | (38,000) | (36,000) | (Sec. 410) |
| | | | | | | Alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures grants |
| (+2,000) | (15,000) | (15,000) | (15,000) | (15,000) | (13,000) | Occupant protection incentive grants (Sec. 405) |
| (+5,000) | (160,000) | (160,000) | (160,000) | (160,000) | (155,000) | Highway safety programs (Sec. 402) |
| | | | | | | (Limitation on obligations): |
| (+10,000) | (223,000) | (223,000) | (223,000) | (223,000) | (213,000) | (Liquidation of contract authorization) |
| \$ 2 | | | | | | Highway traffic safety grants (Highway Trust Fund): |
| (+158) | | | | | (-158) | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| + 261 | | | | | -261 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| (+9,388) | (200,264) | (204,484) | (196,420) | (196,000) | (190,876) | Subtotal, Operations and research |
| | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | National Driver Register (Highway trust fund) |
| -1,516 | -1,516 | -1,516 | *************************************** | | ***** | Rescission of contract authority |
| | (72,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) | (Limitation on obligations) |
| *************************************** | (72,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) | (Liquidation of contract authorization) |
| | | | | | | Operations and research (Highway trust fund): |
| + 10,904 | 127,780 | 132,000 | 122,420 | 122,000 | 116,876 | Operations and research |
| | | | | - | | National Highway Traffic Safety Administration |
| vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | Request | Enacted | |
| Conference | | | | FY 2002 | FY 2001 | |
| | | | 2) | | | 7 |

| +55 | | | | | -55 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------|---|
| +7,200 | 32,300 | 40,000 | 25,100 | 25,100 | 25,100 | Next generation high-speed rail |
| | *************************************** | | -20,000 | | •••••••••• | Rescission |
| +44 | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** | | 4 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | Pennsylvania Station Redevelopment project (advance appropriations, FY 2001, FY 2002, FY 2003) 1/ |
| + 37 | | | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | *************************************** | -37 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| -17,000 | | | ***************** | | 17,000 | Rhode Island Rail Development |
| | | | *************************************** | -14,000 | | Offsetting collections |
| +56 | | *************************************** | *************************************** | | -56 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| +3,675 | 29,000 | 30,325 | 27,375 | 28,325 | 25,325 | Railroad research and development |
| *************************************** | | | *************************************** | 41,000 | | Offsetting collections |
| + 224 | | | ****************** | | -224 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| +9,140 | 110,857 | 111,357 | 110,461 | 111,357 | 101,717 | Safety and operations |
| | | | | | | Federal Railroad Administration |
| (+20,276) | (423,264) | (427,015) | (419,420) | (419,000) | (402,988) | Net total |
| -1,516 | -1,516 | -1,985 | | | | Rescissions |
| + 261 | | | | | -261 | ATB rescissions |
| (+627) | | | | | (-627) | ATB rescissions |
| (+20,904) | (424,780) | (429,000) | (419,420) | (419,000) | (403,876) | Total budgetary resources |
| + 10,904 (+ 10,000) | 129,780 (295,000) | 134,000 (295,000) | 124,420 (295,000) | 124,000 (295,000) | 118,876 (285,000) | Total, National Highway Traffic Safety Admin(Limitations on obligations) |
| Conference vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | FY 2002 Request | FY 2001 Enacted | |
| | | | • | | | |



| (+3,028) | (67,000) | (67,000) | (67,000) | (67,000) | (63,972) | Subtotal, Administrative expenses |
|---------------------------|------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| (+2,400) | (53,600) | (53,600) | (53,600) | (53,600) | (51,200) | Administrative expenses (Highway Trust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (limitation on obligations) |
| + 600 + 28 | 13,400 | 13,400 | 13,400 | 13,400 | 12,800 -28 | Administrative expensesAdministration Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| -10,345 | 733,633 | 755,158 | 684,412 | 651,258 | 743,978 | Net total |
| -11,985 +1,640 | 733,633 | 755,158 | 704,412 -20,000 | 651,258 | 745,618 -1,640 | Total, Federal Railroad Administration |
| +1,147 | 521,476 | 521,476 | 521,476 | 521,476 | 521,476 -1,147 | Capital grants to the National Kailroad Passenger Corporation |
| 7 33 | | 12,000 | | | -33 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| + 44 -15,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | 20,000 -44 15,000 | Alaska Railroad rehabilitation |
| Conference vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | FY 2002 Request | FY 2001 Enacted | |



| | | | • | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | FY 2001 Enacted | FY 2002 Request | House | Senate | Conference | Conference vs. enacted |
| Formula grants | -1.360 | 718,400 | 718,400 | 718,400 | 718,400 | +49,400 |
| Formula grants (Highway Trust Fund) (limitation on obligations) | (2,676,000) (-5,887) | (2,873,600) | (2,873,600) | (2,873,600) | (2,873,600) | (+197,600) (+5,887) |
| Subtotal, Formula grants | (3,343,640) | (3,592,000) | (3,592,000) | (3,592,000) | (3,592,000) | (+248,360) |
| University transportation research (Highway Trust Fund, Mass | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | |
| Transit Acet) (limitation on obligations)Across the board (0.22%) rescission | (4,800) (-3) | (4,800) | (4,800) | (4,800) | (4,800) | (+3) |
| Subtotal, University transportation research | (6,000) | (6,000) | (6,000) | (6,000) | (6,000) | |
| Transit planning and research | 22,200 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | +800 |
| Transit Account) (limitation on obligations) | (87,800) | (93,000) | (93,000) | (93,000) | (93,000) | (+5,200) |
| Subtotal, Transit planning and research | (110,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (+6,000) |
| Rural transportation assistance | (5,250) | (5,250) | (5,250) | (5,250) | (5,250) | |
| Transit cooperative research | (8,250) | (8,250) | (8,250) | (4 ,000) (8,250) | (4,000) (8,250) | |
| Metropolitan planning | (52,114) | (55,422) | (55,422) | (55,422) | (55,422) | (+3,308) |



| | FY 2001 Enacted | FY 2002 Request | House | Senate | Conference | Conference vs. enacted |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| State planningNational planning and research | (10,886) (29,500) | (11,578) (31,500) | (11,578) (31,500) | (11,578) (31,500) | (11,578) (31,500) | (+692) (+2,000) |
| Subtotal | (110,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (+6,000) |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | 49 | | *************************************** | | • | +49 |
| Trust fund share of expenses (Highway Trust Fund) (liquidation of contract authorization) | (5,016,600) | (5,397,800) | (5,397,800) | (5,397,800) | (5,397,800) | (+381,200) |
| Capital investment grants | 529,200 | 568,200 | 568,200 | 568,200 | 568,200 | + 39,000 |
| Capital investment grants (Highway Trust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (limitation on obligations) | (2,116,800) | (2,272,800) | (2,272,800) | (2,272,800) | (2,272,800) | (+156,000) |
| Subtotal, Capital investment grants | (2,646,000) | (2,841,000) | (2,841,000) | (2,841,000) | (2,841,000) | (+195,000) |
| Fixed guideway modernization Buses and bus-related facilities | (1,058,400) (529,200) | (1,136,400) (568,200) | (1,136,400) (568,200) | (1,136,400) (568,200) | (1,136,400) (568,200) | (+78,000) (+39,000) |
| New starts (general funds) | (1,058,400) | (1,136,400) | (1,136,400) | (1,136,400) 100,000 | (1,136,400) | (+78,000) |
| Subtotal | (2,646,000) | (2,841,000) | (2,841,000) | (2,841,000) | (2,841,000) | (+195,000) |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | -1,274 | | | | | +1,274 |
| Discretionary grants (Highway Trust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (liquidation of contract authorization) | (350,000) | | | | | (-350,000) |



| | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | | • | | Conference |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Job access and reverse commute grants | 20,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | +5,0 |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission(Highway Trust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (limitation on obligations) | 600.08 | (100,000) | (100,000) | | (180 000) | 74 +4 4+ |
| Trust fund share of expenses (limitation on obligations) (ATB rescission) | (-8,492) | (100,000) | (100,000) | | (100,000) | (+8,492 |
| Subtotal, Job access and reverse commute grants | (99,956) | (125,000) | (125,000) | (125,000) | (125,000) | (+25,044 |
| Total, Federal Transit Administration(Limitations on obligations) | 1,254,400 (5,016,600) | 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | 1,449,200 (5,397,800) | 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | + 94,800 (+ 381,200 |
| Total budgetary resources | (6,271,000) (-14,382) -2,755 | (6,747,000) | (6,747,000) | (6,847,000) | (6,747,000) | (+476,000 (+14,382 +2,755 |
| Net total | (6,253,863) | (6,747,000) | (6,747,000) | (6,847,000) | (6,747,000) | (+493,137 |
| Operations and maintenance (Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund) | 13,004 -29 | 13,345 | 13,426 | 13,345 | 13,345 | ± 32 |
| Net total | 12,975 | 13,345 | 13,426 | 13,345 | 13,345 | +37 |



| | | | , | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|
| | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | | | | Conference |
| | Enacted | Request | House | Senate | Conference | vs. enacted |
| Research and Special Programs Administration | | | | | | |
| Research and special programs: | | | | | | |
| Hazardous materials safety | 18,750 | 21,217 | 21,348 | 21,217 | 21,217 | +2,467 |
| Emergency transportation | 1,831 | 1,897 | 1,897 | 1,897 | 1,897 | +66 |
| Research and technology | 4,816 | 4,760 | 1,784 | 4,760 | 2,784 | -2,032 |
| Program and administrative support | 10,976 | 14,059 | 11,458 | 14,059 | 11,381 | + 405 |
| Adjustment | | 60 | | 8 | | |
| Subtotal, research and special programs | 36,373 | 41,993 | 36,487 | 41,993 | 37,279 | +906 |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | -79 | | | | | + 79 |
| Offsetting collections | | -12,000 | | | | |
| Pipeline safety: | 36,556 | 46,286 | 41,003 | 47,278 | 50,386 | + 13,830 |
| Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund | 7,488 | 7,472 | 7,472 | 11,472 | 7,864 | + 376 |
| Pipeline safety reserve | (3,000) | | | | | (-3,000) |
| Subtotal, Pipeline safety program (incl reserve) | (47,044) | (53,758) | (48,475) | (58,750) | (58,250) | (+11,206) |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | -19 | | | | | +19 |



| C III | 741110 111 11104 | ferming to comme | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | | | | Conference |
| | Enacted | Request | House | Senate | Conference | vs. enacted |
| Emergency preparedness grants: Emergency preparedness fund Limitation on emergency preparedness fund | 200 (14,300) | 200 (14,300) | 200 (14,300) | 200 (14,300) | 200 (14,300) | |
| Total, Research and Special Programs Admin | 80,617 -98 | 83,951 | 85,162 | 100,943 | 95,729 | +15,112 +98 |
| Net total | 80,519 | 83,951 | 85,162 | 100,943 | 95,729 | +15,210 |
| Salaries and expenses | 48,450 -106 (1,000) | 50,614 (2,000) | 50,614 | 50,614 | 50,614 | +2,164 +106 (-1,000) |
| Net total Surface Transportation Board | (49,344) | (52,614) | (50,614) | (50,614) | (50,614) | (+1,270) |
| Salaries and expenses Offsetting collections | 17,954 -900 | 18,457 -950 | 18,563 -950 | 18,457 -950 | 18,457 -950 | + 503 -S0 |
| Net total | 17,054 | 17,507 | 17,613 | 17,507 | 17,507 | + 453 |
| Across the board (0.22%) rescission | -37 | | | • | | +37 |



(Amounts in thousands of dollars) FY 2001 FY 2002

| -1,625,771 | 144,225 | 20,420 | 450 | 785 | 1,769,996 | Total, General provisions |
|-------------|---|---|---|---------|---|---|
| + 1,333 | | | | | -1,333 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| 4,700 | | | | | 8,700 | Highway Trust Fund, various projects (sec. 1128) |
| | | | | | 500 | Buses & bus facilities, A&M University (sec. 1123) |
| -2,400 | *************************************** | *************************************** | | | 2,400 | Rural farm-to-market roads (sec. 1121) |
| 4,00 | | *************************************** | | | 4,000 | information technologies (sec. 1109) |
| • | | | | | | Commercial remote sensing products and spatial |
| -3,000 | | | | | 3,000 | Newark-Elizabeth rail link project (sec. 1107) |
| 1,000 | | | | | 1,000 | Ξ |
| -2,500 | | | | | 2,500 | Huntsville International Airport (sec. 1104) |
| | | | | | | Miscellaneous appropriations (P.L. 106-554): |
| +144,000 | 144,000 | 20,000 | *************************************** | | | Surface transportation projects (sec. 330) |
| -600,000 | | | | | 600,000 | Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge (sec. 379) |
| +2,519 | • | | *************************************** | | -2,519 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| -1,145,000 | | | | | 1,145,000 | Miscellaneous highways (sec. 378) |
| -1,000 | | | | | 1,000 | Valley trains and tours (sec. 376) |
| -5,000 | | | •••••• | | 5,000 | Muscle Shoals, Tuscumbia, and Sheffield (sec. 375) |
| +2 | | • | •••••• | | -2 | Across the board (0.22%) rescission |
| -525 | 225 | 420 | 450 | 785 | 750 | Amtrak Reform Council (sec. 329) |
| | | | | | | General Provisions |
| | | 3,760 | *************************************** | 3,760 | *************************************** | Office of airline information (Airport & Airway Trust Fund) |
| | - | | | | | Bureau of Transportation Statistics |
| vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | Request | Enacted | |
| Conference | | | | FY 2002 | FY 2001 | |
| | | | / | | | |

M,

| + 231 | 5,015 | 5,015 | 5,046 | 5,015 | 4,784 | Net total |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| + 220 + 11 | 5,015 | 5,015 | 5,046 | 5,015 | 4,795 -11 | Salaries and expenses |
| | | | | | | TITLE II - RELATED AGENCIES Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board |
| (+1,522,891) | (59,569,755) | (59,988,077) | (59,051,140) | (58,948,911) | (58,046,864) | Net total budgetary resources |
| (-720,000) (-1,000) (+2,675,200) (+87,896) (-114,000) | (41,107,800) | | (41,007,800) | (2,000) (40,899,801) (955,000) | (720,000) (1,000) (38,432,600) (-87,896) (1,069,000) | Contingent emergency(By transfer)(By transfer)(Limitations on obligations)(Rescissions of limitations on obligations)(Exempt obligations) |
| -1,126,205 (-700,033) (+293,828) | 17,506,955 (17,890,579) (-383,624) | 17,810,278 (18,146,662) (-336,384) | 17,088,340 (17,416,060) (-327,720) | 17,094,110 (17,425,110) (-331,000) | 18,633,160 (18,590,612) (-677,452) | Net total, title I, Department of Transportation |
| Conference vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | FY 2002 Request | FY 2001 Enacted | |



| National Transportation Safety Board Salaries and expenses | FY 2001 Enacted 62,942 -139 | FY 2002 Request 64,480 | House 66,400 | Senate 70,000 | Conference 68,000 | Conference vs. enacted +5,058 +139 |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Subtotal Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-38) | 62,803 150 | 64,480 | 66,400 | 70,000 | 68,000 | +5,197 -150 |
| Total, National Transportation Safety Board United States-Canada Railroad Commission | 62,953 | 64,480 | 66,400 | 70,000 | 68,000 | +5,047 |
| Total, title II, Related Agencies | 69,737 | 69,495 | 71,446 | 75,015 | 73,015 | + 3,278 |
| Grand total | 18,702,897 | 17,163,605 | 17,159,786 | 17,885,293 | 17,579,970 | -1,122,927 |
| Appropriations | (18,660,499) (-677,602) (720,000) (1,000) (38,432,600) (-87,896) (1,069,000) | (17,494,605) (-331,000) (2,000) (40,899,801) (955,000) | (17,487,506) (-327,720) (41,007,800) (955,000) | (18,221,677) (-336,384) (41,222,799) (955,000) | (17,963,594) (-383,624) (-41,107,800) (955,000) | (-696,905) (+293,978) (-720,000) (-1,000) (+2,675,200) (+87,896) (-114,000) |
| Net total budgetary resources | (58,116,601) | (59,018,406) | (59,122,586) | (60,063,092) | (59,642,770) | (+1,526,169) |



| (-1,000) (+2,675,200) (+87,896) (-114,000) (+1,479,925) | (41,107,800) (955,000) (59,587,770) | (41,222,799) (955,000) (59,978,092) | (41,007,800) (955,000) (59,080,586) | (2,000) (40,899,801) (955,000) (58,971,406) | (1,000) (38,432,600) (-87,896) (1,069,000) (58,107,845) | (By transfer)(Limitations on obligations)(Rescissions of limitations on obligations)(Exempt obligations)(Exempt obligations) |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| -1,169,17 (-1,169,17) (-744,909 (+295,734 | 17,524,970 (17,524,970) (17,908,594) (-383,624) | 17,800,293 (17,800,293) (18,136,677) (-336,384) | 17,117,786 (17,117,786) (17,445,506) (-327,720) | 17,116,605 (17,116,605) (17,447,605) (-331,000) | 18,694,141 (18,694,141) (18,653,499) (-679,358) | Net grand total (including scorekeeping) |
| -43,000 +42,000 -40,244 -5,000 | -50,000 -5,000 | -48,000 -37,000 -85,000 | 42,000 42,000 | 47,000 47,000 | -7,000 -42,000 40,244 -8,756 | Scorekeeping adjustments: Pipeline safety (OSLTF) |
| Conference vs. enacted | Conference | Senate | House | FY 2002 Request | FY 2001 Enacted | |



| | 7 | | | | | 1 1 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Total, Discretionary | Total, General purpose discretionary | General purpose discretionary: Defense discretionary Nondefense discretionary | Total, Mass Transit category | Discretionary: Highway category: (Limitation on obligations) Mass Transit category | RECAP BY FUNCTION Mandatory | |
| 17,916,141 | 16,661,741 | 341,000 16,320,741 | (6,271,000) | (30,216,000) 1,254,400 (5,016,600) | 778,000 | FY 2001 Enacted |
| 16,240,259 | 14,891,059 | 340,250 14,550,809 | (6,747,000) | (32,202,001) 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | 876,346 | FY 2002 Request |
| 16,241,440 | 14,892,240 | 340,000 14,552,240 | (6,747,000) | (32,310,000) 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | 876,346 | House |
| 16,923,947 | 15,574,747 | 695,000 14,879,747 | (6,747,000) | (32,524,999) 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | 876,346 | Senate |
| 16,648,624 | 15,299,424 | 440,000 14,859,424 | (6,747,000) | (32,410,000) 1,349,200 (5,397,800) | 876,346 | Conference |
| -1,267,517 | -1,362,317 | +99,000 -1,461,317 | (+476,000) | (32,410,000) (+2,194,000) 1,349,200 +94,800 (5,397,800) (+381,200) | +98,346 | Conference vs. enacted |



CONFERENCE TOTAL--WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2002 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2001 amount, the 2002 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2002 follow:

| 202,323 | Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 |
|------------|--|
| +450,184 | House bill, fiscal year 2002 |
| 592'917+ | Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, Sudget year 2002 |
| 1,122,927 | New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 |
| | Conference agreement compared with: |
| 026'625'21 | Conference agreement, fiscal year 2002 |
| 17,885,293 | Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 |
| 982'651'21 | House bill, fiscal year 2002 |
| 509'591'21 | Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 |
| 768,207,81 | New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001\$ |
| | (In thousands of dollars) |

(ldd)

SENATE

| 11, 110 | LOTE M. |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| HAROLD ROGERS | PATTY MURRAY |
| FRANK R. WOLL | Adust Durch |
| FRANK R. WOLF | BOBERT C. BYRD |
| Som Wedan | Parkered Whileh |
| TOMPELAY | BARBARA A. MIKULSKI |
| SONNY CALAHAN | Jan Herb |
| | nganger Reiny |
| TODO TIMBET | HERB KOHL |
| Roll to Adeline | |
| ROBERT B. ADERHOLZ | RICHARD J. DURBIN |
| ROBERT B. ADERHOL | |
| KAY GRANGER | PATRICK I LEAHY |
| | MA |
| Adru Unu | DANEL K INOLITE |
| O ANN EMERSON | DANIEL K. INOUYE |
| JOHN SWEENEY | RICHARD C. SHELBY |
| | RICHARD C. SHELB1 |
| CW PHI VOLPIC | |
| C. W. BILL YOUNG | 00020 |
| Martin Olov Babo | CHRISTOPHER S. BOND |
| , - / · - · · · - · · · - · | |

| John W. Olver | |
|-----------------------|--|
| John W. Olver | Py. a R |
| JOHN W. OLVER | Rutt of Bunty |
| 6/1/ | ROBERT F. BENNETT |
| al Vale | $ \wedge \rangle \langle + \rangle \langle - \rangle \rangle$ |
| ED PASTOR | K My Was depel |
| | BEN NIGHTHORSE CAMPBELL |
| CAROLYN C. KILPATRICK | |
| CAROLYN C. KILPATRICK | Tankley to the |
| (1.6./ | KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON |
| de Sterno | |
| JOSE E. SERRANO | al line |
| 7 | TED STEVENS |
| Jan Carlo | |
| AMES E CLYBURN | |
| | |
| All (1) | |
| DAVID R. OBEY | |
| | |
| | |
| | |